

In Compliance with Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

Table of Contents

Introduction	. 1
Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications	. 2
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type	. 4
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence	. 6
Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred	. 8
Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth	. 12
Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation	. 14
Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel	. 16
Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism	. 18
District 1	23
District 2	56
District 3	86
District 4	93
District 5	100
District 6	135
District 7	166
District 8	198
District 9	230
District 10	260
District 11	292
District 12	329
Appendix I - Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007	362
Appendix II - Administrative Office of Probation	363
Appendix III - § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts	365

Introduction

The Nebraska Juvenile Justice System 2020 Statistical Annual Report meets the requirements of Nebraska Revised Statute § 24-1007 (2014). This report is compiled by the Research and Data Division of the Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP). The report contains statistics on juvenile justice (status offense and juvenile delinquency) cases and on juveniles prosecuted in the adult court system. A status offense is an act that is prohibited because of the juvenile status of the person, but is not illegal if committed by an adult. Juvenile delinquency cases involve a violation of a law applicable regardless of juvenile or adult status. This report provides statistical information on juveniles in the justice system. The data presented show caseload statistics by case type, geography and demographics.

The data in this report was extracted from the Supreme Court's Judicial User System to Improve Court Efficiency (JUSTICE), a case-based data storage system comprised of clerk entries of information from relevant court documents. Tables with court data report statistics on cases for youth ages 17 and under at the time of the offense. The Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity tables are provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). These tables report activity for juveniles ages 18 and under receiving probation supervision in the year.

The report contains a statewide section and 12 judicial district sections. Each district section has district summary tables followed by county data for that judicial district. If there is no data for a county, that county is not included in the table. All geographic tables include demographic statistics by age, sex and race/ethnicity if there is data for that group. If not expressly written on trial court forms, age, sex and/or race/ethnicity may be unknown to court personnel responsible for JUSTICE data entry and will be included as "Unknown" in this report.

For the majority of the report, age demographic groupings are based on the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Exceptions are noted in the report. Age groups used in this report are: 11-13; 14-15; 16; and 17 and are based on Nebraska Revised Statutes 43-246.01 and 43-247 regarding allowable ages in juvenile court jurisdiction.

The number of "Unknown" demographics are not included in the percentage calculation in tables where percentages are calculated for demographic groups as a percentage of the total. This allows the "valid" percent to be displayed where demographics are known. In these instances, the percent column remains blank for the "Unknown" category. The "Unknown" group will have a percent value in tables where the percentage is calculated using the total cases for the demographic group.

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications


Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.


The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile filings and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple offenses, pleas or findings. Cases are counted petitions filed statewide, in the Adjudicated column if at least one offense was adjudicated. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Out of 2,991 juvenile there are 2,125 (71%) adjudications in 2020.

				Juven	ile Peti	tions an	d Adjud	ication	by Case	Type Sta	atewide					
Demo-		Tra	affic Offe	nse	Status Offense			М	lisdemea	nor		Felony			Total	
graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	11-13	3	2	67%	89	52	58%	241	175	73%	64	46	72%	397	275	69%
Age	14-15	33	29	88%	152	94	62%	652	482	74%	162	131	81%	999	736	74%
Group	16	45	42	93%	111	56	50%	567	411	72%	110	89	81%	833	598	72%
	17	33	31	94%	66	40	61%	553	370	67%	110	75	68%	762	516	68%
	Female	27	22	81%	184	113	61%	630	438	70%	83	66	80%	924	639	69%
Sex	Male	65	60	92%	203	117	58%	1,355	980	72%	352	265	75%	1,975	1,422	72%
	Unknown	22	22	100%	31	12	39%	28	20	71%	11	10	91%	92	64	70%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	11	6	55%	60	38	63%	11	8	73%	82	52	63%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	5	5	100%	3	2	67%	13	10	77%
	Black	11	9	82%	32	19	59%	241	138	57%	101	71	70%	385	237	62%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	20	19	95%	56	30	54%	263	213	81%	67	57	85%	406	319	79%
	White	74	70	95%	165	99	60%	918	681	74%	145	117	81%	1,302	967	74%
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%	9	6	67%
	Unknown	8	5	62%	149	85	57%	519	359	69%	118	85	72%	794	534	67%
Total Case	es	114	104	91%	418	242	58%	2,013	1,438	71%	446	341	76%	2,991	2,125	71%


Age Group






Sex

Percent of Cases Adjudicated by Case Type and Sex



Race/Ethnicity

Percent of Cases Adjudicated by Case Type and Race/Ethnicity



Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and

Of the 190 distinct cases of juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court, 23% are traffic cases, 44% are misdemeanor cases, and 33% are felonies.

instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense.

			Juveniles		dult Criminal C tatewide	Court by Case Typ	e		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of	% of	Traff	ic	Misdeme	eanor	Felor	ny
		Cases	Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*
Age Group	14-15	26	13%	7	27%	9	35%	10	38%
	16	58	29%	16	28%	24	41%	18	31%
	17	114	58%	20	18%	54	47%	40	35%
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	0		1	100%	0	
	Black	54	32%	7	13%	19	35%	28	52%
	Hispanic	51	30%	8	16%	25	49%	18	35%
	White	62	37%	18	29%	37	60%	7	11%
	Other	1	1%	1	100%	0		0	
	Unknown	29		9		5		15	
Sex	Female	43	22%	17	40%	22	51%	4	9%
	Male	150	78%	25	17%	64	43%	61	41%
	Unknown	5		1		1		3	
Total Cases		198	100%	43	22%	87	44%	68	34%


^{*}The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).


Age Group


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group









Sex


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex









Race/Ethnicity


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Of the 190 distinct cases prosecuted in adult criminal court, 72% were sentenced to probation, 13% to jail and 18% to prison.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing Statewide Demographic Cohort Number of % of Probation Jail Prison Cases **Total** Average Number of % of Number of % of Average Number of % of Average Age at Cohort* Cases Cohort* Age at Cohort* Age at Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Age at Offense 14-15 26 13% 18 2 8% 6 23% 18 69% 18 18 16 58 29% 44 76% 17 3 5% 11 19% 17 17 17 114 74 19 17% 21 18% 58% 65% 18 18 18 Race/Ethnicity Asian/P.I. 100% 0 0 1 1% 18 1 Black 54 32% 17 29 54% 17 10 19% 17 15 28% Hispanic 51 30% 18 31 61% 18 6 12% 18 14 27% White 5% 4 62 37% 17 55 89% 17 3 17 6% Other 1 1% 16 1 100% 0 0 Unknown 29 18 19 5 18 5 18

86%

64%

69%

17

18

19

17

5

18

1

24

12%

12%

12%

17

18

19

17

1

36

1

38

2%

24%

19%

37

96

3

136

Sex

Total Cases

Female

Unknown

Male

43

150

5

198

22%

78%

100%

17

18


19

17

^{*}The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).


Age Group

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court




Sex

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



Race/Ethnicity

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court jurisdiction which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same set of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs or it occurs in a subsequent year. Transfers occur once per case.

	Motio	ns to Tra		Cases and tatewide	Cases	Transfer	red		
	Juvenile Co	ourt to Adu	ılt Cour	t		Adult (Court to	Juvenile Co	urt
Demographic	Age	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total
	11-13	0		0		1	1%	1	1%
A C	14-15	7	13%	0		19	25%	19	21%
Age Group	16	7	13%	0		22	29%	37	40%
	17	41	75%	12	100%	33	44%	35	38%
	Female	12	22%	3	25%	4	5%	20	22%
Sex	Male	42	78%	9	75%	69	95%	71	78%
	Unknown	1		0		2		1	
	Am. Indian	1	2%	0		1	1%	0	
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		1	1%	1	1%
	Black	15	37%	2	25%	28	41%	6	7%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	10	24%	0		18	26%	10	12%
	White	14	34%	6	75%	21	30%	67	80%
	Other	1	2%	0		0		0	
	Unknown	14		4		6		8	
Total Cases		55		12		75		92	


^{*}The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent within demographic (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).

In juvenile court there were 55 motions to transfer to adult court filed in 2020, and 12 cases transferred to adult court. Adult court had 75 motions to transfer to juvenile court filed, and 92 transfers to juvenile court in the year.

Age Group


Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age Group




Transfers

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age Group




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



Transfers


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



Sex


Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Sex




Transfers

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Sex




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex



Transfers


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex



Race/Ethnicity


Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Race/ Ethnicity




Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Race/ Ethnicity




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Transfers

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.


The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

					le Probatio tatewide Y	_	′				
Demographic	Cohort		ed on pation		f-home ement	Motions	to Revoke		bation oked		actory ease
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Age Group	11-13	164	8%	43	7%	24	4%	0		58	3%
	14-15	651	31%	216	35%	147	27%	5	45%	355	21%
	16	548	26%	149	24%	131	24%	1	9%	334	19%
	17	583	28%	176	28%	187	34%	4	36%	476	28%
	18	144	7%	35	6%	56	10%	1	9%	490	29%
Sex	Male	1,463	70%	436	70%	385	71%	10	91%	1,120	65%
	Female	627	30%	183	30%	160	29%	1	9%	593	35%
Race	Am. Indian	101	5%	42	7%	31	6%	1	9%	79	5%
	Asian/P.I.	28	1%	9	1%	6	1%	0		19	1%
	Black	349	17%	174	28%	72	13%	0		233	14%
	White	1,259	60%	317	51%	349	64%	9	82%	1,086	63%
	Other	353	17%	77	12%	87	16%	1	9%	296	17%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	570	27%	130	21%	162	30%	1	9%	459	27%
	Not Hispanic	1,520	73%	489	79%	383	70%	10	91%	1,254	73%
Total Youth		2,090	100%	619	100%	545	100%	11	100%	1,713	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.


Age Group

Youth Placed on Probation by Age




Sex

Youth Placed on Probation by Sex




Race

Youth Placed on Probation by Race



Ethnicity

Youth Placed on Probation by Ethnicity



Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.


Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results for two populations. The first is the classification for youth starting probation in 2020, the second population are youth discharged from probation in 2020. Average length of probation is only calculated for youth discharged from probation. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) supervision intensity.


		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and	Length of	[:] Proba	ition			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discha Probat	rged from ion	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Months	
	11-13	127	122	1	60	31	4	95	7.4	
	14-15	414	364	4	305	189	20	514	9.8	
Age Group	16	277	228	1	310	189	9	508	11.4	
	17	258	144	0	469	352	21	842	13.4	
	18	45	29	0	536	411	18	965	16.0	
Sex	Female	356	256	1	531	351	30	912	12.7	
Sex	Male	765	631	5	1,149	821	42	2,012	13.4	
	Am. Indian	59	46	0	83	58	5	146	13.4	
	Asian/P.I.	15	15	0	16	13	1	30	10.5	
Race	Black	153	191	1	255	231	16	502	15.0	
	Other	158	171	5	294	193	7	494	12.5	
	White	736	464	0	1,032	677	43	1,752	12.9	
Chloniaite.	Hispanic	279	274	5	456	296	16	768	12.8	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	842	613	1	1,224	876	56	2,156	13.3	
Total		1,121	887	6	1,680	1,172	72	2,924	13.1	

The Juvenile Court's jurisdicition terminates at the age of majority. The age group for 18 year olds average length of probation includes 18 and 19 year olds.

Of the 2,924 youth exiting probation in 2020, the average length of probation is 13.1 months.

^{**} No YLS/CMI assessment completed prior to termination of probation due to a brief probation term or successful completion of pre-dispositional services. 1 Age at which probation term began. 2 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation 3 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation





Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.


The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense. Additionally, criminal court cases include traffic offenses. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense.

Fewer than half (40%) of the juvenile cases in criminal court are represented by an attorney, while over three fourths (72%) of cases in juvenile court have representation.

			Juvenile	Access to Statewid		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	15	9	6	40%	596	143	453	76%
A === C =====	14-15	119	57	62	52%	1,552	369	1,183	76%
Age Group	16	342	227	115	34%	1,189	343	846	71%
	17	667	392	275	41%	1,087	362	725	67%
	Female	263	192	71	27%	1,334	403	931	70%
Sex	Male	827	462	365	44%	2,961	762	2,199	74%
	Unknown	53	31	22	42%	129	52	77	60%
	Am. Indian	18	9	9	50%	117	30	87	74%
	Asian/P.I.	9	7	2	22%	27	3	24	89%
	Black	197	82	115	58%	698	46	652	93%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	220	128	92	42%	584	173	411	70%
	White	558	387	171	31%	1,777	547	1,230	69%
	Other	3	3	0	0%	15	2	13	87%
	Unknown	138	69	69	50%	1,206	416	790	66%
Total Cases		1,143	685	458	40%	4,424	1,217	3,207	72%


Age Group

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Age Group




Sex

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Sex



Race/Ethnicity

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Race/Ethnicity




Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (f) Rates of recidivism.


The recidivism table is based on probation youth that were successfully discharged in and whether or not the youth received a new adjudication and/or adult conviction within one year of their probation discharge date (). See Appendix III § 1-1001 for uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts. Probation youth recidivism is counted once per youth with the most serious offense reported.

	Juvenile Recidivism Ra	tes		
Demographic	Cohort	Recidivated	Not Recidivated	Percent Recidivated
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	205	1,016	16.8%
	Hispanic	93	339	21.5%
Race	Asian/P.I.	1	18	5.3%
	Other	60	216	21.7%
	Black	55	183	23.1%
	White	168	875	16.1%
	Am. Indian	14	63	18.2%
Sex	Female	68	513	11.7%
	Male	230	842	21.5%
Overall State Recidivism		298	1,355	18.0%


Sex



Race




Ethnicity




District Sections

The report includes data from both adult and juvenile case types, from all of the Nebraska county courts, district courts and separate juvenile courts statewide. Lancaster, Douglas and Sarpy counties hear juvenile cases in a separate juvenile court. All other counties hear juvenile cases in county courts. Where data in the report is broken out into districts, it is reported by districts as shown below.

Nebraska County Court Districts



Separate Juvenile Courts



Nebraska Revised Statute 43-2,111

Each county of this state having a population of seventy-five thousand or more inhabitants shall constitute a separate juvenile court judicial district. There shall be established in each such juvenile court judicial district of this state a separate juvenile court whenever the establishment thereof shall be authorized by a majority of the electors of any such county voting thereon. The court so established shall be a court of record.

District 1

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adju Distri		n by C	ase Typ	e			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	1	1	100%	8	8	100%	24	22	92%	2	2	100%
Age Group	16	1	0	0%	11	9	82%	17	11	65%	0	0	0%
J. J. J. J.	17	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	37	25	68%	7	4	57%
	Female	2	1	50%	7	5	71%	27	19	70%	1	1	100%
Sex	Male	0	0	0%	14	13	93%	49	37	76%	6	4	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%
	Hispanic	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	15	12	80%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	25	22	88%	3	3	100%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	21	17	81%	37	23	62%	3	2	67%
District Tot	tal	2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

			J	uvenile (nd Adju		_	e Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Gage	16	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	8	4	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	19	14	74%	3	2	67%
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Jefferson	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Labraca	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Johnson	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Richardson	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%
Saline	16	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	8	73%	2	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Thayer	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	e Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	⁄lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	16	11	69%	0	0	0%
Gage	Male	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	17	12	71%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Jefferson	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Johnson	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
_	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
D: 1 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Richardson	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%
6.11	Female	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	0	0	0%
Saline	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	16	13	81%	3	1	33%
	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Thayer	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Filings Filings Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated Black 0 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0% 0% 0 0% 1 White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 0 0 0% Gage 0 0 0 0 0% Other 0% 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 Unknown 0 0 0% 9 75% 30 20 67% 2 50% 12 1 7 7 Unknown 0 2 0 0% Jefferson 0 0% 100% 1 50% 0 Hispanic 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% Johnson White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 100% 0 0 0% 1 1 White 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 Nemaha Unknown 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 1 Pawnee White 0% 1 100% 6 6 100% 1 1 100% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 6 3 50% 1 1 100% Richardson Unknown 2 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 50% 0 Black 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0 0% Hispanic 0 0% 0 0 0% 12 80% 0 0 0% 1 15 Saline White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 8 8 100% 1 1 100% Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0 0% 0 0 0% White 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 Thayer Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0% 1 100% 1 100% 1 1 1 **District Total** 2 1 50% 23 19 83% 78 58 74% 9 6 67%

District 1

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group						
Age Group	14-15	1	14%	0		1	33%						
	16	1	14%	1	25%	0							
	17	5	71%	3	75%	2	67%						
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	25%	0		1	100%						
	White	3	75%	3	100%	0							
	Unknown	3		1		2							
Sex	Male	6	100%	4	100%	2	100%						
	Unknown	1		0		1							
District Total		7	100%	4	57%	3	43%						

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group									
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
	огоир	#	#	#	#				
Johnson	14-15	1	0	0	1				
Richardson	16	1	0	1	0				
Richardson	17	3	0	2	1				
Saline 17 2 0 1 1									
District Tota	l	7	0	4	3				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex								
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#	#			
Johnson	Unknown	1	0	0	1			
Richardson	Male	4	0	3	1			
Saline	Male	2	0	1	1			
District Tota	7	0	4	3				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity

County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony
		#	#	#
Johnson	Unknown	1	0	1
Dialagudaau	White	3	3	0
Richardson	Unknown	1	0	1
Calina	Black	1	0	1
Saline	Unknown	1	1	0
District Tota	l	7	4	3

District 1

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
Demographic		Total C	Cases	Probation			Jail			Prison		
	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
Age Group 16	14-15	1	14%	23	1	100%	23	0	0%	23	0	0%
	16	1	14%	16	1	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
	17	5	71%	18	4	80%	18	1	20%	18	0	0%
_	Unknown	1	0%	23	1	100%	23	0	0%	23	0	0%
Sex	Male	6	100%	17	5	100%	17	1	0%	17	0	0%
	Unknown	3	0%	19	2	100%	19	1	0%	19	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	White	3	75%	17	3	100%	17	0	0%	17	0	0%
	Black	1	25%	17	1	80%	17	0	20%	17	0	0%
District Total		7	100%	18	6	100%	18	1	0%	18	0	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Probation Total Jail Prison Cases Age County Group Number Age at Number Age at Number Age at Number of Cases Sentencing of Cases Sentencing of Cases Sentencing of Cases Johnson 14-15 1 23 1 23 0 23 0 16 1 16 0 16 0 1 16 Richardson 3 3 0 17 18 18 0 18 2 Saline 17 1 1 0 18 18 18 7 **District Total** 18 6 1 18 0 18

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
County Sex	Total Cases	Probation Jail		l	Prison					
	sex	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases		
Johnson	Unknown	1	23	1	23	0	23	0		
Richardson	Male	4	17	4	17	0	17	0		
Saline	Male	2	18	1	18	1	18	0		
District Total		7	18	6	18	1	18	0		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	Race	Total Cases	Probation		Jail		Prison			
		Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases		
Johnson	Unknown	1	23	1	23	0	23	0		
	White	3	17	3	17	0	17	0		
Richardson	Unknown	1	18	1	18	0	18	0		
Saline	Black	1	17	1	17	0	17	0		
	Unknown	1	18	0	18	1	18	0		
District Total		7	18	6	18	1	18	0		

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
Age Group	17	5	2	100%	1	100%	0				
	Black	2	40%	2	100%	0		0			
Dana /Fthaniaita	Hispanic	2	40%	0		0		0			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	20%	0		0		0			
	Unknown	0		0		1		0			
Carr	Male	5	100%	2	100%	0		0			
Sex Unknown 0 0					1		0				
District Total		5		2		1		0			

١	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Jefferson	17	0	0	1	0						
Pawnee	17	1	0	0	0						
Saline	17	4	2	0	0						
District To	tal	5	2	1	0						

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Jefferson	Unknown	0	0	1	0				
Pawnee	Male	1	0	0	0				
Saline	Male	4	0						
District To	otal	5	2	1	0				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		•	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Jefferson	Unknown	0	0	1	0				
Pawnee	White	1	0	0	0				
Calina	Black	2	2	0	0				
Saline	Hispanic	2	0	0	0				
District To	otal	5	2	1	0				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Colloit	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	12	9%	2	5%	2	11%	14	12%		
	14-15	52	41%	20	48%	7	39%	39	33%		
Age Group	16	20	16%	6	14%	4	22%	28	24%		
	17	25	20%	14	33%	3	17%	21	18%		
	18	19	15%	0	0%	2	11%	17	14%		
C	Female	37	29%	14	33%	10	56%	54	45%		
Sex	Male	91	71%	28	67%	8	44%	65	55%		
	Black	4	3%	4	10%	2	11%	2	2%		
	Indian	7	5%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%		
Race	Other	12	9%	2	5%	0	0%	21	18%		
	Pacific Islander	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	White	102	80%	36	86%	16	89%	89	75%		
[the idity	Hispanic	11	9%	2	5%	0	0%	23	19%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	117	91%	40	95%	18	100%	96	81%		
District Total		128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Combi	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	14-15	2	2%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%			
Fillmore	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%			
	17	2	2%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%			
	11-13	6	5%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%			
	14-15	14	11%	8	19%	4	22%	18	15%			
Gage	16	8	6%	4	10%	2	11%	4	3%			
	17	10	8%	4	10%	2	11%	0	0%			
	18	6	5%	0	0%	2	11%	6	5%			
	14-15	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	6	5%			
Jefferson	16	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%	4	3%			
	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%			
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
lahmaan	14-15	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%			
Johnson	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	14-15	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%			
Nemaha	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	4	3%	1	2%	1	6%	6	5%			
Otas	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%			
Otoe	17	3	2%	1	2%	1	6%	2	2%			
	18	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%			
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%			
Dawnes	14-15	10	8%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%			
Pawnee	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
Diehaudeeu	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
Richardson	14-15	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%			

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Combo	Age	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Richardson	16	4	3%	0	0%	2	11%	2	2%		
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%		
	14-15	2	2%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%		
Saline	16	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%		
	17	4	3%	6	14%	0	0%	8	7%		
	18	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%		
	14-15	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Tl	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer	17	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%		
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	ι	128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release		
County	JCX	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
F:!!	Female	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	
Fillmore	Male	3	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%	
Como	Female	16	12%	10	24%	8	44%	20	17%	
Gage	Male	28	22%	8	19%	4	22%	8	7%	
l affana an	Female	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%	
Jefferson	Male	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%	
Johnson	Male	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%	
Namaka	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	
Nemaha	Male	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	6	5%	
04	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%	
Otoe	Male	6	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%	
D	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Pawnee	Male	14	11%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%	
Dialagnalagn	Female	4	3%	0	0%	2	11%	6	5%	
Richardson	Male	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	
Calina	Female	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	14	12%	
Saline	Male	10	8%	6	14%	2	11%	16	13%	
Thayer Male		4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	4	3%	
District Tota	l	128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%	

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release			
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Fillmore	White	3	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
rittilore	Indian	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%		
	Black	2	2%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%		
	White	34	27%	16	38%	10	56%	26	22%		
Gage	Indian	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	Other	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Pacific Islander	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
1	White	12	9%	4	10%	0	0%	14	12%		
Jefferson	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Johnson	White	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
	White	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
Nemaha	Other	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	7	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%		
Otoe	Pacific Islander	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%		
	Black	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Pawnee	Other	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	12	9%	0	0%	0	0%	8	7%		
Richardson	White	10	8%	2	5%	2	11%	6	5%		
	White	10	8%	4	10%	2	11%	12	10%		
6.11	Black	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%		
Saline	Other	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	16	13%		
	Indian	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	White	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer Other		0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	District Total		100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carreti	Falls of all and		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		actory ase		
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	3	3%		
C	Hispanic	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Gage	Not Hispanic	40	31%	18	43%	12	67%	26	22%		
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	12	9%	4	10%	0	0%	16	13%		
1.1	Hispanic	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Johnson	Not Hispanic	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	10	8%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
0.1	Hispanic	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%		
Otoe	Not Hispanic	7	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%		
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	16	12%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%		
Richardson	Not Hispanic	10	8%	2	5%	2	11%	6	5%		
Calling	Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	16	13%		
Saline	Not Hispanic	12	9%	6	14%	2	11%	14	12%		
Theres	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer	Not Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	District Total		100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	10	2	4		4	8.0				
	14-15	39	13	26	3	29	12.8				
Age Group	16	18	2	25	4	29	10.7				
	17	18	7	28	7	35	10.7				
	18	16	3	39	9	48	10.3				
Sav	Female	33	4	43	8	51	10.4				
Sex	Male	68	23	79	15	94	11.2				
	Am. Indian	7		5		5	8.0				
	Asian/P.I.	3		1		1	5.0				
Race	Black	2	2	4	2	6	12.0				
	White	81	21	97	15	112	11.2				
	Other	8	4	15	6	21	10.1				
Falousi olas r	Hispanic	8	3	17	8	25	10.6				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	93	24	105	15	120	11.0				
District Tota	ıl	101	27	122	23	145	10.9				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	14-15	2				0					
Fillmore	17	2		2		2	18.0				
	18			1		1	10.0				
	11-13	4	2			0					
	14-15	8	6	6	2	8	14.8				
Gage	16	8		12	2	14	12.6				
	17	10		16		16	7.1				
	18	6		12	2	14	11.1				
	14-15	4	4			0					
lefferen en	16	2		4	2	6	12.7				
Jefferson	17	2		2	2	4	15.0				
	18			6		6	13.7				
lohnson	11-13	2				0					
Johnson	18	2		2		2	10.0				
	14-15	4		4		4	14.5				
Nemaha	16	2				0					
Nemana	17		2	2		2	10.0				
	18	2		2		2	12.0				
	14-15	1	3	4	1	5	5.6				
Otoo	16			1		1	6.0				
Otoe	17		3	2	1	3	10.3				
	18		1		3	3	7.3				
	11-13	2				0					
	14-15	10		4		4	15.0				
Pawnee	16			2		2	6.0				
	17	2				0					
	18	2		2		2	9.0				
Richardson	11-13			2		2	11.0				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Richardson	14-15	6		4		4	7.5			
Richardson	16	2	2	2		2	4.0			
	11-13	2		2		2	5.0			
	14-15	2		2		2	25.0			
Saline	16	4		4		4	8.0			
	17	2	2	2	4	6	14.7			
	18	2	2	12	4	16	9.4			
	14-15	2		2		2	13.0			
Thayer	17			2		2	13.0			
	18	2		2		2	7.0			
District Tota	l	101	27	122	23	145	10.9			

		Youth Risk L	evels and. by County	_	Probation			
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
F:11	Female	1		1		1	10.0	
Fillmore	Male	3		2		2	18.0	
C	Female	14	2	22		22	10.1	
Gage	Male	22	6	24	6	30	11.4	
1	Female	4		4	4	8	12.5	
Jefferson	Male	4	4	8		8	14.8	
Johnson	Male	4		2		2	10.0	
Managha	Female	2		2		2	19.0	
Nemaha	Male	6	2	6		6	10.7	
04	Female		2		2	2	7.5	
Otoe	Male	1	5	7	3	10	7.2	
	Female	2				0		
Pawnee	Male	14		8		8	11.2	
Dishandası	Female	4		6		6	9.0	
Richardson	Male	4	2	2		2	3.0	
Calina	Female	6		6	2	8	8.2	
Saline	Male	6	4	16	6	22	12.0	
Theorem	Female			2		2	13.0	
Thayer	Male	4		4		4	10.0	
District Total		101	27	122	23	145	10.9	

	Youth F			ength nd Rac	of Probati e	on	
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
E:11	Am. Indian	1		1		1	10.0
Fillmore	White	3		2		2	18.0
	Am. Indian	4		2		2	10.0
	Asian/P.I.	2				0	
Gage	Black		2	2	2	4	13.5
	White	28	6	42	4	46	10.7
	Other	2				0	
1-66	White	8	4	10	4	14	14.6
Jefferson	Other			2		2	7.0
Johnson	White	4		2		2	10.0
Nemaha	White	6		8		8	12.8
ivernana	Other	2	2			0	
	Asian/P.I.	1		1		1	5.0
Otoe	White		7	5	5	10	7.3
	Other			1		1	9.0
	Black	2		2		2	9.0
Pawnee	White	12		6		6	12.0
	Other	2				0	
Richardson	White	8	2	8		8	7.5
	Am. Indian	2		2		2	5.0
Saline	White	8	2	10	2	12	13.0
	Other	2	2	10	6	16	10.2
Thaver	White	4		4		4	10.0
Tilayei	Thayer Other			2		2	13.0
District Tota	l	101	27	122	23	145	10.9

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
		Youth Starting	g Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4		3		3	15.3				
Cara	Hispanic	4		2		2	11.0				
Gage	Not Hispanic	32	8	44	6	50	10.8				
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	8	4	12	4	16	13.6				
Lalamana	Hispanic	2				0					
Johnson	Not Hispanic	2		2		2	10.0				
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	12.8				
04	Hispanic		1	1	2	3	8.3				
Otoe	Not Hispanic	1	6	6	3	9	6.9				
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	16		8		8	11.2				
Richardson	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	7.5				
Calina	Hispanic	2	2	12	6	18	10.7				
Saline	Not Hispanic	10	2	10	2	12	11.5				
Theorem	Hispanic			2		2	13.0				
Thayer	Not Hispanic	4		4		4	10.0				
District Total		101	27	122	122 23 145						

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	39	20	19	49%			
A === C=====	14-15	2	2	0	0%	47	28	19	40%			
Age Group	16	5	4	1	20%	35	22	13	37%			
	17	22	12	10	45%	58	22	36	62%			
	Female	3	2	1	33%	61	35	26	43%			
Sex	Male	23	14	9	39%	109	53	56	51%			
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	9	4	5	56%			
	Black	4	0	4	100%	4	0	4	100%			
	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	22	14	8	36%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	18	14	4	22%	51	27	24	47%			
	Other					1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown	6	3	3	50%	101	50	51	50%			
District Total		30	19	11	37%	179	92	87	49%			

				ile Acces ounty an					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13					14	5	9	64%
Cago	14-15	1	1	0	0%	13	6	7	54%
Gage	16	1	0	1	100%	15	7	8	53%
	17	5	4	1	20%	32	11	21	66%
	11-13					4	2	2	50%
Jefferson	14-15					5	5	0	0%
Jenerson	16					4	3	1	25%
	17	3	2	1	33%	1	1	0	0%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Johnson	14-15					2	1	1	50%
	17					1	0	1	100%
	11-13					3	3	0	0%
Nemaha	14-15					2	1	1	50%
Nemana	16					1	0	1	100%
	17	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%
	11-13					2	2	0	0%
Dayyaaa	14-15					4	3	1	25%
Pawnee	16					1	1	0	0%
	17	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%
	11-13					6	3	3	50%
Diahandaan	14-15					8	6	2	25%
Richardson	16	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
	17	4	3	1	25%	6	3	3	50%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Calin -	14-15					11	5	6	55%
Saline	16	2	2	0	0%	11	9	2	18%
	17	7	1	6	86%	13	5	8	62%
The	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Thayer	14-15	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Age Group	Colorinal T WARTER OVARIABLE London II T WAR											
Thouas	16					1	0	1	100%				
Thayer 17 1 1 0									0%				
District Tota	District Total 30 19 11 37% 179 92 87 49%												

				e Access County a		sel					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female	1	0	1	100%	35	15	20	57%		
Gage	Male	5	4	1	20%	38	14	24	63%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%		
Jefferson	Male					8	6	2	25%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	3	3	0	0%		
Johnson	Male					4	1	3	75%		
	Female					2	1	1	50%		
Nemaha	Male	1	0	1	100%	4	3	1	25%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
D	Female					2	2	0	0%		
Pawnee	Male	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%		
Dielegadeen	Female					3	2	1	33%		
Richardson	Male	6	5	1	17%	19	12	7	37%		
Calina	Female					16	13	3	19%		
Saline	Male	10	4	6	60%	27	11	16	59%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%						
Thayer	Male					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown					4	1	3	75%		
District Tota	l	30	19	11	37%	179	92	87	49%		

				le Access ity and R					
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Black	1	0	1	100%				
6	White	3	0	3	100%	6	4	2	33%
Gage	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	69	28	41	59%	1	1	0	0%
	White					1	1	0	0%
Jefferson	Unknown	14	11	3	21%	2	1	1	50%
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%				
Johnson	White	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%			# With Counsel 2 0	
	White	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%
Nemaha	Unknown	5	3	2	40%	1	1	0	0%
D	White	9	6	3	33%	1	1	0	0%
Pawnee	Unknown	1	1	0	0%				
Distribution	White	18	10	8	44%	6	5	1	17%
Richardson	Unknown	4	4	0	0%				
	Black	3	0	3	100%	4	0	4	100%
C 1:	Hispanic	21	14	7	33%	2	2	0	0%
Saline	White	17	8	9	53%	2	2	0	0%
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%
TI	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
Thayer Unknown		4	1	3	75%				
District Tota	l	179	92	87	49%	30	19	11	37%

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District											
		St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor	Felony				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	19	5	26%	59	26	44%	9	3	33%		
Age Group	16	18	3	17%	56	31	55%	9	5	56%		
	17	14	3	21%	61	16	26%	9	4	44%		
Cav	Female	26	6	23%	55	18	33%	4	2	50%		
Sex	Male	25	5	20%	121	55	45%	23	10	43%		
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	Black	4	1	25%	21	11	52%	4	0	0%		
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	2	0	0%		
Ethnicity	White	21	7	33%	116	52	45%	12	9	75%		
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%		
	Unknown	26	3	12%	32	8	25%	8	2	25%		
District To	tal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group											
		Status Offense			N	1isdemear	nor		Felony			
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	2	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%		
Cass	16	2	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	0	0%		
	17	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	6	1	17%	10	1	10%	2	0	0%		
Otoe	16	6	0	0%	4	0	0%	1	0	0%		
	17	2	0	0%	8	1	12%	1	1	100%		
	14-15	11	4	36%	46	24	52%	7	3	43%		
Sarpy	16	10	3	30%	49	29	59%	6	5	83%		
	17	11	3	27%	49	13	27%	8	3	38%		
District T	otal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex												
		Status Offense			N	⁄lisdemear	or		Felony				
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated			
C	Female	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%			
Cass	Male	5	0	0%	7	3	43%	2	0	0%			
04	Female	8	1	12%	7	0	0%	0	0	0%			
Otoe	Male	6	0	0%	15	2	13%	4	1	25%			
Campu	Female	18	5	28%	45	16	36%	4	2	50%			
Sarpy	Male	14	5	36%	99	50	51%	17	9	53%			
District Total 51 11 22% 176 73 41% 27 12 4							44%						

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		St	tatus Offei	nse	N	Misdemear	or		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Cass	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
CdSS	Unknown	5	0	0%	8	5	62%	2	0	0%
Otas	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Otoe	Unknown	14	1	7%	21	2	10%	4	1	25%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Black	4	1	25%	21	11	52%	4	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	2	0	0%
Sarpy	White	21	7	33%	114	52	46%	12	9	75%
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	7	2	29%	3	1	33%	2	1	50%
District ⁻	Γotal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Felony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group		
Age Group	16	1	17%	1	20%	0			
	17	5	83%	4	80%	1	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	1	20%	0			
	White	5	83%	4	80%	1	100%		
Sex	Female	2	33%	2	40%	0			
	Male	4	67%	3	60%	1	100%		
District Total		6	100%	5	83%	1	17%		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group								
County	Age Group # # # # # #							
	16	1	0	1	0			
Sarpy	17	5	0	4	1			
District ⁻	Total	6	0	5	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex								
County	County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony # # # # #							
C	Female	2	0	2	0			
Sarpy	Male	4	0	3	1			
District ⁻	Total	6	0	5	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#				
Carmy	Black	1	1	0				
Sarpy	White	5	4	1				
District 7	Γotal	6	5	1				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	Cases	Probation				Jail		Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	16	1	17%	17	1	100%	17	0	0%	17	0	0%
Age Group	17	5	83%	18	3	60%	18	2	40%	18	0	0%
Com	Male	4	67%	18	2	100%	18	2	0%	18	0	0%
Sex	Female	2	33%	18	2	60%	18	0	40%	18	0	0%
D /Fil : :	White	5	83%	18	4	100%	18	1	0%	18	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	18	0	60%	18	1	40%	18	0	0%
District Total	6	100%	18	4	100%	18	2	0%	18	0	0%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
	A	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison			
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases		
Carny	16	1	17	1	17	0	17	0		
Sarpy	Sarpy 17		18	3	18	2	18	0		
District 7	Total	6	18	4	18	2	18	0		

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
		Total Probation Cases			Jai	l	Prison			
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases		
Carmy	Female	2	18	2	18	0	18	0		
Sarpy	Sarpy Male 4		18	2	18	2	18	0		
District ⁻	Γotal	6	18	4	18	2	18	0		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Probation Jail Total Prison Cases County Race Average Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Black 18 0 18 1 18 0 Sarpy White 5 18 4 18 1 18 0 **District Total** 6 18 4 18 2 18 0

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District									
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total
	14-15	0		0		1	10%	1	17%
Age Group	16	1	20%	0		5	50%	0	
	17	4	80%	1	100%	4	40%	5	83%
	Black	3	60%	0		3	30%	4	67%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	20%	0		1	10%	0	
	White	1	20%	1	100%	6	60%	2	33%
Carr	Female	0		0		1	10%	0	
Sex	Male	5	100%	1	100%	9	90%	6	100%
District Total		5		1		10		6	

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group								
			e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	17	1	1	0	1				
Otoe	17	0	0	1	0				
	14-15	0	0	1	1				
Sarpy	16	1	0	5	0				
	17	3	0	3	4				
District ⁻	Total	5	1	10	6				

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		•	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Cass	Male	1	1	0	1					
Otoe	Male	0	0	1	0					
Carny	Female	0	0	1	0					
Sarpy	Male	4	0	8	5					
District 7	Гotal	5	1	10	6					

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	to Cases Transfered		Cases Transfered						
Casa	Black	1	0	0	0						
Cass	White	0	1	0	1						
Otoe	Hispanic	0	0	1	0						
	Black	2	0	3	4						
Sarpy	Hispanic	1	0	0	0						
	White		0	6	1						
District 7	Γotal	5	1	10	6						

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Damagraphic	Cabart	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Revo		Satisfa Rele	-		
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	20	6%	10	6%	2	7%	14	4%		
	14-15	76	23%	55	31%	3	10%	110	34%		
Age Group	16	82	25%	38	22%	8	27%	71	22%		
	17	121	36%	63	36%	3	10%	100	30%		
	18	33	10%	10	6%	14	47%	33	10%		
	Female	102	31%	36	20%	4	13%	99	30%		
Sex	Male	230	69%	140	80%	26	87%	229	70%		
	Black	38	11%	18	10%	2	7%	28	9%		
	Indian	2	1%	10	6%	0	0%	8	2%		
Race	Other	84	25%	38	22%	6	20%	77	23%		
	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	White	207	62%	110	62%	22	73%	211	64%		
Falls and solders	Hispanic	73	22%	34	19%	6	20%	67	20%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	259	78%	142	81%	24	80%	261	80%		
District Total		332	100%	176	100%	30	100%	328	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	12	4%			
Cass	16	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	6	2%			
	17	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%			
	18	6	2%	0	0%	2	7%	6	2%			
	14-15	4	1%	1	1%	1	3%	6	2%			
04	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	17	3	1%	1	1%	1	3%	2	1%			
	18	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%			
	11-13	18	5%	10	6%	2	7%	14	4%			
	14-15	66	20%	52	30%	2	7%	92	28%			
Sarpy	16	76	23%	36	20%	8	27%	62	19%			
	17	114	34%	60	34%	2	7%	96	29%			
	18	26	8%	10	6%	12	40%	26	8%			
District	Total	332	100%	176	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	Female	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%			
Cass	Male	22	7%	4	2%	2	7%	24	7%			
Otoo	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	Male	6	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%			
Carny	Female	98	30%	34	19%	4	13%	94	29%			
Sarpy Male		202	61%	134	76%	22	73%	196	60%			
District ⁻	Γotal	332	100%	176	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

		Juver		obation istrict	Activ	ity			
Carreti	Dana	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release	
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	White	20	6%	2	1%	2	7%	24	7%
Casa	Black	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Cass	Indian	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	White	7	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%
Otoe	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Black	36	11%	16	9%	2	7%	28	9%
	Other	82	25%	38	22%	6	20%	74	23%
Sarpy	White	180	54%	106	60%	18	60%	178	54%
	Indian	2	1%	8	5%	0	0%	8	2%
	Pacific Islander		0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
District ⁻	Гotal	332	100%	176	100%	30	100%	328	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carrette	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfa Rele	•			
County		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Casa	Hispanic	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Cass	Not Hispanic	18	5%	6	3%	2	7%	22	7%			
Otas	Hispanic	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	Not Hispanic	7	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%			
Camari	Hispanic		20%	34	19%	6	20%	60	18%			
Sarpy	Not Hispanic		70%	134	76%	20	67%	230	70%			
District ⁻	Total	332	100%	176	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Y	outh Risk	Levels	and Le		robation			
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	14	6	6			6	6.0	
	14-15	43	33	50	11	2	63	7.2	
Age Group	16	52	30	47	24		71	9.1	
	17	68	53	66	39		105	10.2	
	18	28	5	78	47		125	9.8	
Cav	Female	70	32	80	26		106	9.0	
Sex	Male	135	95	167	95	2	264	9.4	
	Am. Indian		2	4	4		8	14.5	
	Asian/P.I.	1		3			3	5.0	
Race	Black	20	18	20	10		30	8.7	
	White	134	73	163	75	2	240	9.8	
	Other	50	34	57	32		89	7.8	
[the sight:	Hispanic	46	27	47	30		77	7.9	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	159	100	200	91	2	293	9.7	
District Tota	al	205	127	247	121	2	370	9.3	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	2		2			2	2.0				
	14-15	6		8			8	6.0				
Cass	16	4	2	6			6	7.7				
	17	4		2			2	25.0				
	18	6		12	2		14	8.9				
	14-15	1	3	4	1		5	5.6				
Otoe	16			1			1	6.0				
Otoe	17		3	2	1		3	10.3				
	18		1		3		3	7.3				
	11-13	12	6	4			4	8.0				
	14-15	36	30	38	10	2	50	7.6				
Sarpy	16	48	28	40	24		64	9.2				
	17	64	50	62	40		102	9.8				
	18	22	4	66	42		108	10.0				
District ⁻	Total	205	127	247	123	2	372	9.2				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex										
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Yout	n Discharged fr	om Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Cons	Female		2	4			4	14.0			
Cass	Male	22		24	2		26	8.2			
Otto	Female		2		2		2	7.5			
Otoe	Male	1	5	7	3		10	7.2			
C	Female	70	28	76	26		102	8.8			
Sarpy	Male	112	90	136	88	2	226	9.6			
District To	tal	205	127	247	121	2	370	9.3			

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	Am. Indian				2		2	12.0				
Cass	Black		2	2			2	8.0				
Cass	White	20		24			24	9.4				
	Other	2		2			2	1.0				
	Asian/P.I.	1		1			1	5.0				
Otoe	White		7	5	5		10	7.3				
	Other			1			1	9.0				
	Am. Indian		2	4	2		6	15.3				
	Asian/P.I.			2			2	5.0				
Sarpy	Black	20	16	18	10		28	8.8				
	White	114	66	134	70	2	206	9.9				
	Other	48	34	54	32		86	7.9				
District ⁻	Гotal	205	127	247	121	2	370	9.3				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth Starting Probation		Youth	n Discharged fi	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Cons	Hispanic	6		4			4	2.5			
Cass	Not Hispanic	16	2	26	2		28	9.4			
Otos	Hispanic		1	1	2		3	8.3			
Otoe	Not Hispanic	1	6	6	3		9	6.9			
Carmy	Hispanic	40	26	42	28		70	8.1			
Sarpy	Not Hispanic	142	92	168	84	2	254	9.6			
District To	tal	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.2			

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	51	2	49	96%			
Ago Croup	14-15	9	4	5	56%	133	7	126	95%			
Age Group	16	43	25	18	42%	112	5	107	96%			
	17	66	36	30	45%	123	3	120	98%			
	Female	25	14	11	44%	142	7	135	95%			
Sex	Male	92	52	40	43%	277	10	267	96%			
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%							
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%			
	Black	18	8	10	56%	61	1	60	98%			
Dana (Ethaniaitus	Hispanic	17	13	4	24%	8	1	7	88%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	77	41	36	47%	236	0	236	100%			
	Other	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	110	15	95	86%			
District Total		119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
	11-13					3	0	3	100%					
Cass	14-15					7	0	7	100%					
Cass	16	4	4	0	0%	13	0	13	100%					
	17	6	4	2	33%	8	0	8	100%					
	11-13					4	2	2	50%					
Otas	14-15					19	7	12	63%					
Otoe	16	2	2	0	0%	11	5	6	55%					
	17	4	3	1	25%	11	2	9	82%					
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	44	0	44	100%					
Carny	14-15	9	4	5	56%	107	0	107	100%					
Sarpy	16	37	19	18	49%	88	0	88	100%					
	17	56	29	27	48%	104	1	103	99%					
District ⁻	Fotal	119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%					

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
C	Female Cass		0	1	100%	5	0	5	100%				
Cass	Male	9	8	1	11%	26	0	26	100%				
04	Female	3	3	0	0%	17	7	10	59%				
Otoe	Male	3	2	1	33%	28	9	19	68%				
	Female	21	11	10	48%	120	0	120	100%				
Sarpy	Male	80	42	38	48%	223	1	222	100%				
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%								
District 7	Γotal	119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%				

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity Juvenile Court Cases **Criminal Court Cases** Total Total Race/ # County % With # With % With Juvenile # With Criminal Ethnicity Without Without Court Counsel Counsel Court Counsel Counsel Counsel Counsel Cases Cases Black 1 0 1 100% White 5 0 5 100% 7 6 1 14% Cass Other 1 1 0 0% 25 0 25 100% Unknown 2 1 1 50% Hispanic 1 1 0 0% 3 2 1 33% Otoe White 2 2 0 0% Unknown 66% 1 0 0% 44 15 29 1 Am. Indian 0 2 100% Black 60 59 98% 8 1 18 10 56% 7 7 Hispanic 0 100% 14 11 3 21% Sarpy White 231 231 100% 0 68 33 35 51% Other 2 0 2 100% 1 0 0% 1

41

402

0

17

100%

96%

2

119

0

66

2

53

100%

45%

41

419

Unknown

District Total

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Sta	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor	Felony					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated			
	14-15	79	39	49%	53	43	81%	21	20	95%			
Age Group	16	31	16	52%	24	18	75%	5	5	100%			
o. oup	17	0	0	0%	39	31	79%	8	6	75%			
	Female	51	25	49%	48	37	77%	2	1	50%			
Sex	Male	59	30	51%	68	55	81%	31	29	94%			
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%			
	Am. Indian	2	0	0%	8	7	88%	2	2	100%			
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%			
	Black	21	9	43%	32	22	69%	5	4	80%			
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	26	14	54%	16	11	69%	2	2	100%			
Lamierty	White	53	31	58%	52	44	85%	20	19	95%			
	Other	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%			
	Unknown		0	0%	6	6	100%	2	1	50%			
District Tot	tal	110	55	50%	116	92	79%	34	31	91%			

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Felony						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	16	2	20%	0		2	25%					
	17	8	80%	2	100%	6	75%					
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	29%	0		2	40%					
	Hispanic	1	14%	1	50%	0						
	White	4	57%	1	50%	3	60%					
	Unknown	3		0		3						
Sex	Sex Female 1 10% 1 50% 0											
	Male	9	90%	1	50%	8	100%					
District Total		10	100%	2	20%	8	80%					

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District													
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Jail		Prison				
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort		
Ago Croup	16	2	20%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	2	100%		
Age Group	17	8	80%	18	2	25%	18	1	12%	18	5	62%		
Cav	Male	9	90%	18	2	0%	18	0	0%	18	7	100%		
Sex	Female	1	10%	18	0	25%	18	1	12%	18	0	62%		
	White	4	57%	18	1	0%	18	0	0%	18	3	100%		
D /Ftl : - : t	Hispanic	1	14%	18	0	25%	18	1	12%	18	0	62%		
Race/Ethnicity	Race/Ethnicity Black		29%	18	0	25%	18	0	12%	18	2	62%		
	Unknown	3	0%	17	1	25%	17	0	12%	17	2	62%		
District Total		10	100%	18	2	0%	18	1	0%	18	7	100%		

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	11-13	0		0		1	14%	0					
Ago Croup	14-15	1	25%	0		0		0					
Age Group	16	0		0		1	14%	0					
	17	3	75%	1	100%	5	71%	0					
	Black	1	25%	0		3	60%	0					
D /File i .it	Hispanic	1	25%	0		0		0					
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	50%	1	100%	2	40%	0					
	Unknown	0		0		2		0					
Carr	Female	2	50%	1	100%	1	14%	0					
Sex	Male	2	50%	0		6	86%	0					
District Total		4		1		7		0					

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Dania swambia	Calcard	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release						
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	11-13	26	5%	14	4%	30	7%					
	14-15			126	34%	178	40%					
Age Group	16	112	23%	76	20%	102	23%					
	17	140	29%	100	27%	108	24%					
	18	36	7%	60	16%	26	6%					
	Female	188	38%	138	37%	166	37%					
Sex	Male	302	62%	238	63%	278	63%					
	Black	126	26%	98	26%	118	27%					
	Indian	44	9%	52	14%	28	6%					
Race	Other	22	4%	30	8%	12	3%					
	Pacific Islander	14	3%	8	2%	4	1%					
	White	284	58%	188	50%	282	64%					
Fall of the	Hispanic	114	23%	88	23%	94	21%					
Ethnicity Not Hispanic		376	77%	288	77%	350	79%					
District Total		490	100%	376	100%	444	100%					

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth R	isk Levels I	and Le Distric		of Probatic	on		
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	6	20			0		
	14-15	72	104	64	18	82	7.9	
Age Group	16	38	74	40	42	82	11.1	
	17	60	80	86	70	156	13.9	
	18	22	14	106	142	248	20.9	
Sex	Female	70	118	100	98	198	15.4	
Sex	Male	128	174	196	174	370	15.8	
	Am. Indian	12	32	16	18	34	24.3	
	Asian/P.I.	6	8	8		8	8.8	
Race	Black	52	74	74	96	170	14.7	
	White	118	166	188	148	336	15.2	
	Other	10	12	10	10	20	20.6	
Ethnicity	Hispanic		66	68	58	126	16.7	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	150	226	228	214	442	15.4	
District Tota	il	198	292	296	272	568	15.7	

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases							
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13	2	0	2	100%	87	22	65	75%				
Ago Croup	14-15	8	5	3	38%	204	61	143	70%				
Age Group	16	46	32	14	30%	79	29	50	63%				
	17	87	56	31	36%	69	21	48	70%				
	Female	49	33	16	33%	175	57	118	67%				
Sex	Male	94	60	34	36%	263	76	187	71%				
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%				
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%	19	5	14	74%				
	Asian/P.I.	4	4	0	0%	8	1	7	88%				
	Black	36	23	13	36%	114	31	83	73%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	24	14	10	42%	70	19	51	73%				
	White	65	44	21	32%	207	70	137	66%				
	Other	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%				
	Unknown	12	8	4	33%	19	6	13	68%				
District Total		143	93	50	35%	439	133	306	70%				

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

		Ju	venile Peti	tions and A Dis	djudicati strict	on by Case	: Туре				
Demo-			Status Offen	se		Misdemean	or	Felony			
graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
	14-15	21	19	90%	87	58	67%	45	36	80%	
Age Group	16	16	13	81%	51	37	73%	35	29	83%	
o. oup	17	3	2	67%	62	40	65%	23	20	87%	
6	Female	18	15	83%	57	38	67%	22	18	82%	
Sex	Male	22	19	86%	143	97	68%	81	67	83%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	4	3	75%	
	Black	9	9	100%	110	72	65%	58	49	84%	
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	14	12	86%	29	18	62%	17	12	71%	
zamiety	White	3	2	67%	51	37	73%	23	20	87%	
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	14	11	79%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
District Total		40	34	85%	200	135	68%	103	85	83%	

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All Cases		Traffic		Misde	meanor	Fel	ony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	14-15	11	8%	0		0		11	22%				
	16	43	32%	11	44%	20	34%	12	24%				
	17	80	60%	14	56%	38	66%	28	55%				
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	1	1%	0		0		1	2%				
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	0		0		1	2%				
	Black	41	31%	4	16%	13	23%	24	47%				
	Hispanic	37	28%	8	32%	13	23%	16	31%				
	White	51	38%	12	48%	30	53%	9	18%				
	Other	2	2%	1	4%	1	2%	0					
	Unknown	1		0		1		0					
Sex	Female	33	25%	12	48%	20	34%	1	2%				
	Male	101	75%	13	52%	38	66%	50	98%				
District Total		134	100%	25	19%	58	43%	51	38%				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

			Juveni	les Prosecut		ult Crimi District	nal Court b	y Senten	cing				
		Total C	ases	Probation				Jail			Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
	14-15	11	8%	19	1	9%	19	3	27%	19	7	64%	
Age Group	16	43	32%	17	33	77%	17	3	7%	17	7	16%	
	17	80	60%	18	51	64%	18	13	16%	18	16	20%	
C	Male	101	75%	18	57	9%	18	15	27%	18	29	64%	
Sex	Female	33	25%	17	28	77%	17	4	7%	17	1	16%	
	Black	41	31%	18	17	9%	18	9	27%	18	15	64%	
	Hispanic	37	28%	17	26	9%	17	3	27%	17	8	64%	
	White	51	38%	18	39	9%	18	6	27%	18	6	64%	
Race/Ethnicity	Other	2	2%	16	2	77%	16	0	7%	16	0	16%	
	Unknown	1	0%	16	1	77%	16	0	7%	16	0	16%	
	Am. Indian	1	1%	18	0	64%	18	0	16%	18	1	20%	
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	18	0	64%	18	1	16%	18	0	20%	
District Total		134	100%	18	85	9%	18	19	27%	18	30	64%	

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District									
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	1	14%	0		10	20%	0		
Age Group	16	3	43%	0		15	29%	0		
	17	3	43%	0		26	51%	0		
	Am. Indian	0		0		1	2%	0		
	Asian/P.I.	1	14%	0		2	4%	0		
Race/Ethnicity	Black	3	43%	0		22	43%	0		
	Hispanic	2	29%	0		16	31%	0		
	White	1	14%	0		10	20%	0		
Cov	Female	0		0		7	14%	0		
Sex	Male	7	100%	0		44	86%	0		
District Total		7		0		51		0		

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile	e Proba Distr		ctivity				
Damagraphic	Cabart	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	30	4%	32	5%	52	6%	
	14-15	226	32%	254	36%	328	40%	
Age Group	16	180	26%	198	28%	218	27%	
	17	188	27%	154	22%	174	21%	
	18	74	11%	58	8%	40	5%	
	Female	194	28%	174	25%	218	27%	
Sex	Male	504	72%	522	75%	594	73%	
	Black	340	49%	382	55%	356	44%	
	Indian	16	2%	18	3%	10	1%	
Race	Other	130	19%	100	14%	186	23%	
	Pacific Islander	20	3%	26	4%	6	1%	
	White	192	28%	170	24%	254	31%	
Falls or i wide a	156	22%	130	19%	244	30%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	542	78%	566	81%	568	70%	
District Total		698	100%	696	100%	812	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	11-13	8	22		2	2		4	4.0			
	14-15	28	198		44	46	2	92	10.4			
Age Group	16	24	154	2	66	102	2	170	15.1			
	17	40	144	4	74	152		226	16.1			
	18	14	60		92	226		318	18.1			
Sex	Female	18	174	2	72	148		220	15.6			
Sex	Male	96	404	4	206	380	4	590	16.1			
	Am. Indian	2	14		4	10		14	10.9			
	Asian/P.I.	2	18		4	4		8	27.5			
Race	Black	32	304	4	90	244	2	336	17.9			
	White	46	146		98	158	2	258	14.3			
	Other	32	96	2	82	112		194	14.8			
Ethnicity	Hispanic	34	120	2	108	136		244	14.7			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	80	458	4	170	392	4	566	16.5			
District Tota	nl	114	578	6	278	528	4	810	16.0			

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile Court Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					80	0	80	100%		
Age Group	14-15	27	0	27	100%	346	0	346	100%		
Age Group	16	102	34	68	67%	217	2	215	99%		
	17	174	53	121	70%	215	1	214	100%		
	Female	67	24	43	64%	246	2	244	99%		
Sex	Male	236	63	173	73%	602	1	601	100%		
	Unknown					10	0	10	100%		
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	6	0	6	100%		
	Asian/P.I.	10	0	10	100%	22	0	22	100%		
	Black	109	24	85	78%	458	1	457	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	76	20	56	74%	134	1	133	99%		
	White	103	40	63	61%	198	1	197	99%		
	Other	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	35	0	35	100%		
District Total		303	87	216	71%	858	3	855	100%		

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	5	4	80%	10	9	90%	48	42	88%	12	8	67%
Age Group	16	5	5	100%	10	9	90%	49	44	90%	10	9	90%
J. J. J. J.	17	3	3	100%	5	4	80%	49	37	76%	9	8	89%
	Female	4	3	75%	14	12	86%	33	29	88%	4	3	75%
Sex	Male	9	9	100%	10	9	90%	108	89	82%	25	20	80%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	2	2	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	8	8	100%	4	3	75%	50	44	88%	8	7	88%
	White	4	3	75%	17	16	94%	81	67	83%	13	10	77%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	12	9	75%	8	6	75%
District To	tal	13	12	92%	25	22	88%	146	123	84%	31	25	81%

				Juvenile			ıdicatior Age Gro	_	se Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Boone	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Butler	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	20	20	100%	2	1	50%
Colfax	16	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	13	13	100%	0	0	0%
	17	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
Merrick	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	3	3	100%
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%
Nance	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%
Platte	16	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	5	4	80%	2	1	50%
	17	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	11	6	55%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Polk	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%
Saunders	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	2	2	100%
Seward	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony													
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	4	2	50%
York	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	17 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 1 0 0%												
District Total	District Total 13 12 92% 25 22 88% 146 123 84% 31 25 81%												

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjud ounty ar	lication nd Sex	by Cas	e Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	Si	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
D	Female	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Boone	Male	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%
Dutlan	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Butler	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
Calfan	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	16	94%	0	0	0%
Colfax	Male	7	7	100%	2	1	50%	29	27	93%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	17	15	88%	2	2	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%
Nance	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%
	Female	3	3	100%	8	6	75%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
Platte	Male	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	15	10	67%	3	2	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
6 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
Saunders	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	3	2	67%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Seward	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Vaul	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
York	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	8	67%	4	2	50%
District Tota	al	13	12	92%	25	22	88%	146	123	84%	31	25	81%

			Juve	enile Ca C		d Adjud and Rad			е Туре,				
		Tr	raffic Offe			tatus Offei			/lisdemear	ıor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
D.	White	2	1	50%	4	4	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Boone	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Butler	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	6	6	100%	2	1	50%	39	37	95%	2	1	50%
Colfax	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Hamilton	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	17	16	94%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Merrick	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	3	3	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Nance	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Platte	Hispanic	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	7	4	57%	2	2	100%
	White	1	1	100%	8	7	88%	15	11	73%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Saunders	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
Seward	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	14	12	86%	2	2	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
York	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	5	62%	3	1	33%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%
District To	tal	13	12	92%	25	22	88%	146	123	84%	31	25	81%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	Cases	Fel	ony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	16	1	100%	1	100%					
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	1		1						
Sex	Unknown	1		1						
District Total 1 100% 1 100%										

Juvenil	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic #	Misdemeanor	Felony #							
Merrick												
District Total 1 0 0 1												

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County	Sex	All Sex Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony								
		#	#	#	#					
Merrick Unknown 1 0 0 1										
District Total 1 0 0 1										

Crimin	es Prosecu al Court b ty and Rac	y Case	Type,					
County	Race	All Cases	Felony					
		#	#					
Merrick Unknown 1 1								
District Total 1 1								

District 5

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases	Probation			Jail				Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement Number % of Cases Cohort			Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Group	16	1	100%	19	0	0%	19	0	0%	19	1	100%	
Sex	Unknown	1	/0	19	0	0%	19	0	0%	19	1	100%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	1	/0	19	0	0%	19	0	0%	19	1	100%	
District Total	District Total 1 100% 19 0 0% 19 0 0% 19 1 100%											100%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Total Probation Jail Prison Cases Age County Average Average Average Group Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Merrick 16 1 19 0 19 0 1 **District Total** 1 19 0 19 0 19 1

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
Total Probation Jail Prison Cases								n				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Merrick	Merrick Unknown 1 19 0 19 0 19 1											
District T	District Total 1 19 0 19 0 19 1											

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
Total Probation Jail Prison Cases												
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Merrick	Merrick Unknown 1 19 0 19 0 19 1											
District T	District Total 1 19 0 19 0 19 1											

District 5

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cou	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
Aga Craun	16	0		0		0		1	100%		
Age Group	17	2	100%	0		2	100%	0			
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		1	100%	0			
Da aa /Etlaniaitus	Hispanic	0		0		0		1	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0		0		0			
	Unknown	1		0		1		0			
	Female	0		0		0		1	100%		
Sex	Male	2	100%	0		1	100%	0			
	Unknown	0		0		1		0			
District Total		2		0		2		1			

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		•	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Butler	17	0	0	1	0						
Nance	17	1	0	1	0						
Platte	16	0	0	0	1						
Seward	17	1	0	0	0						
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1						

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
			e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Butler	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
Nance	Male	1	0	1	0						
Platte	Female	0	0	0	1						
Seward	Male	1	0	0	0						
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1						

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Butler	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
N1	Asian/P.I.	0	0	1	0						
Nance	Unknown	1	0	0	0						
Platte	Hispanic	0	0	0	1						
Seward	White	1	0	0	0						
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1						

District 5

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cabart		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	16	6%	10	8%	0	0%	18	6%		
	14-15	76	27%	38	32%	4	20%	92	31%		
Age Group	16	66	24%	30	25%	6	30%	72	24%		
	17	96	35%	32	27%	2	10%	102	34%		
	18	24	9%	10	8%	8	40%	12	4%		
	Female	76	27%	52	43%	8	40%	100	34%		
Sex	Male	202	73%	68	57%	12	60%	196	66%		
	Black	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%		
	Indian	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%		
Race	Other	52	19%	22	18%	4	20%	52	18%		
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	White	216	78%	90	75%	16	80%	240	81%		
Falls and solders	Hispanic	80	29%	32	27%	4	20%	86	29%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	198	71%	88	73%	16	80%	210	71%		
District Total		278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-	
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Doone	16	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%	
Boone	17	6	2%	2	2%	2	10%	0	0%	
	18	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	14-15	6	2%	10	8%	0	0%	2	1%	
Butler	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	17	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	2	1%	0	0%	4	20%	0	0%	
	14-15	14	5%	4	3%	0	0%	14	5%	
Calfan	16	4	1%	8	7%	2	10%	8	3%	
Colfax	17	10	4%	2	2%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	10	3%	
Hamilton	16	12	4%	0	0%	0	0%	12	4%	
патписоп	17	16	6%	2	2%	0	0%	12	4%	
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	11-13	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
	14-15	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
Merrick	16	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%	
	17	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
	18	2	1%	2	2%	2	10%	2	1%	
	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Nance	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	11-13	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%	
	14-15	16	6%	8	7%	2	10%	14	5%	
Platte	16	8	3%	6	5%	2	10%	16	5%	
	17	26	9%	10	8%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release				
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
Polk	16	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%			
FOIK	17	10	4%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%			
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%			
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	2	10%	8	3%			
Saunders	16	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%			
	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	10	3%			
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	12	4%	2	2%	0	0%	20	7%			
Seward	16	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%			
	17	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%			
	18	0	0%	4	3%	2	10%	0	0%			
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%			
Vaul	14-15	12	4%	6	5%	0	0%	16	5%			
York	16	4	1%	2	2%	2	10%	4	1%			
	17	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	16	5%			
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%			

		Juv	enile I	Probati Distric		tivity			
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-
County	JCA	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Boone	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%
воопе	Male	8	3%	4	3%	2	10%	2	1%
Dutler	Female	10	4%	8	7%	2	10%	12	4%
Butler	Male	10	4%	2	2%	2	10%	10	3%
Calfan	Female	8	3%	8	7%	0	0%	10	3%
Colfax	Male	24	9%	8	7%	2	10%	30	10%
11	Female	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	2%
Hamilton	Male	26	9%	2	2%	0	0%	32	11%
	Female	4	1%	6	5%	2	10%	2	1%
Merrick	Male	22	8%	10	8%	0	0%	12	4%
Nicora	Female	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Nance	Male	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
51	Female	20	7%	16	13%	2	10%	32	11%
Platte	Male	38	14%	10	8%	2	10%	24	8%
5 !!	Female	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%
Polk	Male	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%
6 1	Female	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
Saunders	Male	14	5%	6	5%	2	10%	26	9%
Causerd	Female	8	3%	4	3%	2	10%	16	5%
Seward	Male	22	8%	10	8%	0	0%	16	5%
V 1	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
York	Male	26	9%	12	10%	2	10%	36	12%
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%

		Juveni		bation strict	Activit	Ţ			
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	_
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Boone	White	12	4%	6	5%	2	10%	6	2%
Butler	White	16	6%	8	7%	4	20%	20	7%
butter	Other	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
	Other	20	7%	10	8%	2	10%	30	10%
Colfax	Colfax Indian		0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
	White		4%	4	3%	0	0%	10	3%
Hamilton	White	32	12%	4	3%	0	0%	38	13%
N. 4	White	26	9%	16	13%	2	10%	12	4%
Merrick	Black	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Nance	White	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
	Other	22	8%	8	7%	2	10%	14	5%
Platte	White	34	12%	18	15%	2	10%	42	14%
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
D 11	White	14	5%	6	5%	0	0%	14	5%
Polk	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	16	6%	4	3%	2	10%	28	9%
Saunders	Black	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
	Indian	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	26	9%	12	10%	2	10%	28	9%
Seward	Other	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	White	24	9%	10	8%	2	10%	40	14%
V = ul·	Black	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
York	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	[the minite	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	_			
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Danna	Hispanic	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
Boone	Not Hispanic	10	4%	4	3%	2	10%	4	1%			
Death	Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
Butler	Not Hispanic	16	6%	8	7%	4	20%	18	6%			
6.16	Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	36	12%			
Colfax	Not Hispanic	6	2%	6	5%	0	0%	4	1%			
11	Hispanic	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
Hamilton	Not Hispanic	26	9%	2	2%	0	0%	34	11%			
	Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
Merrick	Not Hispanic	22	8%	14	12%	2	10%	14	5%			
Nance	Not Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
DI - ++ -	Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	22	7%			
Platte	Not Hispanic	32	12%	16	13%	2	10%	34	11%			
Polk	Not Hispanic	16	6%	6	5%	0	0%	14	5%			
C	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Saunders	Not Hispanic	18	6%	8	7%	2	10%	28	9%			
C	Hispanic	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%			
Seward	Not Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	28	9%			
Vaule	Hispanic	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	14	5%			
York	Not Hispanic	22	8%	12	10%	2	10%	30	10%			
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%			

District 5

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	12	4		6			6	7.7	
	14-15	30	44	2	22	10	2	34	8.8	
Age Group	16	44	22		18	14	2	34	10.3	
	17	56	38	2	58	22	2	82	10.6	
	18	18	6		64	40		104	12.9	
Sav	Female	30	46		48	34		82	13.7	
Sex	Male	130	68	4	120	52	6	178	10.0	
	Am. Indian		4					0		
	Asian/P.I.	2	2		2			2	10.0	
Race	Black		2		2			2	6.0	
	White	138	76	2	150	66	6	222	10.4	
	Other	20	30	2	14	20		34	16.5	
[the sister	Hispanic	32	46	2	36	24	2	62	13.8	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	128	68	2	132	62	4	198	10.4	
District Tota	al	160	114	4	168	86	6	260	11.2	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	14-15				2			2	8.0			
Boone	16	4				2		2	5.0			
boone	17	6			2			2	24.0			
	18		2					0				
	11-13	2						0				
	14-15		6					0				
Butler	16	4				2		2	14.0			
	17	6			10			10	5.0			
	18	2			6	4		10	10.2			
	14-15	8	4	2	4			4	9.0			
Colfax	16	2	2			2		2	12.0			
Collax	17	4	6		6	2		8	11.8			
	18	4			6	4		10	9.4			
	14-15		2		2		2	4	4.5			
Hamilton	16	10	2		2	4	2	8	6.5			
паппппоп	17	8	6	2	8	2	2	12	9.0			
	18		2		6	6		12	10.3			
	11-13		2					0				
	14-15	4	4					0				
Merrick	16	6	2		2	2		4	16.5			
	17	4	2		4			4	10.0			
	18	2			6	2		8	19.0			
Nan	16	4						0				
Nance	18				2			2	6.0			
	11-13				2			2	7.0			
Dlatt	14-15	6	10			6		6	10.0			
Platte	16	4	4			2		2	12.0			
	17	6	20		6	6		12	14.2			

		Y	outh F	Risk Levels and by County ar			ation			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Platte	18	6	2		12	18		30	16.7	
	14-15	2						0		
Polk	16	2			2			2	18.0	
POIK	17	10			8			8	8.2	
	18	2			2			2	8.0	
	11-13	4			2			2	12.0	
	14-15		4		2			2	9.0	
Saunders	16	2	6		2	2		4	11.0	
	17	2			8	2		10	11.2	
	18	2			6			6	11.7	
	11-13	4						0		
	14-15	6	6		4	2		6	13.0	
Seward	16	4	4		6			6	8.3	
	17	4	2		4	2		6	9.7	
	18				10	2		12	12.3	
	11-13	2	2		2			2	4.0	
	14-15	4	8		8	2		10	7.4	
York	16	2	2		4			4	6.5	
	17	6	2		2	4		6	17.7	
	18				8	2		10	9.2	
District To	tal	160	114	4	168	82	6	256	11.2	

		١	outh F	Risk Levels and by Count			tion		
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	_	e Length of bation
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Danna	Female	4			4			4	16.0
Boone	Male	6	2			2		2	5.0
Dutler	Female	6	4		8	4		12	10.2
Butler	Male	8	2		10	2		12	6.3
Colfax	Female	4	4		2			2	12.0
Collax	Male	14	8	2	12	8		20	10.3
Hamilton	Female		6		2	4		6	12.7
паппиоп	Male	18	6	2	14	8	6	28	7.2
Merrick	Female	2	2		2	4		6	23.0
METTICK	Male	14	8		10	2		12	12.5
Nance	Female				2			2	6.0
ivance	Male	4						0	
Platte	Female	2	18		8	14		22	15.9
rialle	Male	20	18		12	18		30	13.9
Polk	Female	8			4			4	11.5
POIK	Male	8			8			8	9.0
Caundors	Female		6		2			2	8.0
Saunders	Male	10	4		18	4		22	11.5
Coward	Female	2	6		12	4		16	8.6
Seward	Male	16	6		12	2		14	14.0
Voul	Female	2			2	2		4	28.5
York	Male	12	14		22	6		28	6.9
District Tot	tal	160	114	4	166 84 6 256				11.2

		You	ıth Ris	k Levels and by County a			ion		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Boone	White	10	2		4	2		6	12.3
Dutler	White	12	4		14	6		20	8.1
Butler	Other	2	2		2			2	9.0
Colfax	White	8	4		10			10	7.8
Coltax	Other	10	8	2	6	8		14	12.1
Hamilton	White	18	12	2	16	14	6	36	7.9
N.A. mui ale	Black				2			2	6.0
Merrick	White	16	10		10	6		16	17.2
Nance	White	4			2			2	6.0
	Asian/P.I.	2						0	
Platte	White	14	20		18	24		42	13.2
	Other	6	16		2	10		12	19.8
Polk	White	14			12			12	9.8
POIK	Other	2						0	
	Am. Indian		2					0	
Saunders	Black		2					0	
	White	10	6		20	4		24	11.2
	Asian/P.I.		2		2			2	10.0
Seward	White	18	8		20	6		26	11.2
	Other		2		2			2	12.0
	Am. Indian		2					0	
York	White	14	10		24	6		30	6.9
	Other		2			2		2	49.0
District To	tal	160	114	4	166	88	6	260	11.2

		You		K Levels and L by County and			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	_	e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
D	Hispanic	2						0	
Boone	Not Hispanic	8	2		4			4	16.0
Dutlan	Hispanic	2	2		2			2	9.0
Butler	Not Hispanic	12	4		14	6		20	8.1
Calfan	Hispanic	14	10	2	12	8		20	11.2
Colfax	Not Hispanic	4	2		4			4	6.0
Hamilton	Hispanic	2	4			2	2	4	6.5
паппиоп	Not Hispanic	16	8	2	18	10	4	32	8.6
Merrick	Hispanic	2	2					0	
METTICK	Not Hispanic	14	8		12	6		18	16.0
Nance	Not Hispanic	4			2			2	6.0
Diette	Hispanic	4	22		8	12		20	18.9
Platte	Not Hispanic	18	14		12	22		34	12.2
Polk	Not Hispanic	16			10			10	8.2
Saunders	Hispanic	2						0	
Sauriuers	Not Hispanic	8	10		20	4		24	11.2
Coward	Hispanic		4		4			4	11.0
Seward	Not Hispanic	18	8		20	6		26	11.2
York	Hispanic	4	2		8	2		10	15.4
TOIK	Not Hispanic	10	12		18	6		24	7.8
District To	tal	160	114	4	168	84	6	258	11.3

District 5

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access t District		el						
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13					32	7	25	78%			
Aga Craup	14-15 2 1 1 50% 107 37 70 65%											
Age Group	16	3	1	2	67%	92	39	53	58%			
	17	14	11	3	21%	90	48	42	47%			
	Female	4	4	0	0%	85	36	49	58%			
Sex	Male	8	6	2	25%	216	88	128	59%			
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	20	7	13	65%			
	Asian/P.I.					3	0	3	100%			
	Black					7	2	5	71%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	99	56	43	43%			
	White	4	4	0	0%	175	62	113	65%			
	Unknown	10	4	6	60%	37	11	26	70%			
District Total		19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%			

				nile Acce County ar					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	14-15					4	2	2	50%
Boone	16					7	3	4	57%
	17					8	5	3	38%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Butler	14-15					2	1	1	50%
butter	16					4	1	3	75%
	17	4	2	2	50%	6	5	1	17%
	11-13					7	5	2	29%
Colfax	14-15					30	20	10	33%
Collax	16	1	1	0	0%	21	12	9	43%
	17	2	2	0	0%	17	10	7	41%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Hamilton	14-15					4	3	1	25%
Паннисон	16					10	5	5	50%
	17					11	6	5	45%
	11-13					4	0	4	100%
Marrial	14-15					9	1	8	89%
Merrick	16					4	1	3	75%
	17	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Namas	16					5	2	3	60%
Nance	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	11-13					9	2	7	78%
Diette	14-15					19	7	12	63%
Platte	16					18	10	8	44%
	17					18	9	9	50%
	14-15					2	0	2	100%
Polk	16					2	2	0	0%
	17	1	1	0	0%	4	3	1	25%
Saunders	14-15					9	1	8	89%

					ss to Coo nd Age G						
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Saunders 16 2 0 2 100% 2 0 2 100% Saunders											
Sauriders	17	2	2	0	0%	4	1	3	75%		
	11-13					7	0	7	100%		
Seward	14-15					14	1	13	93%		
Seward	16					11	0	11	100%		
	17	2	1	1	50%	6	1	5	83%		
	11-13					3	0	3	100%		
York	14-15	2	1	1	50%	14	1	13	93%		
YORK	16				8	3	5	62%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%		
District To	tal	19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex											
		Criminal Court Cases					Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Daona	Female					8	5	3	38%			
Boone	Male					11	5	6	55%			
	Female	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%			
Butler	Male					7	4	3	43%			
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	1	1	0	0%			
C 15	Female	1	1	0	0%	24	14	10	42%			
Colfax	Male	2	2	0	0%	51	33	18	35%			
	Female					2	1	1	50%			
Hamilton	Male					24	13	11	46%			
	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
Merrick	Male					15	4	11	73%			
	Unknown					8	3	5	62%			
Nance	Male	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%			
	Female					24	11	13	54%			
Platte	Male					31	14	17	55%			
	Unknown					9	3	6	67%			
	Female					3	1	2	67%			
Polk	Male					4	4	0	0%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	Female					4	0	4	100%			
Saunders	Male	4	2	2	50%	11	2	9	82%			
	Female	1	1	0	0%	11	2	9	82%			
Seward	Male					26	0	26	100%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
	Female					2	0	2	100%			
York	Male	1	1	0	0%	30	7	23	77%			
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%							
District To	tal	19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Boone	White	15	10	5	33%						
воопе	Unknown	4	0	4	100%						
Butler	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
butter	Unknown	11	5	6	55%	3	1	2	67%		
Calfan	Hispanic	63	39	24	38%	3	3	0	0%		
Colfax	White	12	8	4	33%						
	Black	1	0	1	100%						
Hamilton	Hispanic	4	0	4	100%						
	White	21	14	7	33%						
Merrick	Hispanic	3	2	1	33%						
	White	22	5	17	77%						
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%						
Nance	White	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%						
	Asian/P.I.	2	0	2	100%						
	Black	2	2	0	0%						
Platte	Hispanic	20	12	8	40%						
	White	38	13	25	66%						
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%						
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1	100%						
Polk	White	5	3	2	40%						
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
_	White	11	2	9	82%	2	2	0	0%		
Saunders	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	2	0	2	100%		
	Black	4	0	4	100%						
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%		
Seward	White	33	2	31	94%						
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	nicity Juvenile ## # With % With Criminal ## Without ## With						# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Hispanic	6	1	5	83%							
York	White	16	4	12	75%	1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown 10 2 8 80% 2 1 1 50%											
District To	tal	321	131	190	59%	19	13	6	32%			

District 6

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District											
		Status Offense			М	Misdemeanor			Felony			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	10	7	70%	36	21	58%	10	8	80%		
Age Group	16	12	7	58%	33	22	67%	10	10	100%		
0.00.0	17	8	7	88%	37	26	70%	7	5	71%		
	Female	12	8	67%	34	19	56%	2	2	100%		
Sex	Male	18	13	72%	71	50	70%	25	21	84%		
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	9	6	67%	0	0	0%		
	Black	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%		
Race/	Hispanic	8	5	62%	20	16	80%	6	5	83%		
Ethnicity	White	9	5	56%	57	36	63%	12	11	92%		
	Other	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	Unknown	11	9	82%	13	6	46%	9	7	78%		
District Tot	tal	30	21	70%	106	69	65%	27	23	85%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group											
	Age Group	Status Offense			N	1isdemear	nor		Felony			
County		Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
Donat	16	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%		
Burt	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%		
Cedar	16	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	1	0	0%	12	9	75%	3	2	67%		
Dakota	16	2	1	50%	9	9	100%	0	0	0%		
	17	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%		
Dixon	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	9	7	78%	12	6	50%	5	4	80%		
Dodge	16	8	4	50%	12	6	50%	10	10	100%		
	17	6	5	83%	21	17	81%	5	3	60%		
Thurston	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%		
Thurston	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	2	2	100%		
Washington	16	1	1	100%	8	5	62%	0	0	0%		
	17	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	1	100%		
District Total		30	21	70%	106	69	65%	27	23	85%		

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex											
		Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony			
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
Desert	Female	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Burt	Male	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Carlan	Female	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Cedar	Male	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%	
Daliata	Female	3	1	33%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%	
Dakota	Male	1	1	100%	20	18	90%	3	2	67%	
Dixon	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Dadas	Female	7	5	71%	15	12	80%	1	1	100%	
Dodge	Male	16	11	69%	30	17	57%	19	16	84%	
T I .	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Thurston	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Female	1	1	100%	5	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Washington	Male	0	0	0%	14	10	71%	3	3	100%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
District Total 30 21 70% 106 69 65% 27 23 85								85%			

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Burt	White	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Burt	Other	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
Cedar	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Dakota	Hispanic	2	2	100%	9	8	89%	3	3	100%
	White	1	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dixon	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Dodge	Hispanic	6	3	50%	10	8	80%	3	2	67%
	White	7	4	57%	26	16	62%	8	8	100%
	Unknown	9	8	89%	7	4	57%	9	7	78%
Thomas	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Thurston	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Washington	White	0	0	0%	14	8	57%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
District Total		30	21	70%	106	69	65%	27	23	85%

District 6

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
All Cases Misdemeanor Felony											
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	17	3	100%	2	100%	1	100%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	100%	1	100%	0					
	Unknown	2		1		1					
Sex	Sex Male 3 100% 2 100% 1 100%										
District Total		3	100%	2	67%	1	33%				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
	огоир	#	#	#	#						
Dakota	17	1	0	1	0						
Dodge	17	1	0	0	1						
Washington 17 1 0 1 0											
District Total 3 0 2 1											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#	#					
Dakota	Male	1	0	1	0					
Dodge	Male	1	0	0	1					
Washington Male 1 0 1 0										
District Total 3 0 2 1										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County Race All Cases Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#						
Dakota	Hispanic	1	1	0						
Dodge	Unknown	1	0	1						
Washington Unknown 1 1 0										
District Total		2	1							

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District														
		Total Cases		Probation				Jail		Prison					
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
Age Group	17	3	100%	18	2	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%			
Sex	Male	3	100%	18	2	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%			
D (EIL : :)	Hispanic	1	100%	18	1	67%	18	0	33%	18	0	0%			
Race/Ethnicity Unknown		2	0%	18	1	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%			
District Total	District Total 3 100%				2	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group														
	۸	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison								
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases							
Dakota	17	1	18	1	18	0	18	0							
Dodge	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0							
Washington 17 1 18 1 18 0 18															
District Total		3	18	2	18	1	18	0							

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex													
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison							
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases						
Dakota	Male	1	18	1	18	0	18	0						
Dodge	Male	1	18	0	18	1	18	0						
Washington	Male	1	18	1	18	0	18	0						
District Total		3	18	2	18	1	18	0						

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity														
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison								
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases							
Dakota	Hispanic	1	18	1	18	0	18	0							
Dodge	Unknown	1	18	0	18	1	18	0							
Washington Unknown 1 18 1 18 0 18								0							
District Total		3	18	2	18	1	18	0							

146

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District														
		Juveni	t to Adult C	ourt	Adult Court to Juvenile Court										
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total						
	14-15	0		0		0		1	33%						
Age Group	16	0		0		0		2	67%						
	17	1	100%	1	100%	0		0							
D (511 : ::	Am. Indian	1	100%	0		0		0							
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		1	100%	0		3	100%						
Sex	Male	1	100%	1	100%	0		3	100%						
District Total		1		1		0		3							

М	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group												
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court									
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Dakota	17	1	0	0	0								
Dodge	16	0	0	0	1								
	14-15	0	0	0	1								
Washington	16	0	0 0 0		1								
	17	0	1	0	0								
District Total		1	1	0	3								

М	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex												
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court									
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Dakota	Male	1	0	0	0								
Dodge	Male	0	0	0	1								
Washington	Male	0	1	0	2								
District Total		1	1	0	3								

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court									
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Dakota	Am. Indian	1	0	0	0								
Dodge	White	0	0	0	1								
Washington	White	0	1	0	2								
District Total		1	1	0	3								

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Ju	/enile P	robati Distric		ivity						
Domographic	Cahaut	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	26	9%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	34	11%
	14-15	68	24%	42	31%	12	50%	2	33%	98	33%
Age Group	16	78	27%	32	24%	2	8%	0	0%	66	22%
	17	96	33%	46	34%	10	42%	4	67%	84	28%
	18	20	7%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	16	5%
Cov	Female	70	24%	38	28%	4	17%	2	33%	80	27%
Sex	Male	218	76%	98	72%	20	83%	4	67%	218	73%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
	Black	18	6%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	4	1%
Dana	Indian	16	6%	18	13%	0	0%	0	0%	22	7%
Race	Other	16	6%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	18	6%
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%
	White	236	82%	96	71%	20	83%	4	67%	244	82%
[the sister	Hispanic	96	33%	28	21%	10	42%	2	33%	78	26%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	192	67%	108	79%	14	58%	4	67%	220	74%
District Total	District Total		100%	136	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

			Juv		robatio Distric	on Acti t	vity				
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Satisfa Rele	_
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
Burt	16	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	17	4	1%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	8	3%
	18	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Carlan	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Cedar	17	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	11-13	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%
	14-15	26	9%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	18	6%
Dakota	16	26	9%	12	9%	0	0%	0	0%	24	8%
	17	30	10%	18	13%	4	17%	2	33%	14	5%
	18	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Dixon	17	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	12	4%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	12	4%
	14-15	24	8%	32	24%	10	42%	2	33%	50	17%
Dodge	16	32	11%	14	10%	2	8%	0	0%	22	7%
	17	44	15%	10	7%	2	8%	2	33%	38	13%
	18	10	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
	11-13	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Thurston	14-15	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
	11-13	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%
	14-15	10	3%	4	3%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%
Washington	16	14	5%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	18	6%
	17	12	4%	4	3%	2	8%	0	0%	18	6%
	18	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
District Total		288	100%	136	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
Country	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Satisfactory Release				
County		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Donat	Female	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Burt	Male	6	2%	10	7%	2	8%	0	0%	16	5%			
Cadan	Female	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Cedar	Male	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%			
5.1.1	Female	14	5%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	14	5%			
Dakota	Male	76	26%	32	24%	4	17%	2	33%	56	19%			
Division	Female	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Dixon	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
5 -	Female	38	13%	20	15%	2	8%	2	33%	46	15%			
Dodge	Male	84	29%	40	29%	12	50%	2	33%	82	28%			
T	Female	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Thurston	Male	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Female	8	3%	0	0%	2	8%	0	0%	12	4%			
Washington	Male	38	13%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	58	19%			
District Total		288	100%	136	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%			

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity						
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Satisfactory Release	
County	race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Burt	White	10	3%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%
Cedar	White	8	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
	Black	10	3%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	2	1%
	Indian	10	3%	16	12%	0	0%	0	0%	20	7%
Dakota	Pacific Islander	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	White	68	24%	16	12%	2	8%	2	33%	42	14%
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Dixon	White	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Other	16	6%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	14	5%
Dadas	Pacific Islander	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
Dodge	White	100	35%	48	35%	12	50%	2	33%	102	34%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Thursday	Indian	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Thurston	White	6	2%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Marking orbits	Black	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Washington	White	42	15%	10	7%	4	17%	0	0%	70	23%
District Total		288	100%	136	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

			Juveni		oation trict	Activit	y				
	E.I	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfa Rele	,
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Burt	Not Hispanic	10	3%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%
Cedar	Not Hispanic	8	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
Dakota	Hispanic	42	15%	10	7%	2	8%	2	33%	38	13%
Dakota	Not Hispanic	48	17%	30	22%	2	8%	0	0%	32	11%
Dixon	Not Hispanic	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Dadas	Hispanic	50	17%	16	12%	8	33%	0	0%	36	12%
Dodge	Not Hispanic	72	25%	44	32%	6	25%	4	67%	92	31%
Thumston	Hispanic	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Thurston	Not Hispanic	8	3%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Washington	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Washington	Not Hispanic	44	15%	12	9%	4	17%	0	0%	66	22%
District Total		288	100%	136	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	14	12		6	4		10	7.6
	14-15	32	36		38	24	2	64	10.5
Age Group	16	36	36	6	30	12		42	9.3
	17	58	38		42	30		72	10.6
	18		18	2	58	48		106	13.6
Cav	Female	34	34	2	58	26		84	13.2
Sex	Male	106	106	6	116	92	2	210	10.6
	Am. Indian	6	8	2	8	16		24	22.8
	Asian/P.I.		2		2	4		6	19.3
Race	Black	8	10		4	2		6	8.3
	White	122	108	6	154	86	2	242	10.2
	Other	4	12		6	10		16	10.1
[the sister	Hispanic	48	48		44	38		82	8.9
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	92	92	8	130	80	2	212	12.3
District Tota	al	140	140	8	174	118	2	294	11.4

		Yo		sk Levels and by County an			tion		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13		2		2			2	10.0
	14-15				2	2		4	16.0
Burt	16	2	2		4			4	9.5
	17	2	2					0	
	18				8	2		10	12.6
	14-15	2			2			2	12.0
Cadan	16	2				2		2	16.0
Cedar	17	2						0	
	18		2			6		6	20.3
	11-13	2	2			2		2	8.0
	14-15	16	10		8	4		12	11.8
Dakota	16	12	8	6	6	6		12	9.7
	17	18	12		14	6		20	8.1
	18		4		14	16		30	19.9
Discour	17	2						0	
Dixon	18					2		2	7.0
	11-13	6	6			2		2	10.0
	14-15	8	16		20	16	2	38	10.1
Dodge	16	6	26		8	2		10	9.0
	17	26	18		16	22		38	12.8
	18		10		20	22		42	9.7
	11-13	2						0	
TI .	14-15	4	2					0	
Thurston	17	2						0	
	18				4			4	11.0
	11-13	4	2		4			4	5.0
Washington	14-15	2	8		6	2		8	7.5
	16	14			12			12	8.8

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Washington	17	6	6		16	4		20	9.0			
vvasriington	Washington 18 2 2 12 10.7											
District Total		140	140	8	178	118	2	298	11.4			

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County			ion		
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length o	
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Doort	Female	2	2		4			4	8.0
Burt	Male	2	4		12	4		16	13.5
Cedar	Male	6	2		2	8		10	17.8
Dalasta	Female	10	4		12	6		18	17.1
Dakota	Male	38	32	6	30	28		58	12.5
D.:	Female					2		2	7.0
Dixon	Male	2						0	
D 1	Female	14	24		28	16		44	12.7
Dodge	Male	32	52		36	50	2	88	9.5
T	Female	4	2		4			4	11.0
Inurston	Thurston Male 4							0	
VA de la	Female 4 2		2	10	2		12	12.7	
Washington	Male	22	16		40	4		44	7.8
District Total		140	140	8	178	120	2	300	11.4

		Yout	th Risk	Levels and L by County a			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Burt	White	4	6		16	4		20	12.4
Cedar	White	6	2		2	8		10	17.8
	Am. Indian	2	6	2	6	14		20	22.4
	Asian/P.I.		2			2		2	21.0
Dakota	Black	6	4		2	2		4	9.5
	White	40	24	4	28	16		44	10.5
	Other				4			4	8.5
Dixon	White	2				2		2	7.0
	Am. Indian	2			2	2		4	24.5
	Asian/P.I.				2	2		4	18.5
Dodge	Black		4		2			2	6.0
	White	40	60		54	52	2	108	10.0
	Other	4	12		4	10		14	10.0
Thursday	Am. Indian	2	2					0	
Thurston	White	6			4			4	11.0
Washington	Black	2	2					0	
Washington	White	24	16	2	50	6		56	8.8
District Total		140	140	8	176	120	2	298	11.4

		Youth		Levels and Le / County and			n		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Burt	Not Hispanic	4	6		16	4		20	12.4
Cedar	Not Hispanic	6	2		2	8		10	17.8
Dalasta	Hispanic	26	16		26	12		38	10.8
Dakota	Not Hispanic	22	20	6	16	22		38	16.3
Dixon	Not Hispanic	2				2		2	7.0
5 1	Hispanic	20	30		16	26		42	7.2
Dodge	Not Hispanic	26	46		48	42	2	92	12.9
Th	Hispanic		2					0	
Thurston	Not Hispanic	8			4			4	11.0
VA/ Indicate	Hispanic	2			4			4	5.5
Washington	Not Hispanic	24	18	2	46	6		52	9.1
District Total		140	140	8	178	122	2	302	11.6

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District	o Counse	el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	25	6	19	76%
Ago Croup	14-15	7	5	2	29%	76	14	62	82%
Age Group	16	15	12	3	20%	68	20	48	71%
	17	47	35	12	26%	64	29	35	55%
	Female	11	10	1	9%	64	24	40	62%
Sex	Male	55	43	12	22%	165	42	123	75%
	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	4	3	1	25%
	Am. Indian					13	1	12	92%
	Asian/P.I.					2	1	1	50%
	Black	3	1	2	67%	8	1	7	88%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	21	18	3	14%	43	7	36	84%
	White	36	30	6	17%	113	41	72	64%
	Other					1	0	1	100%
	Unknown	10	4	6	60%	53	18	35	66%
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%

				ile Acces ounty and					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13					3	1	2	67%
Burt	16	1	1	0	0%	5	0	5	100%
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	8	3	5	62%
Cedar	16	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%
	17	1	0	1	100%	4	1	3	75%
	11-13					6	1	5	83%
Dalrata	14-15	1	0	1	100%	19	2	17	89%
Dakota	16	3	2	1	33%	14	3	11	79%
	17	20	13	7	35%	10	2	8	80%
Dixon	17					2	2	0	0%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	9	3	6	67%
Dadas	14-15	3	3	0	0%	38	6	32	84%
Dodge	16	9	8	1	11%	34	8	26	76%
	17	15	15	0	0%	33	16	17	52%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Thurston	14-15					2	0	2	100%
	17					1	1	0	0%
	11-13					6	1	5	83%
Washington	14-15	1	0	1	100%	9	3	6	67%
Washington	16	1	0	1	100%	12	6	6	50%
	17	10	6	4	40%	12	6	6	50%
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%

				e Access County a	to Couns and Sex	sel					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female					5	1	4	80%		
Burt	Male	2	2	0	0%	4	0	4	100%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
Cedar	Female					4	4	0	0%		
Cedar	Male	4	3	1	25%	11	3	8	73%		
	Female	2	2	0	0%	14	4	10	71%		
Dakota	Male	19	13	6	32%	34	3	31	91%		
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	1	1	0	0%		
Dixon	Male					2	2	0	0%		
Dodge	Female	6	6	0	0%	26	10	16	62%		
Douge	Male	22	21	1	5%	88	23	65	74%		
Thurston	Female					2	0	2	100%		
Hurston	Male					2	1	1	50%		
	Female	3	2	1	33%	13	5	8	62%		
Washington	Male	8	4	4	50%	24	10	14	58%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%		
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%		

			Juvenile by Count		to Couns ace/Ethn							
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	White	9	2	7	78%	2	2	0	0%			
Burt	Other	1	0	1	100%							
Cadan	White	13	6	7	54%	3	2	1	33%			
Cedar	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%			
	Am. Indian	10	1	9	90%							
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1	100%							
Dalsaka	Black	6	1	5	83%	3	1	2	67%			
Dakota	Hispanic	20	2	18	90%	13	10	3	23%			
	White	11	3	8	73%	2	2	0	0%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%			
Dixon	White	2	2	0	0%							
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%							
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	0	0%							
Dadas	Black	2	0	2	100%							
Dodge	Hispanic	22	4	18	82%	7	7	0	0%			
	White	52	18	34	65%	21	20	1	5%			
	Unknown	36	10	26	72%							
Thurston	Am. Indian	2	0	2	100%							
inuiston	White	2	1	1	50%							
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Washington	White	24	9	15	62%	8	4	4	50%			
	Unknown	14	6	8	57%	3	3 1 2 67					
District Total		233	69	164	70%	70	53	17	24%			

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions aı	nd Adju Distric		n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	Status Offense			М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	53	48	91%	1	1	100%
Age Group	16	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	45	42	93%	3	2	67%
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	53	40	75%	9	4	44%
	Female	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	53	44	83%	6	2	33%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	10	8	80%	95	84	88%	7	5	71%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	22	19	86%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	White	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	68	63	93%	6	2	33%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	54	42	78%	6	4	67%
District To	tal	1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

				Juvenile			udication Age Gro	_	se Type,				
		Tı	Traffic Offense			atus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Antelope	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Cuming	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	3	1	33%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	29	28	97%	1	1	100%
Madison	16	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	20	19	95%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	30	20	67%	5	2	40%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Pierce	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
Stanton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Wayne	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	11	10	91%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
District Tota	al	1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjud ounty ar		by Cas	e Type,				
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Antelope	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	7	88%	1	0	0%
Cuming	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	2	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	11	9	82%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	35	28	80%	4	2	50%
Madison	Male	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	44	39	89%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Pierce	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Stanton	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
\ \\	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Wayne	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	13	12	92%	1	1	100%
District Total		1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Adjudi-Filings Adjudi-Filings Adjudi-Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated White 0 0 1 1 100% 8 0 0% Antelope 0% 9 89% 1 Hispanic 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 2 1 50% 0 0 0% Cuming White 0 33% 0 0% 3 1 33% 12 11 92% 3 1 Hispanic 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% White 0 0 0 0 1 0 0% Knox 0% 0% 1 100% 0 Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 0 0 0% Black 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 1 1 100% 0 0 Hispanic 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 14 13 93% 0 0 0% Madison 0 0 2 2 1 White 0% 100% 23 22 96% 2 50% Other 0 0 0 0% 0 0% 1 0 0% 0 0 0% Unknown 2 67% 1 1 100% 2 100% 38 29 76% 6 4 White Pierce 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 6 5 83% 0 0 0% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 5 5 100% 0 0 0% Stanton Unknown 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 13 10 77% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% Black 0 100% 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 1 1 Wayne Hispanic 0 0% 0 0 0% 5 4 80% 0 0 0% 0 White 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 12 11 92% 0 0 0% Unknown 0 0 0% 1 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% **District Total** 1 1 100% 17 14 82% 151 130 86% 13 7 54%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All C	Cases	Traffic		Misde	meanor	Felony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	1	14%	0		0		1	25%			
	17	6	86%	1	100%	2	100%	3	75%			
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	7		1		2		4				
Sex	Female	2	33%	0		1	50%	1	25%			
	Male	4	67%	0		1	50%	3	75%			
	Unknown	1		1		0		0				
District Total		7	100%	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
	Огоир	#	#	#	#					
Madison	14-15	1	0	0	1					
Madison	17	5	1	1	3					
Pierce	Pierce 17		0	1	0					
District To	otal	7	1	2	4					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
	Female	2	0	1	1				
Madison	Male	3	0	0	3				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0				
Pierce Male		1	0	1	0				
District To	otal	7	1	2	4				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	Cacac	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Madison	Unknown	6	1	1	4				
Pierce	Unknown	1	0	1	0				
District To	otal	7	1	2	4				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District													
Demographic		Total C	ases	Probation				Jail			Prison		
	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
A C	14-15	1	14%	16	1	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%	
Age Group	17	6	86%	18	3	50%	18	1	17%	18	2	33%	
	Male	4	67%	18	3	100%	18	0	0%	18	1	0%	
Sex	Female	2	33%	18	0	50%	18	1	17%	18	1	33%	
	Unknown	1	0%	17	1	50%	17	0	17%	17	0	33%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	7	/0	18	4	100%	18	1	0%	18	2	0%	
District Total		7	100%	18	4	100%	18	1	0%	18	2	0%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group											
County	Total Probation Cases Age		Jai	l	Prison							
	Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Madison	14-15	1	16	1	16	0	16	0				
Madison	17	5	18	2	18	1	18	2				
Pierce	17	1	17	1	17	0	17	0				
District Total		7	18	4	18	1	18	2				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex Total Probation Jail Prison Cases County Sex Average Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Female 2 18 0 18 1 18 1 Madison Male 3 18 2 18 0 18 1 Unknown 1 17 1 17 0 17 0 Pierce Male 1 17 1 17 0 17 0 **District Total** 7 18 4 18 1 18 2

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Probation Jail Prison Cases County Race Average Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Madison Unknown 6 18 3 18 1 18 2 Pierce Unknown 1 17 1 17 0 17 0 **District Total** 7 18 4 18 1 18 2

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	11-13	0		0		1	100%	0					
Age Group	14-15	1	6%	0		0		0					
	17	15	94%	4	100%	0		0					
	Hispanic	1	14%	0		0		0					
Dana /Fthaniaita	White	5	71%	2	100%	0		0					
Race/Ethnicity	Other	1	14%	0		0		0					
	Unknown	9		2		1		0					
Cav	Female	10	62%	3	75%	0		0					
Sex	Male	6	38%	1	25%	1	100%	0					
District Total		16		4		1		0					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group												
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Cuming	17	1	1	0	0							
Madison	14-15	1	0	0	0							
Madison	17	14	3	0	0							
Stanton 11-13 0 0 1 0												
District To	District Total 16 4 1 0											

ا	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex												
		_	Juvenile Court to Adult Court to J Adult Court Court										
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Cuming	Female	1	1	0	0								
Madison	Female	9	2	0	0								
iviadison	Male	6	1	0	0								
Stanton	Stanton Male 0 0 1 0												
District To	otal	16	4	1	0								

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		-	e Court to It Court		ourt to Juvenile Court								
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Cuming	White	1	1	0	0								
	Hispanic	1	0	0	0								
NA - dia	White	4	1	0	0								
Madison	Other	1	0	0	0								
	Unknown	9	2	0	0								
Stanton	Unknown	0	0	1	0								
District Total 16 4 1 0													

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Ju	/enile F	robati Distric		ivity						
D bis	Colore		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		ation oked	Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	22	11%	4	3%	4	6%	0	0%	22	10%
	14-15	68	33%	44	38%	16	24%	0	0%	84	39%
Age Group	16	56	27%	32	28%	12	18%	0	0%	46	21%
	17	54	26%	26	22%	24	35%	2	100%	56	26%
	18	8	4%	10	9%	12	18%	0	0%	10	5%
Carr	Female	72	35%	42	36%	16	24%	0	0%	72	33%
Sex	Male	136	65%	74	64%	52	76%	2	100%	146	67%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	12	6%	8	7%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%
Descri	Indian	20	10%	12	10%	0	0%	0	0%	24	11%
Race	Other	22	11%	8	7%	12	18%	0	0%	22	10%
	Pacific Islander	8	4%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	146	70%	86	74%	50	74%	2	100%	166	76%
Fall of the	Hispanic	50	24%	20	17%	26	38%	0	0%	56	26%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	158	76%	96	83%	42	62%	2	100%	162	74%
District Total		208	100%	116	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Proba Revo		Satisfa Rele	_	
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	8	4%	4	3%	2	3%	0	0%	20	9%	
Antelope	16	4	2%	6	5%	2	3%	0	0%	4	2%	
	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%	
	18	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	12	6%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%	
C	16	4	2%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
Cuming	17	8	4%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	10	5%	
	18	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%	
Knox	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
	17	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	5%	
	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	11-13	16	8%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	16	7%	
	14-15	34	16%	28	24%	12	18%	0	0%	46	21%	
Madison	16	30	14%	18	16%	6	9%	0	0%	34	16%	
	17	30	14%	20	17%	16	24%	0	0%	28	13%	
	18	4	2%	8	7%	8	12%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
Pierce	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	17	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	1%	
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
Stanton	16	8	4%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
	18	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%	
Wayne	16	8	4%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	17	6	3%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	2	1%	

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Placed on Out-of-home Motions to Probation Satisfactor Age Probation Placement Revoke Revoked Release											,		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total										
Wayne	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
District To	District Total 208 100% 116 100% 68 100% 2 100% 218 100%												

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
Carretin	Carr	Place Proba		Out-of-				Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release				
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
A t . I	Female	6	3%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	8	4%			
Antelope	Male	10	5%	10	9%	4	6%	0	0%	24	11%			
Ci	Female	8	4%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Cuming	Male	16	8%	6	5%	8	12%	0	0%	12	6%			
K · ·	Female	2	1%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	12	6%			
Knox	Male	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	5%			
Madison	Female	46	22%	28	24%	14	21%	0	0%	44	20%			
Madison	Male	68	33%	48	41%	32	47%	0	0%	82	38%			
Pierce	Male	12	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%			
Ctanton	Female	4	2%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%			
Stanton	Male	12	6%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	4	2%			
\\/a\#a	Female	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Wayne	Male	14	7%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	8	4%			
District To	tal	208	100%	116	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%			

	J	uvenile	Proba	ation A rict	ctivity						
County	Page	Place Proba			Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		actory ease
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	White	16	8%	14	12%	4	6%	0	0%	30	14%
Antelope	Black	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Cuming	White	24	12%	10	9%	8	12%	0	0%	14	6%
	White	4	2%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	18	8%
Knox	Indian	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	16	8%	6	5%	10	15%	0	0%	18	8%
	White	66	32%	52	45%	30	44%	0	0%	84	39%
Madison	Black	10	5%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%
	Indian	16	8%	10	9%	0	0%	0	0%	18	8%
	Pacific Islander	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
p.	White	10	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%
Pierce	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	12	6%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	6	3%
Stanton	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Other	4	2%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%
Wayne	White	14	7%	4	3%	2	3%	0	0%	8	4%
	Black	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District To	tal	208	100%	116	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
6		Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release			
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Antelope	Not Hispanic	16	8%	16	14%	4	6%	0	0%	32	15%		
Hispanic 4 2% 0 0% 2 3% 0 0% 2 1%													
Cuming	Not Hispanic	20	10%	10	9%	6	9%	0	0%	12	6%		
Knox	Not Hispanic	6	3%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	22	10%		
Madison	Hispanic	42	20%	20	17%	22	32%	0	0%	50	23%		
Madison	Not Hispanic	72	35%	56	48%	24	35%	0	0%	76	35%		
Pierce	Not Hispanic	12	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%		
Ctanton	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Stanton	Not Hispanic	14	7%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	6	3%		
Mayno	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%		
Wayne	Not Hispanic	18	9%	6	5%	2	3%	0	0%	8	4%		
District To	tal	208	100%	116	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%		

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District												
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	14	8		2			2	6.0				
	14-15	28	40		30	26		56	10.9				
Age Group	16	32	24		22	20		42	8.3				
	17	40	12	2	30	26	2	58	12.1				
	18	8			38	32		70	18.2				
Sex	Female	44	26	2	40	28	2	70	10.9				
Jex	Male	78	58		82	76		158	13.8				
	Am. Indian	8	12		12	20		32	9.3				
	Asian/P.I.	6	2					0					
Race	Black	4	8		4	2		6	8.0				
	White	88	56	2	92	74	2	168	14.5				
	Other	16	6		14	8		22	7.5				
Cthoicit.	Hispanic	30	20		30	24	2	56	9.3				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	92	64	2	92	80		172	14.1				
District Tota	ıl	122	84	2	122	104	2	228	12.9				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	2	2					0					
	14-15	6	2			2		2	21.0				
Antelope	16		4			4		4	14.0				
	17				4			4	20.0				
	18				2	4		6	16.3				
	14-15	6	6					0					
C	16	2	2		4			4	8.0				
Cuming	17	6	2			2		2	9.0				
	18				4	4		8	11.2				
	14-15		2			6		6	13.0				
IZ.	16				2			2	6.0				
Knox	17	4			8			8	6.0				
	18				6			6	7.0				
	11-13	10	6		2			2	6.0				
	14-15	12	22		26	12		38	11.1				
Madison	16	16	14		10	12		22	7.4				
	17	22	6	2	16	18	2	36	11.8				
	18	4			20	18		38	15.8				
	14-15	2	2			2		2	7.0				
Diamas	16	2			2			2	9.0				
Pierce	17	4	2		2	2		4	12.0				
	18				2	2		4	69.0				
	11-13	2						0					
	14-15		2		2	2		4	6.0				
Stanton	16	6	2		2	6		8	10.2				
	17					4		4	20.0				
	18	4			2			2	9.0				
Wayne	14-15	2	4		2			2	6.0				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	16	6	2		2			2	3.0			
Wayne	17	4	2					0				
	18		4		6	24.7						
District To	tal	2	228	12.9								

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex									
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antolono	Female	2	4		2	2		4	18.0	
Antelope	Male	6	4		4	8		12	17.0	
Cumina	Female	2	6		2			2	12.0	
Cuming	Male	12	4		6	6		12	9.7	
Knox	Female	2			8	4		12	9.8	
KIIOX	Male	2	2		8	2		10	6.2	
Madison	Female	28	16	2	24	20	2	46	10.9	
Madisori	Male	36	32		50	40		90	12.5	
Pierce	Male	8	4		6	6		12	29.7	
Stanton	Female	4			2	2		4	9.0	
Stafftoff	Male	8	4		4	10		14	12.0	
Mayna	Female	6			2			2	6.0	
Wayne	Male	6	8		4	4		8	19.2	
District Total 122 84 2 122 104 2 228 12									12.9	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race									
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antolono	Am. Indian					2		2	10.0	
Antelope	White	8	8		6	8		14	18.3	
Cuming	White	14	10		8	6		14	10.0	
Knox	Am. Indian		2			4		4	16.5	
KIIOX	White	4			16	2		18	6.3	
	Am. Indian	8	8		12	12		24	8.3	
	Asian/P.I.	6						0		
Madison	Black	4	6		4	2		6	8.0	
	White	34	30	2	48	38	2	88	14.1	
	Other	12	4		10	8		18	7.6	
Pierce	Asian/P.I.		2					0		
rierce	White	8	2		6	6		12	29.7	
	Am. Indian		2					0		
Stanton	White	10	2		4	10		14	12.4	
	Other	2			2			2	9.0	
	Black		2					0		
Wayne	White	10	4		4	4		8	19.2	
	Other	2	2		2			2	6.0	
District To	tal	122	84	2	122	102	2	226	13.0	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	ow/ High Assessment** Low High/ Assessment** Moderate					Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Antelope	Not Hispanic	8	8		6	10		16	17.2		
Cumina	Hispanic	2	2		2	2		4	7.5		
Cuming	Not Hispanic	12	8		6	4		10	11.0		
Knox	Not Hispanic	4	2		16	6		22	8.2		
Madison	Hispanic	24	18		26	20	2	48	9.4		
Madison	Not Hispanic	40	30	2	48	40		88	13.3		
Pierce	Not Hispanic	8	4		6	6		12	29.7		
Ctanton	Hispanic	2			2			2	9.0		
Stanton Not Hispanic 10 4 12								16	11.6		
Hispanic 2							2	10.0			
Wayne	Not Hispanic	10	8		6	2		8	18.2		
District To	District Total 122 84 2 122 104 2 228 12.5								12.9		

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	3	2	1	33%	39	8	31	79%		
Aca Craun	14-15	8	5	3	38%	88	38	50	57%		
Age Group	16	7	6	1	14%	64	32	32	50%		
	17	35	20	15	43%	79	37	42	53%		
	Female	22	13	9	41%	97	42	55	57%		
Sex	Male	26	16	10	38%	164	71	93	57%		
	Unknown	5	4	1	20%	9	2	7	78%		
	Am. Indian					8	1	7	88%		
	Black	1	1	0	0%	8	2	6	75%		
D /5t/ : - : t	Hispanic	9	8	1	11%	33	17	16	48%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	21	16	5	24%	112	49	63	56%		
	Other					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	22	8	14	64%	108	45	63	58%		
District Total		53	33	20	38%	270	115	155	57%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group								
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	2	2	0	0%	13	2	11	85%
Amtalama	14-15	1	1	0	0%	8	0	8	100%
Antelope	16					4	2	2	50%
	17					2	2	0	0%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Complex or	14-15	2	0	2	100%	16	8	8	50%
Cuming	16					7	3	4	57%
	17	2	1	1	50%	8	5	3	38%
	14-15					2	0	2	100%
Knox	16					1	0	1	100%
	17	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%
	11-13					16	2	14	88%
Madican	14-15	4	3	1	25%	40	18	22	55%
Madison	16	7	6	1	14%	31	13	18	58%
	17	22	8	14	64%	45	17	28	62%
	11-13					1	1	0	0%
Pierce	14-15					5	1	4	80%
Pierce	16					3	2	1	33%
	17	2	2	0	0%	3	0	3	100%
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	7	2	5	71%
Stanton	14-15	1	1	0	0%	9	8	1	11%
Stanton	16					6	3	3	50%
	17	2	2	0	0%	11	7	4	36%
	11-13					1	1	0	0%
Mayno	14-15					8	3	5	62%
Wayne	16					12	9	3	25%
	17	5	5	0	0%	7	5	2	29%
District To	tal	53	33	20	38%	270	115	155	57%

				le Access County		nsel					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
A	Female	2	2	0	0%	14	3	11	79%		
Antelope	Male	1	1	0	0%	13	3	10	77%		
Cuming	Female	1	0	1	100%	9	4	5	56%		
Cuming	Male	3	1	2	67%	23	12	11	48%		
Knox	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
KIIOX	Male	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%		
	Female	16	8	8	50%	52	20	32	62%		
Madison	Male	14	7	7	50%	75	30	45	60%		
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	5	0	5	100%		
Pierce	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Pierce	Male	1	1	0	0%	11	3	8	73%		
	Female					12	7	5	42%		
Stanton	Male	4	3	1	25%	17	11	6	35%		
	Unknown					4	2	2	50%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	8	7	1	12%		
Wayne	Male	2	2	0	0%	20	11	9	45%		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%						
District To	tal	53	33	20	38%	270	115	155	57%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity								
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Antolono	Black	1	0	1	100%				
Antelope	White	26	6	20	77%	3	3	0	0%
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%				
Cumina	Black	2	1	1	50%				
Cuming	Hispanic	6	2	4	67%	1	1	0	0%
	White	23	13	10	43%	3	0	3	100%
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%				
Knox	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%				
KIIOX	White	1	0	1	100%	2	2	0	0%
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%				
	Am. Indian	5	0	5	100%				
	Black	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%
Madison	Hispanic	17	9	8	47%	6	5	1	17%
Madison	White	30	13	17	57%	11	9	2	18%
	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	77	26	51	66%	15	2	13	87%
Pierce	White	12	4	8	67%	1	1	0	0%
rierce	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
	Hispanic	3	2	1	33%	1	1	0	0%
Stanton	White	5	3	2	40%	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	25	15	10	40%	2	1	1	50%
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%				
	Black	3	0	3	100%				
Wayne	Hispanic	6	4	2	33%	1	1	0	0%
	White	15	10	5	33%				
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	4	4	0	0%
District To	tal	270	115	155	57%	53	33	20	38%

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	25	14	56%	10	8	80%
Age Group	16	1	1	100%	2	0	0%	9	2	22%	3	1	33%
Стоир	17	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	13	9	69%	3	1	33%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	17	10	59%	4	2	50%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	26	14	54%	11	7	64%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	4	1	25%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	2	1	50%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	29	17	59%	6	5	83%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	0	0%	15	8	53%	8	4	50%
District To	trict Total 1 1 100% 7 1 14% 47 25 53% 16 10 62%												

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
Brown	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	2	1	50%
Cherry	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	4	3	75%
Custer	16	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Garfield	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Garnetu	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Greeley	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%
Holt	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Howard	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%
Sherman	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
SHEITHAN	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
Valley	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	1	1	100%	7	1	14%	47	25	53%	16	10	62%

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjuc ounty a		by Cas	se Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Brown	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	1	0	0%
Cherry	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
C 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	1	1	100%
Custer	Male	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	4	2	50%
Cf: - -	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garfield	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Greeley	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Holt	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	3	60%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Howard	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	2	1	50%
Sherman	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Valley	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Tot	al	1	1	100%	7	1	14%	47	25	53%	16	10	62%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Adjudi-Adjudi-**Filings** Adjudi-Filings Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated 0 0% 0 0 0 0 0% Hispanic 0 1 0% 0 0% Brown White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 10 6 60% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 50% 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0% 2 1 White Cherry 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 0 0 0% Unknown 0 0 0 2 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0% 1 White 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 5 1 20% 1 0 0% Custer Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0% 2 1 50% 4 3 75% 1 Unknown 0 0% Garfield 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 0 0 White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% Greeley 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0% 0% 0% 1 100% Unknown 0 0 Am. Indian 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 0% 0 0 0% Holt White 0 3 100% 0 0% 1 1 100% 6 4 67% 3 Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 0 0% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 2 2 100% Howard Unknown 0 0 0 5 5 1 0 0% 0 0% 100% 0% Sherman White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 0 0 0% 0 0 0 0 Valley Unknown 0% 4 0% 2 0% 1 1 100% 53% **District Total** 1 1 100% 7 1 14% 47 25 16 10 62%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.



Crir	ninal Co	rosecuted in A ourt by Case T and Age Grou	Гуре,							
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
#										

	ninal Co	rosecuted in A ourt by Case T nty and Sex	
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
#	#	#	#

Juveniles
Prosecuted
in Adult
Criminal
Court by
Case Type,
County
and
Race/
Ethnicity
All Cases

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
Total C	Total Cases Probation		Jail			Prison				
Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity									
Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases				

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		1	100%	1	20%	
Age Group	16	0		0		0		2	40%	
	17	0		0		0		2	40%	
D /F4l	White	0		0		0		4	100%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	0		0		1		1		
Carr	Female	0		0		0		1	20%	
Sex	Male	0		0		1	100%	4	80%	
District Total		0		0		1		5		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
	Age Group	_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Custer	16	0	0	0	1				
Hamand	14-15	0	0	1	1				
Howard	17	0	0	0	2				
Sherman 16		0 0		0	1				
District Total		0	0	1	5				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
County	Sex	_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Custer	Male	0	0	0	1				
Howard	Male	0	0	1	3				
Sherman	Female	0	0	0	1				
District Total		0	0	1	5				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County		•	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Custer	White	0	0	0	1				
Hamanal	White	0	0	0	2				
Howard	Unknown	0	0	1	1				
Sherman White		0	0	0	1				
District Total		0	0	1	5				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Dania manhia	Calaant	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	10	14%	2	6%	20	22%		
	14-15	32	46%	16	47%	36	39%		
Age Group	16	6	9%	4	12%	10	11%		
	17	20	29%	12	35%	24	26%		
	18	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%		
Cave	Female	24	34%	14	41%	38	41%		
Sex	Male	46	66%	20	59%	54	59%		
	Black	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%		
Race	Indian	6	9%	2	6%	16	17%		
Race	Other	4	6%	4	12%	2	2%		
	White	58	83%	28	82%	72	78%		
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4	6%	4	12%	4	4%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	66	94%	30	88%	88	96%		
District Total		70	100%	34	100%	92	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfa Rele					
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Boyd	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Prown	14-15	6	9%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	17	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%				
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
	11-13	2	3%	2	6%	0	0%				
Charm	14-15	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%				
Cherry	16	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
	17	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	11-13	6	9%	0	0%	8	9%				
	14-15	4	6%	6	18%	0	0%				
Custer	16	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	4	6%	2	6%	10	11%				
6 6 1	17	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%				
Garfield	18	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	14-15	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%				
Greeley	16	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
	14-15	2	3%	2	6%	12	13%				
Holt	16	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	8	11%	6	18%	10	11%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	6	7%				
	14-15	4	6%	2	6%	8	9%				
Howard	16	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
	17	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
Rock	14-15	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%				
	11-13	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
Valley	14-15	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%				

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release		
County	sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Boyd	Female	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	
D	Female	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%	
Brown	Male	6	9%	0	0%	4	4%	
Cla a www.	Female	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%	
Cherry	Male	4	6%	2	6%	4	4%	
Conton	Female	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%	
Custer	Male	10	14%	6	18%	10	11%	
Garfield	Male	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%	
C	Female	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	
Greeley	Male	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%	
Llalt	Female	2	3%	4	12%	10	11%	
Holt	Male	10	14%	4	12%	16	17%	
Harrand	Female	4	6%	4	12%	6	7%	
Howard	Male	4	6%	2	6%	12	13%	
Rock	Female	0	0%	0	0%	6	7%	
ROCK	Male	6	9%	2	6%	2	2%	
Valley	Female	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
Valley	Male	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%	
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%	

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release					
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Boyd	Black	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
D	Indian	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	White	8	11%	0	0%	4	4%				
Cla a mm r	Indian	4	6%	2	6%	6	7%				
Cherry	White	6	9%	2	6%	2	2%				
Conton	Other	4	6%	2	6%	2	2%				
Custer	White	12	17%	6	18%	16	17%				
Garfield	White	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
Cuanlan	White	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%				
Greeley	Other	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
11-14	White	12	17%	8	24%	22	24%				
Holt	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
Howard	White	8	11%	6	18%	18	20%				
Doels	White	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%				
Rock	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
Valler	Black	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
Valley	White	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%				
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carreto	Falls or incident	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release						
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Boyd	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%					
Brown	Not Hispanic	10	14%	0	0%	6	7%					
Cherry	Not Hispanic	10	14%	4	12%	8	9%					
Custan	Hispanic	4	6%	2	6%	2	2%					
Custer	Not Hispanic	12	17%	6	18%	16	17%					
Garfield	Not Hispanic	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%					
Cuantan	Hispanic	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%					
Greeley	Not Hispanic	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%					
Holt	Not Hispanic	12	17%	8	24%	26	28%					
Howard	Not Hispanic	8	11%	6	18%	18	20%					
Rock	Not Hispanic	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%					
Valley	Not Hispanic	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%					
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%					

District 8

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	10		4	2	6	10.0				
	14-15	24	8	20	6	26	12.9				
Age Group	16	6		8		8	5.5				
	17	12	8	16	2	18	13.4				
	18	2		12	8	20	15.4				
	Female	16	8	22	6	28	13.9				
Sex	Male	38	8	38	12	50	12.0				
	Am. Indian	4	2	8	2	10	14.2				
Dece	Black	2		2		2	15.0				
Race	White	44	14	48	16	64	12.5				
	Other	4		2		2	9.0				
Fall of the	Hispanic	4		4		4	12.0				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	50	16	56	18	74	12.7				
District Tota	ıl	54	16	60	18	78	12.7				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati			Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boyd	17			2		2	15.0				
	11-13			2		2	12.0				
Drawn	14-15	4	2	2	2	4	12.5				
Brown	17	2	2			0					
	18			2		2	9.0				
	11-13	2				0					
Charm	14-15	4	2			0					
Cherry	16			6		6	6.0				
	17	2		2		2	6.0				
	11-13	6		2		2	9.0				
	14-15	4		4		4	16.0				
Custer	16	2				0					
	17	2	2	2		2	9.0				
	18			4	2	6	11.0				
Garfield	17			2		2	3.0				
Garrield	18	2				0					
Greeley	14-15	4		2		2	3.0				
	11-13				2	2	9.0				
	14-15	2		4	4	8	14.2				
Holt	16	2				0					
	17	4	4	4		4	11.0				
	18			6	2	8	21.0				
	14-15	4		6		6	15.3				
House	16	2				0					
Howard	17	2		2	2	4	27.0				
	18				2	2	15.0				
Post	14-15	2	4	2		2	5.0				
Rock	16			2		2	4.0				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBN/ JCBI/		JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Sherman	18				2	2	16.0				
	11-13	2				0					
Valley	17			2		2	12.0				
	18				2	2	13.0				
District To	tal	54	16	60	20	80	12.8				

		Youth Risk		d Length of ty and Sex	^F Probation			
		Youth Starting	Probation		charged from bation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Boyd	Female			2		2	15.0	
D	Female	2	2	2		2	10.0	
Brown	Male	4	2	4	2	6	12.0	
Cl	Female	4	2	4		4	6.0	
Cherry	Male	4		4		4	6.0	
Custon	Female	4	2	4	2	6	11.0	
Custer	Male	10		8		8	12.5	
Garfield	Male	2		2		2	3.0	
Greeley	Male	4		2		2	3.0	
l l a l b	Female		2	4	4	8	23.0	
Holt	Male	8	2	10	4	14	11.4	
Harrand	Female	4		4		4	14.0	
Howard	Male	4		4	4	8	21.8	
Doel	Female			2		2	5.0	
Rock	Male	2	4	2		2	4.0	
Valley	Female	2				0		
Valley	Male			2	2	4	12.5	
District Tota	l	54	16	60	18	78	12.7	

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boyd	Black			2		2	15.0				
Brown	Am. Indian	2		2		2	10.0				
prown	White	4	4	4	2	6	12.0				
Charmi	Am. Indian		2	6		6	6.0				
Cherry	White	6		2		2	6.0				
Custor	White	10	2	10	2	12	12.3				
Custer	Other	4		2		2	9.0				
Garfield	White	2		2		2	3.0				
Greeley	White	4		2		2	3.0				
Holt	Am. Indian				2	2	43.0				
HOIL	White	8	4	14	6	20	12.9				
Howard	White	8		8	4	12	19.2				
Rock	White	2	4	4		4	4.5				
Valley	Black	2				0					
Valley	White			2	2	4	12.5				
District T	otal	54	16	60	18	78	12.7				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation						
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Boyd	Hispanic			2		2	15.0					
Brown	Not Hispanic	6	4	6	2	8	11.5					
Cherry	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	6.0					
Custon	Hispanic	4		2		2	9.0					
Custer	Not Hispanic	10	2	10	2	12	12.3					
Garfield	Not Hispanic	2		2		2	3.0					
Greeley	Not Hispanic	4		2		2	3.0					
Holt	Not Hispanic	8	4	14	8	22	15.6					
Howard	Not Hispanic	8		8	4	12	19.2					
Rock	Not Hispanic	2	4	4		4	4.5					
Valley	Not Hispanic	2		2	2	4	12.5					
District Tota	istrict Total		16	60	18	78	12.7					

District 8

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13					18	11	7	39%			
A === C=====	14-15	8	6	2	25%	45	20	25	56%			
Age Group	16	18	12	6	33%	20	5	15	75%			
	17	24	20	4	17%	21	8	13	62%			
	Female	3	3	0	0%	32	12	20	62%			
Sex	Male	31	21	10	32%	59	26	33	56%			
	Unknown	16	14	2	12%	13	6	7	54%			
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	8	5	3	38%			
	Black	1	1	0	0%							
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	White	23	16	7	30%	52	23	29	56%			
	Unknown	23	18	5	22%	43	15	28	65%			
District Total		50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Blaine	17	1	1	0	0%							
	14-15					6	5	1	17%			
Brown	16					1	0	1	100%			
	17	3	2	1	33%	4	2	2	50%			
	11-13					8	6	2	25%			
Charry	14-15					7	4	3	43%			
Cherry	16	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%			
	17	3	3	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	11-13					3	1	2	67%			
Custon	14-15					9	1	8	89%			
Custer	16	5	2	3	60%	9	2	7	78%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
C£: - -	14-15					2	0	2	100%			
Garfield	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
C	14-15					3	2	1	33%			
Greeley	17	1	1	0	0%							
	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	6	3	3	50%			
Holt	16					2	1	1	50%			
	17	3	3	0	0%	6	2	4	67%			
	14-15	3	2	1	33%	4	2	2	50%			
Howard	16	5	2	3	60%	1	0	1	100%			
	17	5	3	2	40%	6	3	3	50%			
Rock	14-15	1	0	1	100%							
CI.	14-15					3	1	2	67%			
Sherman	16					2	1	1	50%			
	11-13					6	4	2	33%			
Valley	14-15	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%			
	16	6	6	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
Valley	17	6	6	0	0%	1	0	1	100%				
\\/haalax	14-15	1	1	0	0%								
Wheeler	16	1	1	0	0%								
District To	otal	50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%				

					s to Cou and Sex				
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Blaine	Male	1	1	0	0%				
Duarre	Female	1	1	0	0%	6	3	3	50%
Brown	Male	2	1	1	50%	5	4	1	20%
	Female	1	1	0	0%	8	4	4	50%
Cherry	Male	3	3	0	0%	11	8	3	27%
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
Female						10	2	8	80%
Custer	Male	6	3	3	50%	13	2	11	85%
د میلان ما ما	Male					1	0	1	100%
Garfield	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%
Cuanlan	Male					3	2	1	33%
Greeley	Unknown	1	1	0	0%				
	Female					3	1	2	67%
Holt	Male	5	5	0	0%	11	4	7	64%
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
Howard	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%
помаги	Male	12	6	6	50%	9	4	5	56%
Rock	Unknown	1	0	1	100%				
Sherman	Female					1	0	1	100%
Sherman	Male					4	2	2	50%
	Female					2	1	1	50%
Valley	Male					2	0	2	100%
	Unknown	13	13	0	0%	9	5	4	44%
Wheeler	Male	2	2	0	0%				
District To	otal	50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%

				le Access ity and R					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Blaine	White	1	1	0	0%				
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%
Brown	White	3	2	1	33%	10	6	4	40%
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	7	4	3	43%
Cherry	White	1	1	0	0%	9	7	2	22%
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%				
Custer	White	5	2	3	60%	9	1	8	89%
	Unknown					14	3	11	79%
Garfield	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	3	0	3	100%
C	White					2	2	0	0%
Greeley	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%
Holt	White	3	3	0	0%	13	4	9	69%
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
	Black	1	1	0	0%				
Howard	White	8	5	3	38%	4	1	3	75%
	Unknown	4	1	3	75%	7	4	3	43%
Rock	Unknown	1	0	1	100%				
Sherman	White					5	2	3	60%
Valley	Unknown	13	13	0	0%	13	6	7	54%
Wheeler	White	2	2	0	0%				
District To	otal	50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%

District 9

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric		n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	62	81%	23	20	87%							
Age Group	Age Group 16 2 2 100% 2 1 50% 57 45 79% 8 7 88%												
Огопр	17	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	62	48	77%	11	8	73%
	Female	3	2	67%	2	1	50%	73	59	81%	9	9	100%
Sex	Male	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	120	93	78%	33	26	79%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
Lumerty	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	20	14	70%	8	7	88%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	5	3	60%	166	133	80%	29	23	79%
District To	District Total 5 4 80% 6 4 67% 196 155 79% 42 35 83%												83%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
	Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony												
cated cated cated cated													% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	19	14	74%	6	5	83%
Buffalo	16	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	18	13	72%	6	5	83%
	17	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	19	13	68%	7	4	57%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	58	48	83%	17	15	88%
Hall	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	39	32	82%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	43	35	81%	4	4	100%
District To	District Total 5 4 80% 6 4 67% 196 155 79% 42 35 83%												

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex												
	Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony												
cated cated cated													% Adjudi- cated
	Female	3	2	67%	1	1	100%	28	22	79%	4	4	100%
Buffalo	Male	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	28	18	64%	15	10	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	45	37	82%	5	5	100%
Hall	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	92	75	82%	18	16	89%
	Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 0 0 0%											0%	
District T	District Total 5 4 80% 6 4 67% 196 155 79% 42 35 83%												

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
	Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony												
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Buffalo	Hispanic	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	20	14	70%	8	7	88%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	26	18	69%	6	2	33%
Hall	Hall Unknown 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 140 115 82% 23 21 91%												
District 7	District Total 5 4 80% 6 4 67% 196 155 79% 42 35 83%												

District 9

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District													
All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony														
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	14-15	1	7%	0		0		1	14%					
	16	6	40%	2	100%	3	50%	1	14%					
	17	8	53%	0		3	50%	5	71%					
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	7%	0		0		1	14%					
	Hispanic	7	47%	0		4	67%	3	43%					
	White	7	47%	2	100%	2	33%	3	43%					
Sex	Female	1	7%	0		1	17%	0						
Male 14 93% 2 100% 5 83% 7 100%														
District Total		15	100%	2	13%	6	40%	7	47%					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group												
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony												
# # # #												
Buffalo	16 4 2 2 0											
Duitalo	17	6	0	2	4							
	14-15	1	0	0	1							
Hall	16	2	0	1	1							
17 2 0 1 1												
District ⁻	District Total 15 2 6 7											

Juvenil	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex												
County													
		# # #											
Buffalo	Female	1	0	1	0								
Duitalo	Male	9	2	3	4								
Hall	Hall Male 5 0 2 3												
District Total 15 2 6 7													

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity											
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
# # # #												
	Black 1 0 0 1											
Buffalo	Hispanic	3	0	2	1							
	White	6	2	2	2							
Hall	Hispanic	4	0	2	2							
Hall	White 1 0 0 1											
District Total 15 2 6 7												

District 9

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

		J	uvenil	es Prosecu		lult Crim District	ninal Court	by Sente	ncing			
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Jail			Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	19	1	100%									
Age Group	16	6	40%	16	4	67%	16	1	17%	16	1	17%
	17	8	53%	17	3	38%	17	0	0%	17	5	62%
	Male	14	93%	16	6	0%	16	1	0%	16	7	100%
Sex	Female	1	7%	16	1	67%	16	0	17%	16	0	17%
	White	7	47%	16	4	0%	16	0	0%	16	3	100%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	7	47%	16	3	67%	16	1	17%	16	3	17%
	Black	1	7%	17	0	38%	17	0	0%	17	1	62%
District Total	District Total 15 100% 16 7 0% 16 1 0% 16 7 100%											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Total Probation Jail Prison Cases Age County Average Average Average Group Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement 16 4 16 4 16 0 16 0 Buffalo 17 6 17 2 17 0 17 4 14-15 1 19 0 19 0 19 1 Hall 16 2 16 0 1 1 16 16 17 2 18 1 18 0 18 1 **District Total** 15 16 7 1 7 16 16

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex												
County		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Buffalo	Female	1	16	1	16	0	16	0					
Dullato	Male	9	16	5	16	0	16	4					
Hall Male 5 16 1 16 1 16 3													
District 7	Γotal	15	16	7	16	1	16	7					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity												
	Race	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
County		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
	Black	1	17	0	17	0	17	1					
Buffalo	Hispanic	3	16	2	16	0	16	1					
	White	6	16	4	16	0	16	2					
Hispanic		4	16	1	16	1	16	2					
Hall	White	1	19	0	19	0	19	1					
District ⁻	Γotal	15	16	7	16	1	16	7					

District 9

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	14-15	2	100%	0		2	29%	1	100%				
Age Group	16	0		0		3	43%	0					
	17	0		0		2	29%	0					
	Hispanic	0		0		2	29%	0					
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		5	71%	1	100%				
	Unknown	2		0		0		0					
	Female	0		0		1	14%	0					
Sex	Male	2	100%	0		6	86%	1	100%				
District Total		2		0		7		1					

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group											
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Dffala	16	0	0	3	0							
Buffalo	17	0	0	1	0							
11.0	14-15	2	0	2	1							
Hall	17	0	0	1	0							
District 7	Total	2	0	7	1							

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex											
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Buffalo	Female	0	0	1	0							
Dullato	Male	0	0	3	0							
Hall	Male	2	0	3	1							
District ⁻	Total	2	0	7	1							

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		_	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Buffalo	White	0	0	4	0							
	Hispanic	0	0	2	0							
Hall	White	0	0	1	1							
	Unknown	2	0	0	0							
District ⁻	Total	2	0	7	1							

District 9

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	36	9%	20	9%	2	6%	30	6%		
	14-15	150	38%	64	30%	14	39%	182	37%		
Age Group	16	78	20%	52	24%	4	11%	140	28%		
	17	98	25%	60	28%	6	17%	120	24%		
	18	28	7%	18	8%	10	28%	20	4%		
C	Female	138	35%	72	34%	6	17%	174	35%		
Sex	Male	252	65%	142	66%	30	83%	318	65%		
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%		
	Black	20	5%	22	10%	4	11%	30	6%		
Race	Indian	6	2%	8	4%	0	0%	2	0%		
	Other	134	34%	56	26%	4	11%	158	32%		
	White	230	59%	128	60%	26	72%	302	61%		
Film initia	Hispanic	156	40%	74	35%	12	33%	178	36%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	234	60%	140	65%	24	67%	314	64%		
District Total		390	100%	214	100%	36	100%	492	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
Ct.	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release						
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	11-13	12	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%					
	14-15	34	9%	14	7%	2	6%	64	13%					
Buffalo	16	34	9%	24	11%	2	6%	48	10%					
	17	46	12%	36	17%	4	11%	44	9%					
	18	14	4%	4	2%	6	17%	10	2%					
	11-13	24	6%	20	9%	2	6%	24	5%					
	14-15	116	30%	50	23%	12	33%	118	24%					
Hall	16	44	11%	28	13%	2	6%	92	19%					
	17	52	13%	24	11%	2	6%	76	15%					
	18	14	4%	14	7%	4	11%	10	2%					
District 7	Total	390	100%	214	100%	36	100%	492	100%					

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Cav	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Dffala	Female	62	16%	28	13%	6	17%	66	13%				
Buffalo	Male	78	20%	50	23%	8	22%	106	22%				
Hall	Female	76	19%	44	21%	0	0%	108	22%				
Hall	Male	174	45%	92	43%	22	61%	212	43%				
District 7	Γotal	390	100%	214	100%	36	100%	492	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County		Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Satisfactory Release					
	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	White	108	28%	58	27%	14	39%	152	31%				
D. eff. I.	Black	10	3%	10	5%	0	0%	4	1%				
Buffalo	Indian	4	1%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	Other	18	5%	6	3%	0	0%	16	3%				
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
	Black	10	3%	12	6%	4	11%	26	5%				
Hall	Other	116	30%	50	23%	4	11%	142	29%				
	White	122	31%	70	33%	12	33%	150	30%				
	Indian	2	1%	4	2%	0	0%	2	0%				
District ⁻	Total	390	100%	214	100%	36	100%	492	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	[the ministry	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Dffala	Hispanic	22	6%	12	6%	2	6%	16	3%				
Buffalo	Not Hispanic	118	30%	66	31%	12	33%	156	32%				
Hall	Hispanic	134	34%	62	29%	10	28%	162	33%				
Hall	Not Hispanic	116	30%	74	35%	12	33%	158	32%				
District 7	Гotal	390	100%	214	100%	36	100%	492	100%				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	22	14		4	6		10	7.6
	14-15	62	88		32	30		62	9.0
Age Group	16	36	42		40	24		64	13.5
	17	36	60	2	60	36	4	100	15.2
	18	16	10	2	70	74	2	146	17.1
Sex	Female	62	74	2	74	52	2	128	14.1
Sex	Male	110	140	2	132	118	4	254	14.6
	Am. Indian	2	2	2		2	2	4	4.5
Daga	Black	4	16		10	16		26	12.5
Race	White	110	118	2	136	96	4	236	14.5
	Other		78		60	56		116	15.1
[the sister	Hispanic		92		62	66		128	16.3
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	108	122	4	144	104	6	254	13.5
District Tota	al	172	214	4	206	170	6	382	14.4

		`	outh/	Risk Levels ar by County a			oation		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	8	4			2		2	12.0
	14-15	18	16		8	14		22	8.4
Buffalo	16	12	22		16	4		20	10.6
	17	12	32	2	26	18	2	46	17.2
	18	10	4		24	28		52	16.4
	11-13	14	10		4	4		8	6.5
	14-15	44	72		24	16		40	9.8
Hall	16	24	24 20		24	18		42	14.5
	17	24	28		34	20	2	56	14.7
	18	6	6	2	46	46	2	94	18.1
District ⁻	Fotal	172	214	4	206	170	6	382	14.8

			Youth	Risk Levels an by Coun			tion					
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	_	e Length of obation			
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Duffala	Female	28	32	2	24	26	2	52	13.3			
Buffalo	Male	32	46		50	42		92	15.3			
Hall	Female	34	42		50	24		74	14.7			
Пац	Male	78	94	2	82	84	4	170	15.0			
District T	District Total 172 214 4 206 176 6 388 14.8											

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County			tion		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian	2		2			2	2	
Buffalo	Black		10			10		10	9.8
Dullato	White	48	60		68	46		114	15.9
	Other	10	8		6	10		16	9.9
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	9.0
11-11	Black	4	6		10	6		16	14.1
Hall	White	62	58	2	66	54	4	124	14.3
	Other	46	70		54	46		100	16.0
District 7	Гotal	172	214	4	204	174	6	384	14.8

		Yo		k Levels and by County an			ion		
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Buffalo	Hispanic	10	12		2	12		14	20.6
Durrato	Not Hispanic	50	66	2	72	50	2	124	13.3
Hall	Hispanic	54	80		60	54		114	15.8
Hall	Not Hispanic	58	56	2	72	58	4	134	14.2
District Total 172 214 4 206 174 6 386 1									

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el .			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	79	19	60	76%
Aga Craup	14-15	8	4	4	50%	171	50	121	71%
Age Group	16	62	58%						
	17	63	49	14	22%	115	58	57	50%
	Female	28	26	2	7%	158	59	99	63%
Sex	Male	82	57	25	30%	307	109	198	64%
	Unknown					6	3	3	50%
	Am. Indian	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%
	Asian/P.I.	2	2	0	0%				
	Black	10	8	2	20%	11	1	10	91%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	32	24	8	25%	16	4	12	75%
	White	59	44	15	25%	49	13	36	73%
	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	390	153	237	61%
District Total		110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%

				nile Acc County a						
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
11-13 21 2 19										
Duffala	14-15	1	0	1	100%	49	9	40	82%	
Buffalo	16	16	10	6	38%	41	10	31	76%	
	17	30	21	9	30%	45	17	28	62%	
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	58	17	41	71%	
Hall	14-15	7	4	3	43%	122	41	81	66%	
Hall	16	22	20	2	9%	65	34	31	48%	
	17	33	28	5	15%	70	41	29	41%	
District 7	Γotal	110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%	

				ile Acces y County					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Female	10	8	2	20%	67	16	51	76%
Buffalo	Male	37	23	14	38%	88	21	67	76%
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
	Female	18	18	0	0%	91	43	48	53%
Hall	Male	45	34	11	24%	219	88	131	60%
	Unknown					5	2	3	60%
District 7	Γotal	471	171	300	64%				

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity

			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Am. Indian	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%
	Black	5	3	2	40%	11	1	10	91%
Duffala	Hispanic	7	6	1	14%	16	4	12	75%
Buffalo	White	29	18	11	38%	49	13	36	73%
	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	75	20	55	73%
	Asian/P.I.	2	2	0	0%				
	Black	5	5	0	0%				
Hall	Hispanic	25	18	7	28%				
	White	30	26	4	13%				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	315	133	182	58%
District ⁻	Γotal	110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric	dicatio: :t	n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	ense	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	21	21	100%	10	7	70%	51	48	94%	4	4	100%
Age Group	16	34	33	97%	7	3	43%	48	42	88%	1	1	100%
	17	26	25	96%	5	2	40%	36	31	86%	7	3	43%
	Female	14	14	100%	11	9	82%	32	30	94%	2	2	100%
Sex	Male	45	43	96%	9	3	33%	100	88	88%	8	4	50%
	Unknown	22	22	100%	2	0	0%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Race/	Black	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	9	9	100%	1	0	0%	10	9	90%	0	0	0%
	White	63	62	98%	15	9	60%	106	95	90%	6	4	67%
	Unknown	5	4	80%	4	1	25%	12	11	92%	5	4	80%
District Total 81 79 98% 22 12 55% 135 121 90% 12 8								8	67%				

				Juvenile			udication Age Gro		se Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	Ν	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	12	12	100%	3	3	100%	35	35	100%	2	2	100%
Adams	16	30	29	97%	5	3	60%	31	28	90%	0	0	0%
	17	22	22	100%	0	0	0%	24	21	88%	4	2	50%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	2	2	100%	4	1	25%	2	2	100%	2	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Fuendilia	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Harlan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	3	3	100%	4	3	75%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kearney	16	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	6	6	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
Phelps	16	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Webster	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

			Juv	enile Ca		d Adjuc ounty a		by Ca	se Type				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse		tatus Offe		N	∕lisdemear	ıor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	12	12	100%	4	4	100%	21	21	100%	2	2	100%
Adams	Male	31	30	97%	4	2	50%	67	61	91%	4	2	50%
	Unknown	21	21	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	Male	2	2	100%	2	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Kearney	Male	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Phelps	Male	8	7	88%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Webster	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
District To	tal	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

			Juve	nile Ca C			ication ce/Ethn	_	e Type,				
		Traffic Offense			St	Status Offense			1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Adams	Hispanic	8	8	100%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
	White	49	49	100%	7	5	71%	71	67	94%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Clay	White	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	5	5	100%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Kearney	White	5	5	100%	3	2	67%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Phelps	White	8	7	88%	0	0	0%	10	9	90%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
\A/- -	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Webster	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
District To	otal	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.



Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group								
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
#	#	#	#					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex								
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
#	#	#	#						

Juveniles
Prosecuted
in Adult
Criminal
Court by
Case Type,
County
and
Race/
Ethnicity

All Cases

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
Total C	Total Cases Probation			Jail Pris		Prison					
Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	

Juve	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
Total Cases	Proba	tion		Prison						
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				

Juve	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases					

Juve	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity									
Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cou	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
	11-13	0		0		0		1	2%			
A C	14-15	0		0		0		11	17%			
Age Group	16	0		0		0		30	46%			
	17	4	100%	4	100%	1	100%	23	35%			
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		0		1	2%			
	Black	0		0		0		1	2%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0		0		0		5	8%			
	White	2	100%	2	100%	1	100%	54	89%			
	Unknown	2		2		0		4				
	Female	0		0		1	100%	17	27%			
Sex	Male	4	100%	4	100%	0		47	73%			
	Unknown	0		0		0		1				
District Total		4		4		1		65				

ı	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group											
	Age Group	-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County		Motion to Transfer	to Cases		Cases Transfered							
	11-13	0	0	0	1							
A -l	14-15	0	0	0	11							
Adams	16	0	0	0	27							
	17	2	2	0	22							
Clay	16	0	0	0	1							
Clay	17	2	2	0	1							
Vaarnav	16	0	0	0	2							
Kearney	17	0	0	1	0							
District T	otal	4	4	1	65							

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	Female	0	0	0	16					
Adams	Male	2	2	0	44					
	Unknown	0	0	0	1					
Clay	Male	2	2	0	2					
Vaarnav	Female	0	0	1	1					
Kearney	Male	0	0	0	1					
District T	otal	4	4	1	65					

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0	1						
	Black	0	0	0	1						
Adams	Hispanic	0	0	0	5						
	White	1	1	0	50						
	Unknown	1	1	0	4						
Clay	White	1	1	0	2						
Clay	Unknown	1	1	0	0						
Kearney	White	0	0	1	2						
District T	otal	4	4	1	65						

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Damagraphic	Cabart	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	20	12%	12	16%	4	67%	12	10%				
	14-15	58	35%	25	34%	0	0%	41	33%				
Age Group	16	54	32%	8	11%	0	0%	27	22%				
	17	28	17%	17	23%	2	33%	35	28%				
	18	8	5%	12	16%	0	0%	10	8%				
	Female	67	40%	42	57%	2	33%	67	54%				
Sex	Male	101	60%	32	43%	4	67%	58	46%				
	Black	12	7%	4	5%	2	33%	4	3%				
	Indian	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	3	2%				
Race	Other	22	13%	14	19%	0	0%	22	18%				
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
	White	129	77%	54	73%	4	67%	96	77%				
Fall of the	Hispanic	34	20%	12	16%	0	0%	22	18%				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	134	80%	62	84%	6	100%	103	82%				
District Total		168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

		Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release	
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	10	6%	8	11%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	34	20%	14	19%	0	0%	24	19%
Adams	16	36	21%	6	8%	0	0%	18	14%
	17	20	12%	14	19%	2	33%	28	22%
	18	8	5%	12	16%	0	0%	10	8%
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Clay	14-15	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	2	2%
Clay	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
Fillmore	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
	17	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
I I a al a a	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Harlan	17	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%
12	14-15	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%
Kearney	16	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Nuckolls	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	6	4%	2	3%	4	67%	6	5%
D I .	14-15	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	10	8%
Phelps	16	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Webster	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Cav	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
A .1	Female	48	29%	32	43%	0	0%	52	42%				
Adams	Male	60	36%	22	30%	2	33%	30	24%				
Clay	Female	4	2%	2	3%	0	0%	4	3%				
Clay	Male	6	4%	4	5%	0	0%	4	3%				
F:11	Female	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%				
Fillmore	Male	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Harlan	Female	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
Harlan	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Manuary	Female	6	4%	4	5%	0	0%	2	2%				
Kearney	Male	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	4	3%				
Nuckolls	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
NUCKOUS	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Dhalna	Female	6	4%	2	3%	2	33%	6	5%				
Phelps	Male	16	10%	2	3%	2	33%	16	13%				
Webster	Male	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release					
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	Black	12	7%	4	5%	2	33%	4	3%				
	Indian	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Adams	Other	18	11%	14	19%	0	0%	22	18%				
	White	76	45%	34	46%	0	0%	54	43%				
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Clay	White	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	8	6%				
Clay	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
T:11	Indian	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%				
Fillmore	White	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Harlan	White	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
W	White	10	6%	6	8%	0	0%	6	5%				
Kearney	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Nuckolls	White	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Phelps	White	22	13%	4	5%	4	67%	22	18%				
\\/ = = = # c ::	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Webster	White	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Combo	Pall i . ia	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release					
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
A .l	Hispanic	30	18%	12	16%	0	0%	22	18%				
Adams	Not Hispanic	78	46%	42	57%	2	33%	60	48%				
Clay	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Clay	Not Hispanic	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	8	6%				
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4	2%	2	3%	0	0%	3	2%				
Harlan	Not Hispanic	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Kearney	Not Hispanic	12	7%	6	8%	0	0%	6	5%				
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Pholps	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Phelps	Not Hispanic	20	12%	4	5%	4	67%	22	18%				
Webster	Not Hispanic	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	4	16	4	2		6	10.7				
	14-15	28	30	8	8	2	18	10.2				
Age Group	16	18	36	10	2		12	9.8				
	17	10	18	14	20		34	17.4				
	18	4	4	31	26		57	16.1				
Cav	Female	29	38	35	20	2	57	13.9				
Sex	Male	35	66	32	38		70	15.5				
	Am. Indian	1	2	1	2		3	7.3				
	Asian/P.I.	2					0					
Race	Black	4	8	2	8		10	12.6				
	White	53	76	56	36	2	94	16.2				
	Other	4	18	8	12		20	9.9				
[the sight:	Hispanic	8	26	10	10		20	10.0				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	56	78	57	48	2	107	15.6				
District Tota	al	64	104	67	58	2	127	14.7				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	2	8	2	2		4	13.0				
	14-15	14	20		6	2	8	8.5				
Adams	16	14	22	8	2		10	10.2				
	17	8	12	10	12		22	16.7				
	18	4	4	18	18		36	12.4				
	14-15	4	4				0					
Clay	16		2				0					
Clay	17			2	2		4	12.0				
	18			4	4		8	28.0				
	14-15	2					0					
Fillmore	17	2		2			2	18.0				
	18			1			1	10.0				
Harlan	16		2				0					
Hartan	18			4			4	24.0				
	11-13		2	2			2	6.0				
	14-15	4	2				0					
Kearney	16		2				0					
	17		2		4		4	15.5				
	18			2	2		4	9.5				
	14-15			2			2	11.0				
Nuckolls	16		2				0					
	17		2				0					
	11-13	2	4				0					
	14-15	4	2	6	2		8	11.8				
Phelps	16	4	4	2			2	8.0				
	17		2		2		2	38.0				
	18			2			2	37.0				
Webster	11-13		2				0					

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group													
County		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation								
	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation						
	14-15		2				0							
Webster	16		2				0							
	18				2		2	14.0						
District To	otal	64	104	67	58	2	127	14.7						

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youtl	n Discharged fr	Average Length of Probation						
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
A alausa	Female	18	30	22	16	2	40	12.4				
Adams	Male	24	36	14	24		38	13.6				
Clave	Female	4		2			2	57.0				
Clay	Male		6	4	6		10	15.8				
F:11	Female	1		1			1	10.0				
Fillmore	Male	3		2			2	18.0				
Hardan	Female			2			2	23.0				
Harlan	Male		2	2			2	25.0				
1/	Female	2	4	2	2		4	6.5				
Kearney	Male	2	4	2	4		6	14.3				
Nicologiia	Female		2	2	2		4	12.0				
Nuckolls	Male		2				0					
Disales	Female	4	2	4	2		6	12.7				
Phelps	Male	6	10	6	2		8	23.0				
Webster	Male		6		2		2	14.0				
District Tota	al	64	104	65	60	2	127	14.8				

		Youth Ris			Length of and Race	Probation			
	Race	Youth Sta Probati		Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	Am. Indian				2		2	6.0	
	Asian/P.I.	2					0		
Adams	Black	4	8	2	6		8	12.2	
	White	32	44	26	20	2	48	14.7	
	Other	4	14	8	12		20	9.9	
Clay	White	4	4	6	6		12	22.7	
Clay	Other		2				0		
Fillmore	Am. Indian	1		1			1	10.0	
rittilore	White	3		2			2	18.0	
Harlan	White		2	4			4	24.0	
Kearney	Am. Indian		2				0		
Rearriey	White	4	6	4	6		10	11.2	
Nuckolls	White		4	2	2		4	12.0	
Phelps	White	10	12	10	4		14	18.6	
	Black				2		2	14.0	
Webster	White		4				0		
	Other		2				0		
District To	otal	64	104	65	60	2	127	14.8	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth Starting Probation		Youth	n Discharged f	Average Length of Probation							
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Adams	Hispanic	8	22	10	10		20	10.0					
Adams	Not Hispanic	34	44	24	30	2	56	14.1					
Clay	Hispanic		2				0						
Clay	Not Hispanic	4	4	6	6		12	22.7					
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4		3			3	15.3					
Harlan	Not Hispanic		2	4			4	24.0					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	4	8	4	6		10	11.2					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic		4	2	2		4	12.0					
Dhalna	Hispanic		2				0						
Phelps	Not Hispanic	10	10	10	4		14	18.6					
Webster	Not Hispanic		6		2		2	14.0					
District Tot	tal	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8					

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	24	8	16	67%		
Aga Craun	14-15	4	3	1	25%	108	44	64	59%		
Age Group	16	11	9	2	18%	108	55	53	49%		
	17	22	17	5	23%	90	42	48	53%		
	Female	8	7	1	12%	77	33	44	57%		
Sex	Male	26	20	6	23%	223	95	128	57%		
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	30	21	9	30%		
	Am. Indian					3	1	2	67%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%		
December 15th and a litera	Black					18	3	15	83%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	28	12	16	57%		
	White	27	23	4	15%	246	117	129	52%		
	Unknown	10	5	5	50%	34	16	18	53%		
District Total		38	29	9	24%	330	149	181	55%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					18	7	11	61%		
Adams	14-15	3	2	1	33%	70	24	46	66%		
Audilis	16	6	5	1	17%	80	38	42	52%		
	17	14	12	2	14%	61	25	36	59%		
	11-13					1	0	1	100%		
Clay	14-15					3	2	1	33%		
Clay	16					2	1	1	50%		
	17	4	1	3	75%	11	8	3	27%		
	14-15					2	2	0	0%		
Fillmore	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%		
Franklin	16					1	0	1	100%		
Trankun	17					1	0	1	100%		
	14-15					3	0	3	100%		
Harlan	16	1	0	1	100%	5	2	3	60%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%		
Kearney	14-15	1	1	0	0%	14	5	9	64%		
Rearriey	16	2	2	0	0%	5	4	1	20%		
	17	2	2	0	0%	7	3	4	57%		
	14-15					1	1	0	0%		
Nuckolls	16	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%		
	17					1	0	1	100%		
	11-13					2	1	1	50%		
Phelps	14-15					11	8	3	27%		
rneths	16					10	7	3	30%		
	17					2	1	1	50%		
Webster	11-13					1	0	1	100%		
WCDStel	14-15					4	2	2	50%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases											
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Mobatos	16					2	2	0	0%			
webster	Webster 17 1 1 0 0%											
District To	District Total 38 29 9 24% 330 149 181 55%											

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female	6	5	1	17%	53	21	32	60%		
Adams	Male	16	13	3	19%	152	56	96	63%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	24	17	7	29%		
	Female					4	2	2	50%		
Clay	Male	4	1	3	75%	12	8	4	33%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
F:11	Female					1	1	0	0%		
Fillmore	Male	1	1	0	0%	4	4	0	0%		
Franklin	Male					2	0	2	100%		
	Female					2	0	2	100%		
Harlan	Male	1	1	0	0%	10	4	6	60%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%						
	Female	2	2	0	0%	10	4	6	60%		
Kearney	Male	2	2	0	0%	16	6	10	62%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	2	2	0	0%		
	Female					1	0	1	100%		
Nuckolls	Male	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%		
Disales	Female					5	4	1	20%		
Phelps	Male					20	13	7	35%		
	Female					1	1	0	0%		
Webster	Male					4	3	1	25%		
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%		
District To	otal	38	29	9	24%	330	149	181	55%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Am. Indian	2	0	2	100%							
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1	100%							
Adams	Black	16	2	14	88%							
Adams	Hispanic	22	9	13	59%	1	1	0	0%			
	White	173	76	97	56%	18	15	3	17%			
	Unknown	15	7	8	53%	4	3	1	25%			
	Hispanic	3	1	2	67%							
Clay	White	10	8	2	20%	2	1	1	50%			
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	2	0	2	100%			
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%							
Fillmore	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%							
Franklin	Unknown	2	0	2	100%							
Hadaa	White	10	3	7	70%	1	1	0	0%			
Harlan	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%			
	Black	2	1	1	50%							
	Hispanic	2	1	1	50%							
Kearney	White	22	9	13	59%	4	4	0	0%			
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	2	1	1	50%			
Nicostrollo	White	4	1	3	75%	1	1	0	0%			
Nuckolls	Unknown					1	1	0	0%			
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%							
Phelps	White	23	16	7	30%							
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%							
M/ak -t-	White	3	3	0	0%							
Webster	Unknown	5	2	3	60%							
District To	otal	330	149	181	55%	38	29	9	24%			

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	17	14	82%	50	38	76%	6	5	83%
Age Group	16	0	0	0%	11	9	82%	73	52	71%	13	11	85%
3. July	17	1	1	100%	9	6	67%	63	38	60%	12	8	67%
	Female	0	0	0%	16	16	100%	50	30	60%	7	5	71%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	135	97	72%	21	16	76%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	9	2	22%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Race/	Black	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	23	19	83%	5	5	100%
	White	1	1	100%	23	15	65%	139	98	71%	18	13	72%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	15	6	40%	7	5	71%
District To	tal	1	1	100%	37	29	78%	186	128	69%	31	24	77%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Chase	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	13	11	85%	1	1	100%
Dawson	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	13	76%	5	4	80%
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	23	17	74%	2	2	100%
Dundy	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Furnas	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
Hayes	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
Keith	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	12	6	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	23	18	78%	2	1	50%
Lincoln	16	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	17	14	82%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	11	5	45%	5	3	60%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Perkins	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	18	13	72%	6	5	83%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	15	8	53%	4	3	75%
District Total		1	1	100%	37	29	78%	186	128	69%	31	24	77%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	e Type,				
_		Tı	raffic Offe	nse		tatus Offe		N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Chase	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	18	10	56%	0	0	0%
Dawson	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	34	30	88%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Dundy	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Frontier	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
_	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Furnas	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hayes	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
17.11	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%
Keith	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	19	10	53%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	11	7	64%	2	1	50%
Lincoln	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	40	30	75%	4	2	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
5 11	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Perkins	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	10	8	80%	5	4	80%
Red Willow	Male	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	28	16	57%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Total		1	1	100%	37	29	78%	186	128	69%	31	24	77%

		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offei	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Chana	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Chase	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
_	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	15	13	87%	2	2	100%
	White	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	30	23	77%	5	4	80%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Dundy	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Furnas	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
Hayes	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Keith	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	19	11	58%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	2	33%	2	1	50%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	2	2	100%
Lincoln	White	0	0	0%	10	3	30%	43	32	74%	5	2	40%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Perkins	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
_	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	White	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	29	20	69%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4	2	50%	3	2	67%
District Tota	l	1	1	100%	37	29	78%	186	128	69%	31	24	77%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	ed in Ac Case Ty District		ninal Co	ourt					
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	fic Misdemeand			ony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	14	45%	7	37%	7	64%	0				
	16	8	26%	7	37%	1	9%	0				
	17	9	29%	5	26%	3	27%	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	12	46%	6	43%	6	55%	0				
	White	14	54%	8	57%	5	45%	1	100%			
	Unknown	5		5		0		0				
Sex	Sex Female 12 39% 8 42% 4 36% 0											
	Male	19	61%	11	58%	7	64%	1	100%			
District Total		31	100%	19	61%	11	35%	1	3%			

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony											
# # # # # Chase 17 1 0 1 0											
Chase	14-15	14	7	7	0						
Dawson			7	1							
Dawson	16	8	/	ı	0						
	17	5	5	0	0						
Lincoln 17 3 0 2 1											
District Total 31 19 11 1											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex											
County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony											
	# # # #										
Chase	Female	1	0	1	0						
Dawson	Female	11	8	3	0						
Dawson	Male	16	11	5	0						
Lincoln Male 3 0 2 1											
District Total 31 19 11 1											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Misdemeanor Felony Cases County Race # # # # Chase Hispanic 0 1 0 1 Hispanic 10 6 4 0 White Dawson 12 8 4 0 Unknown 5 5 0 0 1 Hispanic 1 0 0 Lincoln White 2 0 1 1

31

19

11

1

District Total

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	Cases	Probation			Jail				Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	14	45%	15	14	100%	15	0	0%	15	0	0%
Age Group	16	8	26%	16	8	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
	17	9	29%	17	8	89%	17	1	11%	17	0	0%
	Female	12	39%	16	12	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
Sex	Male	19	61%	16	18	100%	16	1	0%	16	0	0%
	Hispanic	12	46%	16	12	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	5	0%	16	5	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
,	White	14	54%	16	13	100%	16	1	0%	16	0	0%
District Total		31	100%	16	30	100%	16	1	0%	16	0	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Total Probation Jail Prison Cases Age County Average Group Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Chase 17 1 18 1 18 0 18 0 14-15 14 15 14 15 0 15 0 Dawson 16 8 16 8 16 0 16 0 17 5 17 5 17 0 0 17 Lincoln 17 3 18 2 18 1 18 0 **District Total** 31 30 1 16 0 16 16

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex Probation Total Jail Prison Cases County Sex Average Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Chase Female 1 18 1 18 0 18 0 Female 11 15 11 15 0 15 0 Dawson Male 16 16 16 16 0 16 0 Lincoln Male 3 18 2 1 18 0 18 **District Total** 31 16 30 16 1 16 0

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Probation Jail Prison Cases County Race Average Average Average Number Number Number Number Age at Age at Age at of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Judgement Judgement Judgement Chase Hispanic 18 1 18 0 18 0 Hispanic 10 16 10 16 0 16 0 Dawson White 12 15 12 15 0 15 0 Unknown 5 16 5 0 16 0 16 Hispanic 1 18 1 18 0 18 0 Lincoln White 2 18 1 1 18 0 18 **District Total** 31 16 30 16 1 16 0

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	ourt to Juvenile Court				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
	14-15	0		0		0		3	50%			
Age Group	16	0		0		0		1	17%			
	17	1	100%	0		1	100%	2	33%			
	Black	0		0		0		1	25%			
Da aa (Ethaniaita)	Hispanic	1	100%	0		0		2	50%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		1	100%	1	25%			
	Unknown	0		0		0		2				
	Female	0		0		0		1	17%			
Sex	Male	0		0		1	100%	5	83%			
	Unknown	1		0		0		0				
District Total		1		0		1		6				

M	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Davisan	14-15	0	0	0	3					
Dawson	17	0	0	0	1					
Furnas	16	0	0	0	1					
Lincoln	17	1	0	0	0					
Red Willow	17	0 0		1	1					
District Tota	ι	1	0	1	6					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
			e Court to It Court	Adult Co	ourt to Juvenile Court				
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Dawes	Female	0	0	0	1				
Dawson	Male	0	0	0	3				
Furnas	Male	0	0	0	1				
Lincoln	Unknown	1	0	0	0				
Red Willow	Male	0	0	1	1				
District Tota	l	1	0	1	6				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		_	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
	Black	0	0	0	1				
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	0	2				
	Unknown	0	0	0	1				
Furnas	Unknown	0	0	0	1				
Lincoln	Hispanic	1	0	0	0				
Red Willow	White	0	0	1	1				
District Tota	District Total 1 0 1 6								

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	Release f # of Youth 58 190 110 106 12 170 306 0 0 30 8 74 8 356 150 326	% of Total		
	11-13	60	15%	18	15%	0	0%	58	12%		
	14-15	108	27%	36	30%	14	29%	190	40%		
Age Group	16	110	27%	30	25%	18	38%	110	23%		
	17	100	25%	32	27%	14	29%	106	22%		
	18	24	6%	4	3%	2	4%	12	3%		
	Female	130	32%	28	23%	10	21%	170	36%		
Sex	Male	272	68%	92	77%	38	79%	306	64%		
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%		
	Asian Or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%		
	Black	14	3%	10	8%	2	4%	30	6%		
Race	Indian	12	3%	8	7%	0	0%	8	2%		
	Other	48	12%	8	7%	10	21%	74	16%		
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%		
	White	324	81%	94	78%	32	67%	356	75%		
Fall of the	Hispanic	96	24%	16	13%	14	29%	150	32%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	306	76%	104	87%	34	71%	326	68%		
District Total		402	100%	120	100%	48	100%	476	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Satisfa Rele				
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Chase	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%			
	17	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	12	3%	8	7%	0	0%	18	4%			
	14-15	32	8%	4	3%	4	8%	80	17%			
Dawson	16	22	5%	4	3%	8	17%	36	8%			
	17	38	9%	4	3%	4	8%	48	10%			
	18	8	2%	0	0%	2	4%	6	1%			
	14-15	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
Dundy	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	17	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Frontier	14-15	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Furnas	16	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	17	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Gosper	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Hayes	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
Hitchcock	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%			
Hooker	11-13	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	11-13	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%			
	14-15	12	3%	4	3%	0	0%	16	3%			
Keith	16	16	4%	4	3%	0	0%	12	3%			
	17	10	2%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
	18	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Lincoln	11-13	22	5%	6	5%	0	0%	22	5%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carreta	Age	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release				
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	14-15	36	9%	24	20%	8	17%	66	14%			
Limaalm	16	32	8%	18	15%	6	12%	34	7%			
Lincoln	17	28	7%	18	15%	6	12%	22	5%			
	18	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
Logan	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
	11-13	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
5 1:	14-15	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%			
Perkins	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	17	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	10	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%			
	14-15	12	3%	2	2%	2	4%	18	4%			
Red Willow	16	24	6%	4	3%	2	4%	18	4%			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	16	4%	4	3%	2	4%	22	5%			
	18	8	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%			
District Tot	al	402	100%	120	100%	48	100%	476	100%			

		Juve		robatic District		vity			
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-
County	Jex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Chase	Female	0	0%	2	2%	2	4%	0	0%
Citase	Male	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%
Dawson	Female	42	10%	4	3%	6	12%	56	12%
Dawson	Male	70	17%	16	13%	12	25%	132	28%
Dundy	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%
Frontier	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Frontier	Male	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Гикрая	Female	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Furnas	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Gosper	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hayes	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
I Chalana ala	Female	2	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%
Hitchcock	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%
Hooker	Female	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
IZ = i+l=	Female	14	3%	0	0%	0	0%	22	5%
Keith	Male	34	8%	10	8%	0	0%	18	4%
Linaala	Female	38	9%	18	15%	0	0%	56	12%
Lincoln	Male	86	21%	50	42%	20	42%	88	18%
Logan	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Doulcins	Female	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%
Perkins	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ded Willer	Female	20	5%	2	2%	0	0%	30	6%
Red Willow	Male	50	12%	12	10%	6	12%	40	8%
District Tota	l	402	100%	120	100%	48	100%	476	100%

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Country	D	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motic Rev			-	
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	Satisfa Releating to the second secon	% of Total	
Chan	White	2	0%	4	3%	2	4%	2	0%	
Chase	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	Indian	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	Other	18	4%	4	3%	6	12%	52	11%	
D	White	78	19%	14	12%	8	17%	112	24%	
Dawson	Asian Or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	
	Black	10	2%	0	0%	2	4%	18	4%	
	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	
D l	Black	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Dundy	White	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Frontier	Other	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	White	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Furnas	White	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%	
Gosper	White	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hayes	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	White	2	0%	0	0%	2	4%	8	2%	
Hitchcock	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hooker	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	White	46	11%	10	8%	0	0%	32	7%	
Keith	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	
	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	Black	2	0%	10	8%	0	0%	10	2%	
	Indian	8	2%	6	5%	0	0%	2	0%	
Lincoln	White	96	24%	52	43%	16	33%	112	24%	
Keith	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	
	Other	18	4%	0	0%	2	4%	20	4%	
Logan	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Perkins	White	12	3%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%	
	Other	4	1%	2	2%	2	4%	0	0%	
Red Willow	White	66	16%	12	10%	4	8%	70	15%	

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Daga	Placed on Out-of-home Motions to Placement Revoke				Satisfactory Release				
	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
District Tota	402	100%	120	100%	48	100%	476	100%		

		Juveni		bation strict	Activit	ty			
County	Ethnicity	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release	
County	Lumicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Chase	Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Criase	Not Hispanic	2	0%	4	3%	2	4%	2	0%
Dawson	Hispanic	54	13%	6	5%	8	17%	102	21%
Dawson	Not Hispanic	58	14%	14	12%	10	21%	86	18%
Dundy	Not Hispanic	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%
Frontier	Hispanic	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Frontier	Not Hispanic	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Furnas	Not Hispanic	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hayes	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
l likah as alı	Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	8	2%
Hooker	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
l/oith	Hispanic	12	3%	4	3%	0	0%	14	3%
Keith	Not Hispanic	36	9%	6	5%	0	0%	26	5%
15	Hispanic	16	4%	2	2%	4	8%	26	5%
Lincoln	Not Hispanic	108	27%	66	55%	16	33%	118	25%
Logan	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Perkins	Not Hispanic	12	3%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%
Deel Mari	Hispanic	6	1%	2	2%	2	4%	8	2%
Red Willow	Not Hispanic	64	16%	12	10%	4	8%	62	13%
District Tota	District Total		100%	120	100%	48	100%	476	100%

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	11-13	30	26	4	24	4	4	32	5.6			
	14-15	68	32	8	62	32	12	106	9.7			
Age Group	16	72	32	6	58	24	8	90	11.3			
	17	44	34	22	48	28	8	84	13.1			
	18	8	12	4	62	40	12	114	16.3			
Sav	Female	72	34	24	86	44	18	148	12.2			
Sex	Male	150	102	20	168	84	26	278	12.1			
	Am. Indian	4	8		2	6		8	10.8			
	Asian/P.I.	4			6			6	7.0			
Race	Black	10	4		12	14	2	28	13.2			
	White	184	102	38	188	94	36	318	11.9			
	Other	20	22	6	46	14	6	66	13.4			
[the sister	Hispanic	42	32	22	82	26	22	130	11.2			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	180	104	22	172	102	22	296	12.6			
District Tota	al	222	136	44	254	128	44	426	12.2			

		Yo		isk Levels and by County an			ition		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	14-15	2	2					0	
Chase	17					2		2	14.0
	18				2			2	20.0
	11-13	10	2		8			8	6.5
	14-15	18	6	8	22	4	6	32	6.5
Dawson	16	14	6	2	18	4	2	24	10.7
	17	16	6	16	18	4	8	30	9.3
	18	4	2	2	22	10	8	40	16.2
	14-15		2		2			2	7.0
Dundy	16	2			2			2	7.0
	17			2	2			2	9.0
	11-13	4						0	
Frontier	14-15	2	2					0	
	16	2						0	
	16	6						0	
Furnas	17		2					0	
	18				4	2		6	26.0
Gosper	16	2						0	
Hayes	18						2	2	11.0
	14-15	2	2			2		2	20.0
Hitchcock	18				2	2		4	17.5
Hooker	11-13	2			2			2	6.0
	11-13	2	4	2	4		4	8	3.2
	14-15	10	2		8		6	14	7.9
Keith	16	12	4		4	4		8	9.2
	17	4	4	2	2	2		4	4.5
	18		2		8			8	16.8
Lincoln	11-13	4	16	2	6	4		10	6.4

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	14-15	22	14		24	22		46	11.4		
Lincoln	16	20	12		24	10		34	12.4		
LINCOIN	17	14	12	2	20	16		36	15.7		
	18		4	2	10	24	2	36	15.0		
Logan	18				2			2	18.0		
	11-13	2						0			
Perkins	14-15	2						0			
reikilis	16		2	2	2			2	6.0		
	17	2	2					0			
	11-13	6	4		4			4	6.5		
	14-15	10	2		6	4		10	13.4		
Red Willow	16	14	8	2	8	4	6	18	9.0		
	17	8	8		4	4		8	18.5		
	18	4	4		14	2		16	15.6		
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0		

		Yo	outh Ri	isk Levels and by County			ion		
		Youth Starting Probation			Youth	Discharged f	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Chase	Male	2	2		2	2		4	17.0
Dawaan	Female	24	4	14	22	6	8	36	12.3
Dawson	Male	38	18	14	64	18	16	98	10.5
Dundy	Male	2	2	2	6			6	7.7
Frontier	Female	4						0	
Frontier	Male	4	2					0	
F	Female	2						0	
Furnas	Male	4	2		4	2		6	26.0
Gosper	Male	2						0	
Hayes	Male						2	2	11.0
l likala an alv	Female		2		4			4	9.0
Hitchcock	Male	2				4		4	20.5
Hooker	Female	2			2			2	6.0
IZ = : # l=	Female	4	6	4	12	2	6	20	9.2
Keith	Male	24	10		14	2	4	20	6.7
Lincoln	Female	16	20	2	30	34	2	66	13.1
LINCOIN	Male	44	38	4	56	44		100	13.4
Logan	Male				2			2	18.0
Doulsin -	Female	4		2	2			2	6.0
Perkins	Male	2	4					0	
Dad MCII.	Female	16	2	2	14	2	2	18	14.1
Red Willow	Male	26	24		20	12	4	36	12.7
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	128	44	426	12.2

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	Average Length of Probation					
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Chasa	White	2			2			2	20.0			
Chase	Other		2			2		2	14.0			
	Am. Indian	2	2					0				
	Asian/P.I.	2			4			4	7.5			
Dawson	Black	8	2		6	4	2	12	11.5			
	White	40	12	26	52	12	20	84	9.5			
	Other	10	6	2	26	6	2	34	13.9			
D de .	Black		2		2			2	7.0			
Dundy	White	2		2	4			4	8.0			
	White	8						0				
Frontier	Other		2					0				
Furnas	White	6	2		4	2		6	26.0			
Gosper	White	2						0				
Hayes	White						2	2	11.0			
110 de la casala	White	2			2	4		6	18.3			
Hitchcock	Other		2					0				
Hooker	Asian/P.I.	2			2			2	6.0			
	Am. Indian				2			2	21.0			
Keith	White	28	14	4	26	4	8	38	7.3			
	Other		2				2	2	6.0			
	Am. Indian	2	6			6		6	7.3			
	Black	2			4	10		14	15.6			
Lincoln	White	46	48	2	60	58		118	13.6			
	Other	10	4	4	20	4	2	26	11.5			
Logan	White				2			2	18.0			
Perkins	White	6	4	2	2			2	6.0			
B. ()/////	White	42	22	2	36	14	6	56	12.9			
Red Willow	Other		4					0				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	Average Length of Probation			
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
District Total		222	136	44	256	126	44	426	12.0	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged [·]	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Chann	Hispanic		2			2		2	14.0		
Chase	Not Hispanic	2			2			2	20.0		
D	Hispanic	24	12	18	46	8	18	72	10.5		
Dawson	Not Hispanic	38	10	10	40	14	6	60	10.7		
Dundy	Not Hispanic	2	2	2	6			6	7.7		
	Hispanic		2					0			
Frontier	Not Hispanic	8						0			
Furnas	Not Hispanic	6	2		4	2		6	26.0		
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2						0			
Hayes	Not Hispanic						2	2	11.0		
	Hispanic	2	2					0			
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic				4	4		8	14.8		
Hooker	Not Hispanic	2			2			2	6.0		
12.31	Hispanic	4	8		10	4	2	16	10.0		
Keith	Not Hispanic	24	8	4	16	2	8	26	7.8		
Line a la	Hispanic	10	2	4	20	10	2	32	11.7		
Lincoln	Not Hispanic	50	56	2	66	66		132	13.6		
Logan	Not Hispanic				2			2	18.0		
Perkins	Not Hispanic	6	4	2	2			2	6.0		
Ded Willer	Hispanic	2	4		6			6	9.7		
Red Willow	Not Hispanic	40	22	2	28	14	6	48	13.6		
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0		

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	6	4	2	33%	67	23	44	66%		
Aga Craun	14-15	19	15	4	21%	99	41	58	59%		
Age Group	16	28	22	6	21%	113	34	<i>79</i>	70%		
	17	36	27	9	25%	106	45	61	58%		
	Female	25	22	3	12%	122	50	72	59%		
Sex	Male	60	44	16	27%	245	89	156	64%		
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	18	4	14	78%		
	Am. Indian					4	1	3	75%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%		
Dana (Ethaniaita)	Black	4	3	1	25%	14	5	9	64%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	17	13	4	24%	53	22	31	58%		
	White	52	43	9	17%	262	99	163	62%		
	Unknown	16	9	7	44%	51	16	35	69%		
District Total		89	68	21	24%	385	143	242	63%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
				Juvenile C	ourt Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					3	2	1	33%		
Chase	14-15					3	0	3	100%		
Chase	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	2	2	0	0%						
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	9	5	4	44%		
Dawaan	14-15	8	5	3	38%	24	9	15	62%		
Dawson	16	4	2	2	50%	27	7	20	74%		
	17	11	5	6	55%	36	8	28	78%		
Dundy	16					1	0	1	100%		
	11-13					2	0	2	100%		
	14-15					1	0	1	100%		
Frontier	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	1	1	0	0%						
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	3	0	3	100%		
Furnas	16	1	0	1	100%	3	0	3	100%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
	14-15					1	0	1	100%		
Gosper	16					1	0	1	100%		
	16					4	3	1	25%		
Hayes	17					1	1	0	0%		
	11-13					3	1	2	67%		
Hitchcock	17					2	2	0	0%		
Hooker	17	1	1	0	0%						
	11-13					12	4	8	67%		
IZ = GEI	14-15					20	12	8	40%		
Keith	16	2	1	1	50%	22	5	17	77%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	17	10	7	41%		
Lincoln	11-13	3	3	0	0%	24	10	14	58%		
Lincoln	14-15	9	8	1	11%	33	18	15	45%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Lincoln	16	16	15	1	6%	21	13	8	38%			
Lincoln 17 13 11 2 15% 22 12 10 45%												
Logon	16	1	0	1	100%							
Logan	17	1	1	0	0%							
	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
Perkins	14-15					4	0	4	100%			
Perkins	16	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
	11-13	2	0	2	100%	13	1	12	92%			
Red Willow	14-15	1	1	0	0%	10	2	8	80%			
Red Willow	16	2	2	0	0%	29	3	26	90%			
	17	4	3	1	25%	22	9	13	59%			
District Tota	l	89	68	21	24%	385	143	242	63%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Chase	Female	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
Chase	Male	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%			
	Female	6	3	3	50%	35	10	25	71%			
Dawson	Male	18	10	8	44%	57	18	39	68%			
	Unknown					4	1	3	75%			
Dundy	Male					1	0	1	100%			
Frontier	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
Frontier	Male					2	1	1	50%			
	Female					2	0	2	100%			
Furnas	Male	1	0	1	100%	5	0	5	100%			
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%							
Gosper	Male					2	0	2	100%			
Haves	Female					2	2	0	0%			
Hayes	Male					3	2	1	33%			
Hitabaaal	Female					2	1	1	50%			
Hitchcock	Male					3	2	1	33%			
Hooker	Male	1	1	0	0%							
	Female					23	13	10	43%			
Keith	Male	2	2	0	0%	48	18	30	62%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%							
	Female	13	13	0	0%	27	18	9	33%			
Lincoln	Male	27	24	3	11%	63	32	31	49%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	10	3	7	70%			
1.00-11	Female	1	1	0	0%							
Logan	Male	1	0	1	100%							
Dauliu	Female	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%			
Perkins	Male	2	2	0	0%	8	3	5	62%			
De date!	Female	2	2	0	0%	21	4	17	81%			
Red Willow	Male	7	4	3	43%	49	11	38	78%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
Red Willow	Red Willow Unknown 4 0 4 100%												
District Total 89 68 21 24% 385 143 242 63%													

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
Chase	White					4	2	2	50%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%							
	Am. Indian					4	1	3	75%			
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%			
Davis	Black	1	0	1	100%	6	2	4	67%			
Dawson	Hispanic	10	6	4	40%	29	8	21	72%			
	White	7	5	2	29%	51	17	34	67%			
	Unknown	6	2	4	67%	5	1	4	80%			
Dundy	White					1	0	1	100%			
F	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%			
Frontier	White	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	Black					1	0	1	100%			
Furnas	White					2	0	2	100%			
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	4	0	4	100%			
C	White					1	0	1	100%			
Gosper	Unknown					1	0	1	100%			
Hayes	White					5	4	1	20%			
I Barbaral	White					3	3	0	0%			
Hitchcock	Unknown					2	0	2	100%			
Hooker	White	1	1	0	0%							
	Black					1	1	0	0%			
I/ a it!	Hispanic					4	4	0	0%			
Keith	White	1	1	0	0%	44	18	26	59%			
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	22	8	14	64%			
	Black	3	3	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Const	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	14	9	5	36%			
Lincoln	White	32	29	3	9%	80	39	41	51%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	5	4	1	20%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
Logan	White	2	1	1	50%								
Doulcine	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%								
Perkins	White	2	2	0	0%	13	4	9	69%				
	Black					5	1	4	80%				
Deel Miller	Hispanic					2	0	2	100%				
Red Willow	White	6	3	3	50%	55	11	44	80%				
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	12	3	9	75%				
District Tota	ι	89	68	21	24%	385	143	242	63%				

District 12

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	1	1	100%	12	8	67%	76	58	76%	9	8	89%
Age Group	16	0	0	0%	10	6	60%	89	59	66%	4	3	75%
0.000	17	1	0	0%	9	7	78%	54	38	70%	5	5	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	14	10	71%	65	42	65%	2	1	50%
Sex	Male	1	0	0%	16	10	62%	152	112	74%	16	15	94%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	19	8	42%	3	3	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	34	29	85%	2	1	50%
zamierty	White	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	75	56	75%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	24	18	75%	90	61	68%	10	9	90%
District To	tal	2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	5	42%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
Dawes	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	2	20%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Deuel	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
12: 1 11	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Morrill	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	49	37	76%	5	4	80%
Scotts Bluff	16	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	47	38	81%	3	2	67%
	17	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	36	24	67%	3	3	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%
Sheridan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
	17	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
District Total		2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		oy Case	· Type,				
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	18	12	67%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Chavana	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%
Cheyenne	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
Dawes	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
Dawes	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	13	4	31%	1	1	100%
Deuel	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Deuei	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kimball	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Nimball	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Morrill	Male	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	6	5	83%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	41	25	61%	1	0	0%
Scotts Bluff	Male	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	90	73	81%	10	9	90%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
SHEFFUATI	Male	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	9	75%	2	2	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

			Juver			Adjudiond nd Race			Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	Si	tatus Offei	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	16	11	69%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Cheyenne	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	7	58%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	2	22%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dawes	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Deuel	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kimball	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Morrill	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	29	25	86%	2	1	50%
Scotts Bluff	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	35	26	74%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	22	16	73%	68	48	71%	8	7	88%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	2	2	100%
61	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	White	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
District Total		2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

District 12

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	eniles Pro	by C	d in Ad Case Ty District		minal Co	ourt					
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Felony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	14-15	2	29%	1	100%	1	17%				
	16	1	14%	0		1	17%				
	17	4	57%	0		4	67%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	100%	0		3	100%				
	Unknown	4		1		3					
Sex	Female	1	20%	0		1	20%				
	Male 4 80% 0 4 80%										
	Unknown	2		1		1					
District Total		7	100%	1	14%	6	86%				

Juveniles	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony												
	Group	#	#	#	#							
Deuel	17	1	0	0	1							
Morrill	17	1	0	0	1							
	14-15	2	0	1	1							
Scotts Bluff	16	1	0	0	1							
17 2 0 0 2												
District Total 7 0 1 6												

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County	County Sex Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony									
		#	#	#	#					
Deuel	Male	1	0	0	1					
Morrill	Female	1	0	0	1					
Cootto Diviff	Male	3	0	0	3					
Scotts Bluff	Unknown	2	0	1	1					
District Tota	l	7	0	1	6					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County Race All Cases Misdemeanor Felony								
		#	#	#				
Deuel	Unknown	1	0	1				
Morrill	Unknown	1	0	1				
Coatta Diviff	Hispanic	3	0	3				
Scotts Bluff	Unknown	2	1	1				
District Tota	l	7	1	6				

District 12

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	Cases	Probation				Jail			Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
	14-15	2	29%	32	0	0%	32	1	50%	32	1	50%	
Age Group	16	1	14%	19	1	100%	19	0	0%	19	0	0%	
	17	4	57%	19	4	100%	19	0	0%	19	0	0%	
	Male	4	80%	24	3	0%	24	0	50%	24	1	50%	
Sex	Unknown	2	0%	19	1	0%	19	1	50%	19	0	50%	
	Female	1	20%	20	1	100%	20	0	0%	20	0	0%	
Dago (Ethanicity)	Hispanic	3	100%	25	2	0%	25	0	50%	25	1	50%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	4	0%	20	3	0%	20	1	50%	20	0	50%	
District Total		7	100%	23	5	0%	23	1	50%	23	1	50%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
	A ===	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Deuel	17	1	21	1	21	0	21	0			
Morrill	17	1	20	1	20	0	20	0			
	14-15	2	32	0	32	1	32	1			
Scotts Bluff	16	1	19	1	19	0	19	0			
17 2 18 2 18 0 18 0								0			
District Tota	District Total 7 23 5 23 1 23 1										

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Average Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Deuel	Male	1	21	1	21	0	21	0			
Morrill	Female	1	20	1	20	0	20	0			
Coatta Diviff	Male		25	2	25	0	25	1			
Scotts Bluff	Unknown	2	19	1	19	1	19	0			
District Tota	l	7	23	5	23	1	23	1			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Deuel	Unknown	1	21	1	21	0	21	0			
Morrill	Unknown	1	20	1	20	0	20	0			
Coatta Diviff	Hispanic		25	2	25	0	25	1			
Scotts Bluff	Unknown	2	19	1	19	1	19	0			
District Tota	l	7	23	5	23	1	23	1			

District 12

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
	14-15	0		0		0		1	20%			
Age Group	16	0		0		0		1	20%			
	17	0		0		3	100%	3	60%			
	Hispanic	0		0		2	100%	2	50%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		0		2	50%			
	Unknown	0		0		1		1				
Sex	Male	0		0		3	100%	5	100%			
District Total		0		0		3		5				

М	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	14-15	0	0	0	1					
Scotts Bluff	16	0	0	0	1					
17		0	0	3	3					
District Tota	ι	0	0	3	5					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Scotts Bluff Male		0	0	3	5				
District Total		0	0	3	5				

١	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	Hispanic	0	0	2	2					
Scotts Bluff	Scotts Bluff White		0	0	2					
	Unknown	0	0	1	1					
District Tota	l	0	0	3	5					

District 12

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile f	Probati Distric		tivity					
Domographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13			12	11%	2	4%	48	10%
	14-15	86	28%	34	31%	10	19%	144	31%
Age Group	16	86	28%	32	29%	22	42%	136	29%
	17	94	30%	22	20%	12	23%	120	26%
	18	14	5%	10	9%	6	12%	16	3%
6	Female	98	32%	44	40%	24	46%	146	31%
Sex	Male	212	68%	66	60%	28	54%	318	69%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	12	23%	0	0%
	Black	10	3%	4	4%	0	0%	10	2%
Race	Indian	60	19%	30	27%	0	0%	106	23%
	Other	72	23%	28	25%	12	23%	118	25%
	White	168	54%	48	44%	28	54%	230	50%
Fil. 1.11	Hispanic	122	39%	42	38%	22	42%	184	40%
Ethnicity Not Hispanic		188	61%	68	62%	30	58%	280	60%
District Total			100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Day Butto	14-15	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	12	3%	
Box Butte	16	2	1%	0	0%	4	8%	28	6%	
	17	8	3%	0	0%	4	8%	10	2%	
	14-15	10	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%	
Cheyenne	16	16	5%	4	4%	0	0%	12	3%	
	17	6	2%	2	2%	2	4%	20	4%	
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	
	14-15	8	3%	8	7%	0	0%	4	1%	
Dawes	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%	
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Deuel	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Garden	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Kimball	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Morrill	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	11-13	26	8%	12	11%	2	4%	36	8%	
	14-15	52	17%	26	24%	10	19%	104	22%	
Scotts Bluff	16	54	17%	24	22%	16	31%	90	19%	
Dian	17	72	23%	14	13%	6	12%	76	16%	
	18	12	4%	10	9%	4	8%	16	3%	
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	
Sheridan	16	8	3%	4	4%	2	4%	2	0%	
	17	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%	
District Tot	al	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%	

		Juve		robatic District		vity			
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of-		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Day Dutte	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%
Box Butte	Male	16	5%	0	0%	8	15%	46	10%
Chavana	Female	10	3%	4	4%	2	4%	18	4%
Cheyenne	Male	22	7%	2	2%	0	0%	20	4%
Dawes	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%
Dawes	Male	12	4%	8	7%	2	4%	18	4%
Deuel	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Deuei	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Garden	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimball	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimball	Male	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Morrill	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Scotts Bluff	Female	70	23%	36	33%	22	42%	104	22%
SCOUS BIUTT	Male	146	47%	50	45%	16	31%	218	47%
Sheridan	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Sileridari	Male	10	3%	6	5%	2	4%	4	1%
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

	Juvenile	Probat Distri		tivity					
County	Page	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	_
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	Indian	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Box Butte	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	4	1%
	White	12	4%	0	0%	6	12%	46	10%
	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Cheyenne	Other	2	1%	2	2%	2	4%	10	2%
	White	28	9%	4	4%	0	0%	26	6%
	Indian	14	5%	8	7%	0	0%	22	5%
Dawes	White	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
Deuel	White	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Garden	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimball	White	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%
Morrill	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
	Black	10	3%	4	4%	0	0%	10	2%
	Indian	22	7%	18	16%	0	0%	62	13%
Scotts Bluff	Other	70	23%	26	24%	8	15%	104	22%
	White	114	37%	38	35%	22	42%	146	31%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	8	15%	0	0%
	Indian	12	4%	4	4%	0	0%	12	3%
Sheridan	White	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

		Juveni		bation strict	Activit	ty			
Country	[the picits	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Day Dutta	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	4	1%
Box Butte	Not Hispanic	20	6%	0	0%	6	12%	50	11%
Chave	Hispanic	6	2%	2	2%	2	4%	16	3%
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	26	8%	4	4%	0	0%	22	5%
Dawes	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Dawes	Not Hispanic	14	5%	10	9%	2	4%	22	5%
David	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Deuel	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Garden	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimball	Not Hispanic	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%
Morrill	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Cookly Divisi	Hispanic	108	35%	40	36%	18	35%	158	34%
Scotts Bluff	Not Hispanic	108	35%	46	42%	20	38%	164	35%
Cla a usi al a us	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Sheridan	Not Hispanic	12	4%	6	5%	2	4%	12	3%
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

District 12

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	24	6		8	2		10	6.2	
	14-15	34	48	4	50	16	4	70	7.5	
Age Group	16	40	46		48	20		68	9.2	
	17	46	48		48	44		92	10.0	
	18	6	8		44	40		84	10.7	
Sav	Female	40	58		58	42		100	10.3	
Sex	Male	110	98	4	140	80	4	224	8.9	
	Am. Indian	24	34	2	32	30	2	64	11.1	
Dana	Black	6	4		8	2		10	6.6	
Race	White	82	86		94	64		158	8.9	
	Other	38	32	2	64	26	2	92	9.2	
[the sister	Hispanic	54	66	2	86	42	2	130	9.0	
Ethnicity	Ethnicity Not Hispanic		90	2	112	80	2	194	9.6	
District Tota	al	150	156	4	198	122	4	324	9.4	

		Yo		isk Levels and by County an			ition		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged :	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	2			2			2	8.0
	14-15	4	2	2	2	2	2	6	3.7
Box Butte	16		2		4	2		6	14.7
	17	2	6		4	2		6	8.7
	18				4	4		8	9.8
	14-15		10		2	2		4	6.5
	16	4	12		2	4		6	2.7
Cheyenne	17	2	4		6	8		14	5.6
	18				4	2		6	9.3
	11-13	2			2			2	6.0
	14-15	6	2		6			6	7.3
Dawes	16		4					0	
	17		2					0	
	18				2	4		6	16.0
	16		2					0	
Deuel	18				2			2	17.0
Garden	16				2			2	8.0
	14-15	4						0	
	16				2			2	2.0
Kimball	17	2			2	2		4	14.0
	18		2					0	
	14-15		2					0	
Morrill	16					2		2	5.0
	11-13	20	6		4	2		6	5.7
	14-15	18	32	2	38	12	2	52	8.2
Scotts Bluff	16	32	22		38	10		48	10.2
	17	40	32		34	34		68	10.0
	18	6	6		32	26		58	9.9

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth Discharged from Probation Average Lengt of Probation							
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	14-15	2			2			2	3.0			
Sheridan	16	4	4			2		2				
	17 4 2											
District Tota	District Total 150 156 4 198 120 4 322											

		Yo	outh Ri	sk Levels and by County			ion			
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Box Butte	Female	2	2		4	2		6	8.0	
вох више	Male	6 8 2 12 8 2						22	9.5	
Chayanna	Female		10		2	8		10	8.4	
Cheyenne	Male	6	16		12	10		22	5.0	
Dawes	Female		4		2			2	15.0	
Dawes	Male	8	4		8	4		12	10.2	
Deuel	Female		2					0		
Deuei	Male				2			2	17.0	
Garden	Male				2			2	8.0	
Kimball	Female	2	2		2			2	2.0	
KIIIDall	Male	4			2	2		4	14.0	
Morrill	Male		2			2		2	5.0	
Scotts Bluff	Female	34	36		44	30		74	9.8	
SCOLLS BIUTT	Male	82	62	2	102	52	2	156	9.0	
Sheridan	Female	2	2		4			4	16.5	
Sheridan	Male 4 6					2		2	0.0	
District Total 150 156 4 198 120 4								322	9.1	

		Yout	th Risk	Levels and L by County a			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian	4	2	2	4		2	6	3.7
Box Butte	White	4	8		10	12		22	12.3
	Other				2			2	5.0
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	3.0
Cheyenne	White	6	22		8	14		22	5.3
	Other		2		4	2		6	9.7
D	Am. Indian	6	8		10	4		14	10.9
Dawes	White	2						0	
Deuel	White		2		2			2	17.0
Garden	White				2			2	8.0
Kimball	White	6	2		4	2		6	10.0
Morrill	Am. Indian		2			2		2	5.0
	Am. Indian	8	14		14	20		34	13.4
Cootto Diviff	Black	6	4		8	2		10	6.6
Scotts Bluff	White	64	50		66	38		104	8.7
	Other	38	30	2	58	22	2	82	8.5
Chariden	Am. Indian	6	6		4	2		6	11.0
Sheridan	White 2							0	
District Tota	l	150	156	4	196	122	4	322	9.2

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Day Dutha	Hispanic				2			2	5.0			
Box Butte	Not Hispanic	8	10	2	14	12	2	28	10.4			
Chavanna	Hispanic		6		4	4		8	9.5			
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	6	20		10	12		22	4.5			
Dawes	Hispanic		2					0				
Dawes	Not Hispanic	8	6		10	4		14	10.9			
Deuel	Hispanic		2					0				
Deuei	Not Hispanic				2			2	17.0			
Garden	Not Hispanic				2			2	8.0			
Kimball	Not Hispanic	6	2		4	2		6	10.0			
Morrill	Hispanic		2			2		2	5.0			
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	52	54	2	78	34	2	114	9.2			
SCOLLS BIUTT	Not Hispanic	64	44		68	48		116	9.9			
Sheridan	Hispanic	2			2			2	3.0			
Sneridan	Not Hispanic	4	8		2	2		4	15.0			
District Tota	l	150	156	4	198	120	4	322	9.4			

District 12

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District	o Counse	el					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					65	14	51	78%		
14-15 6 5 1 17% 137 42 95 69%											
Age Group	16	23	19	4	17%	149	54	95	64%		
	17	42	28	14	33%	101	40	61	60%		
	Female	16	16	0	0%	141	45	96	68%		
Sex	Male	48	33	15	31%	306	104	202	66%		
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	5	1	4	80%		
	Am. Indian	6	4	2	33%	48	16	32	67%		
	Black					7	2	5	71%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	12	7	5	42%	65	25	40	62%		
	White	37	32	5	14%	128	44	84	66%		
	Unknown	16	9	7	44%	204	63	141	69%		
District Total		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%		

				ile Acces ounty an					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13					19	2	17	89%
Day Dutta	14-15	2	2	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Box Butte	16	7	6	1	14%	19	10	9	47%
	17	8	8	0	0%	12	5	7	58%
	14-15					8	0	8	100%
Cheyenne	16					14	0	14	100%
	17	2	1	1	50%	10	0	10	100%
	11-13					3	1	2	67%
Danna	14-15	2	2	0	0%	17	6	11	65%
Dawes	16					12	7	5	42%
	17					4	2	2	50%
Deuel	16					2	0	2	100%
Garden	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Garden	16					1	0	1	100%
Grant	14-15					3	3	0	0%
Kimball	14-15					2	0	2	100%
Kimball	17	3	2	1	33%	2	0	2	100%
	11-13					2	1	1	50%
Morrill	14-15					4	1	3	75%
MOTTILL	16	1	1	0	0%	7	2	5	71%
	17	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%
	11-13					38	9	29	76%
Scotts Bluff	14-15	2	1	1	50%	90	25	65	72%
SCOULS BIUIT	16	12	10	2	17%	86	28	58	67%
	17	26	14	12	46%	63	27	36	57%
	11-13					2	1	1	50%
Sheridan	14-15					5	2	3	60%
SHEFFUAN	16	3	2	1	33%	8	7	1	12%
	17	2	2	0	0%	5	4	1	20%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases										
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
District Tota	District Total 71 52 19 27% 452 150 302 67%										

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex										
		Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Box Butte	Female	5	5	0	0%	16	5	11	69%	
	Male	11	11	0	0%	41	16	25	61%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	# Without Counsel 5	0%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	12	0	12	100%	
Cheyenne	Male	1	0	1	100%	19	0	19	100%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
	Female					11	5	6	55%	
Dawes	Male	2	2	0	0%	24	11	13	54%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
David	Female					1	0	1	100%	
Deuel	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Garden	Male					2	0	2	100%	
Grant	Male					3	3	0	0%	
	Female					3	0	3	100%	
Kimball	Male	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%					
N.A	Female	1	1	0	0%					
Morrill	Male	1	1	0	0%	18	6	12	67%	
Scotts Bluff	Female	9	9	0	0%	94	33	61	65%	
	Male	26	14	12	46%	182	56	126	69%	
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	1	0	1	100%	
61 11	Female					4	2	2	50%	
Sheridan	Male	5	4	1	20%	16	12	4	25%	
District Total		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
	Race/ Ethnicity	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
County		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Box Butte	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	16	2	14	88%	
	Black					3	1	2	67%	
	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	3	2	1	33%	
	White	11	11	0	0%	30	13	17	57%	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	6	4	2	33%	
Cl	White	2	1	1	50%	10	0	10	100%	
Cheyenne	Unknown					22	0	22	100%	
	Am. Indian					18	7	11	61%	
	Black					2	1	1	50%	
Dawes	Hispanic					2	1	1	50%	
	White	1	1	0	0%	9	4	5	56%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%	
David	White					1	0	1	100%	
Deuel	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Garden	White					2	0	2	100%	
Grant	White					3	3	0	0%	
12. 1. 11	White	2	1	1	50%	4	0	4	100%	
Kimball	Unknown	1	1	0	0%					
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%	
N.A	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
Morrill	White	1	1	0	0%	15	5	10	67%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Scotts Bluff	Am. Indian	3	1	2	67%	2	0	2	100%	
	Black					2	0	2	100%	
	Hispanic	9	4	5	56%	58	20	38	66%	
	White	19	16	3	16%	48	15	33	69%	
	Unknown	9	4	5	56%	167	54	113	68%	
Charit	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	11	7	4	36%	
Sheridan	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Sheridan	White	1	1	0	0%	6	4	2	33%	
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	2	2	0	0%	
District Total		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%	

Appendix I Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

Revised Statutes » Chapter 24 » 24-1007

24-1007. State Court Administrator; compile judicial workload statistics; how; juveniles in Nebraska's justice system; annual report; contents.

- (1) The State Court Administrator shall compile uniform and accurate statistics which will assist in the evaluation of judicial workloads. The judicial workload statistics shall be based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. The judicial workload statistics shall be compiled annually for each district, county, and separate juvenile court judicial district in the state.
- (2) The State Court Administrator shall develop and provide an annual report to the Legislature and the Governor on juveniles in Nebraska's justice system. The report to the Legislature shall be provided electronically. For purposes of this section, juvenile has the same meaning as in section 43-245. The report shall include, but not be limited to, geographic and demographic information on the following:
- (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications;
- (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation;
- (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court;
- (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation;
- (e) Juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained; and
- (f) Rates of recidivism.

Source:

Laws 1995, LB 189, § 5;

Laws 2014, LB464, § 3.

Appendix II Probation Definitions

The Probation information was collected from the Nebraska Probation Application for community Safety (NPACS) case management system. Traditional probationers and Problem Solving Court probationers are included in this analysis. The following are explanations of the data.

- 1. Youth Level of Service/ Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) score: Youth Placed on Probation Initial Classification based on YLS/CMI Level provides an analysis of the number of youth whose first YLS/CMI score would place them in the Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) classification, or the Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) classification. JCBR are commonly referred to as the low risk youth and their cases are managed accordingly, while the JCBI youth have scores of moderate high, high or very high. JCBI youth have more supervision requirements to address their high risk. Some youth do not have an YLS/CMI assessment completed before their probation is terminated. This can be due to a brief probation term, or successful completion of preadjudicated services.
- 2. Placed on Probation: the count of all youth that were formally placed on Probation between January 1 and December 31 of the previous year.
- 3. Placed Out of Home: this information is a count of all youth who were placed in an out of home placement (OHP) at some point during the calendar year. This information represents the number of youth that were out of home, not the number of placements. For example, one youth may be out of home in detention, move to a shelter and eventually transition home. The youth would be counted once even though they had two different OHP. If a youth is placed in OHP on their 19th birthday prior to being released from Probation, the youth is included in the placed at 18 years old age bracket and the appropriate sex, race and ethnicity group.
- 4. Motion to Revoke: the count of all youth that received a motion to revoke on any of their active cases in the report year. A youth may have multiple court cases being processed concurrently, but the youth would only be counted once in this report.
- 5. Revocations Only: the number of youth that had probation revoked on one or more cases. Individual youth are counted and not the number of cases that were revoked. When a motion to revoke is filed, the court has several options that they can pursue including: revoking the probation case and terminating the probation term, extending the probation term, sending the youth to YRTC and continuing probation after that placement, or dismissing the motion to revoke. For this category, only those youth whose cases were revoked and terminated in the reporting period are included. Further details regarding revocations can be found in the Juvenile Probation's Detailed Analysis.
- 6. Successful Discharge: the number of youth who were successfully discharged from Probation, during the year, on one or more court cases. Successful completion includes completion of pre-adjudication, pre-disposition, traditional probation, early release and YRTC probation. Length of Probation is provided in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. Some youth will also appear to be discharged at 19 or later due to the time lapse between court proceeding and case closure. Youth can also be discharged past 19 years old if Nebraska Probation is providing interstate probation supervision.
- 7. All Discharges YLS: this information contains a listing of youth that were discharged during the report year. Age is presented at time of probation start (which may be prior to the report year), and age at time of discharge. Length of probation is displayed in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These

youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. A final YLS/CMI score and classification is also provided for any analysis on the number of youth that were discharged at each level. It is not uncommon for there to be more youth at a JCBR level discharged as opposed to JCBI. This is due to the process of stepping high risk youth down to a lower supervision level before discharging them from probation.

Age calculations

- 1. Youth placed on probation: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year.
- 2. Out of home placement: age at the first admission to out of home placement during that calendar year.
- 3. Motions to Revoke: age at which the motion to revoke was filed/created during that calendar year.
- 4. Probation Revoked: age on the date which the disposition for the motion to revoke was applied during that calendar year.
- 5. Satisfactory Release: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.
- 6. Initial Risk Level: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year. Youth are assessed just prior to or after they begin their probation term. For consistency, the age when they began their probation term was calculated.
- 7. Final Risk Level: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year. Youth may be assessed within six months of their release. For consistency, the age when they were discharged from probation was calculated and reported in regards to their final risk assessment level.
- 8. Length of Probation: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.

Appendix III § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts

For the purpose of accurately assessing post-program recidivism across justice programs, Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts shall utilize the following uniform definitions of recidivism for all adults and juveniles within their respective programs.

(A) Adults:

As applied to adults, recidivism shall mean a final conviction of a Class I or II misdemeanor, a Class IV felony or above, or a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state law or an ordinance of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law, within 3 years of being successfully released.

(B) Juveniles:

- (1) As applied to juveniles, recidivism shall mean that within 1 year of being successfully released from a probation or problem-solving court program the juvenile has:
 - (a) an adjudication pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-247(1) or (2).
- (b) for a juvenile 14 years or older, a final conviction for a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state traffic laws or ordinances of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law; or
 - (c) a prosecution and final conviction as an adult for any crimes set forth in subsection (A) above.
- (2) For juveniles that age out of the juvenile system within 1 year of program exit and who did not recidivate post-program as juveniles, the adult definition of post-program recidivism, including any drug-related or alcohol-related conviction, shall apply.
- § 1-1001 adopted September 25, 2013.