PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Samuel Malson January 27, 2020 402-471-0051

LB 287

Revision: 03

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

Update to reflect adoption of AM386

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)											
	FY 202	0-21	FY 2021-22								
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE							
GENERAL FUNDS											
CASH FUNDS	see below	see below	see below	see below							
FEDERAL FUNDS											
OTHER FUNDS											
TOTAL FUNDS											

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

As amended, LB 287 makes the following changes:

- Provides the Game and Parks Commission with the authority to disseminate promotional materials in addition to the currently authorized informational materials.
 - The potential fiscal impact of this change is presumed to be in the form of expenditures as there is an expansion to the methods available to the Commission for informing the public. However, until the department develops and implements any additional approaches, costs are unknown.
- Allows for temporary reduced rates and combinations of permits/stamps for specific events or during specific timeframes.
 - The potential fiscal impact of this change is difficult to discern as the number of individuals who would take advantage of the temporarily reduced fees that would normally pay full-price is unknown, as is the quantity or duration of the events and timeframes where the reduced rates would be offered. Additionally, there could be an increase in the number of permits sold due to some individuals who normally would not purchase a permit at full-price now purchasing the discounted permit or purchasing a combination permit due to the discount. For these reasons the fiscal impact is indeterminate.
- Provides for the ability of big game hunters and paddlefish anglers to purchase preference and bonus points.
 - o It is unknown how many individuals would purchase preference and bonus points which results in an inability to determine an estimated revenue dollar amount, but it is presumable that any fiscal impact would be in the form of an increase in revenue. The agency has indicated existing permitting systems are able to accommodate any needed changes with minimal cost. Fees for the points would be capped at \$24 for residents and \$72 for nonresidents.
- Eliminates or reduces several fees charged for the replacement of lost/damaged permits.
 - This change would result in a loss of revenue. However, the impact is viewed by the agency to be minimal due to the
 advent of electronic and mobile permit accessibility which has reduced revenue since their implementation.
- Removes several minimum fee price requirements.
 - No fiscal impact is anticipated as fees are already above the minimum threshold.
- Increases maximum cost thresholds of annual nonresident park entry permit to \$55.
 - The current fee is \$45, if the agency were to exercise its authority under NRS 37-438 to raise the fees, an increase in revenue would be seen provided the number of permits sold does not reduce.
- Increases maximum cost threshold of a daily nonresident park entry permit to \$10.
 - The current fee is eight (8) dollars, if the agency were to exercise its authority under NRS 37-438 to raise the fee, an
 increase in revenue would be seen provided the number of permits sold does not reduce.
- Eliminates the Aquatic Invasive Species add-on fee and incorporates the fee into the normal price of registering a boat in order to be compliant with a federal interpretation of acceptable fee structure.
 - No fiscal impact is present with regard to this change as the same fee amount is still being paid when a boat is registered, it is just now being considered as part of the normal registration fee structure.

- Sets a range for the cost of an annual habitat and aquatic habitat stamps.
 - Currently, statute dictates that an annual habitat stamps costs \$25 and the aquatic habitat stamp \$15, the bill would
 add language to statue that directs the agency can charge not more than the amounts specified above. As only
 authority is provided to the agency to reduce the fees, no fiscal impact is anticipated.
- Provides the commission with the authority to establish additional hunter orange requirements during authorized hunting seasons.
 - No fiscal impact is present as only authority is provided and any requirements would be placed upon individual hunters.

All changes are discussed in further detail in the agency's revised fiscal note (see attached) as is the inability to determine a direct fiscal impact. There is no basis to disagree with the agency's indeterminate fiscal impact.

Lancaster County has indicated there is no fiscal impact. There is no basis to disagree with this assessment.

Aid Capital improvements..... TOTAL.....

287 AM386 changes to Game Law re permit/stamp

FISCAL NOTE

	fees												
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2)				Nebra	Nebraska Game and Parks Commission								
Prepare	ed by: (3)	Patrick	Cole	Dat	te Prepared: (4)	1/22/2020	Phone: (5)	402-471-5523					
		F	ESTIMATE PRO	OVIDED BY	STATE AGEN	NCY OR POLI	TICAL SUBDIVIS	ION					
			EXPENDITUR	<u>FY 2020-21</u> <u>EES</u>	REVENUE	EXPEN	<u>FY 2021</u> IDITURES	<u>-22</u> <u>REVENUE</u>					
GENER	RAL FUN	DS											
CASH F	UNDS				See below			See below					
FEDER	AL FUN	DS											
OTHER	R FUNDS	i											
TOTAL	L FUNDS			_									
Section Section in Nebri promot shirt, ca an outs numbe Section tempor return t	ence poir are also on ans 1, 2, 3 an 5 expa raska. Con iconal ma aps, food aps, food aride part of ever ans 6,9,10 arily red to its orig	nts, and covered as, and 4 and the acterials. It is that the control of the contr	reposition the and will be dis and will be dis provide definition agency's option it is in the form. This may inclustreshments to per is not available with a would be used for specific after the time attally revenue.	Aquatic Involused in the committee of the committee of the contract of the committee of the	vasive Species the following be in and of then it may inform to ation only. The best and particip ribute or can of unknown nor to during specific ent occurred. the offer entice	s fees to come y either sectionselves provide public of the public of the proposed lack as participatory learning cover a proposed lack as participatory learning the second time frames and t	ply with federal of on or action. de no fiscal impath the outdoor recreanguage would be ation recognition of the cost the used, no estimps or combinations. This would also the initially revenuition of gains no estimants or gains no estimates	ational opportunities or oaden it to include items such as tectur at times when its. Since the ate is provided. The oallow the fee to be negative (due to					
Dongono	ıl Service	S.	BREAKD(OWN BY M	IAJOR OBJECT	S OF EXPEN	<u>DITURE</u>						
1 CI SUIId		ION TIT	LE	NUMBER <u>20-21</u>	OF POSITION 21-22		020-21 NDITURES	2021-22 EXPENDITURES					
					_								
Benefits	S												
Operati	ng		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
Travel.													
Capital	outlay												

LB(1)

287 AM386 changes to Game Law re permit/stamp fees

hunters or anglers (federal aid \$) and/or new customers. It is anticipated that the Commission will evaluate the potential revenue losses and potential gains prior to offering new permit combinations, with a focus on combinations with a higher potential of being revenue positive and gaining new participants in hunting and fishing. It would be a cost savings to participants.

Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14 address preference points. Big game hunters and paddlefish anglers have requested an opportunity to purchase preference points and avoid the draw due to potential schedule conflicts. Currently an individual must apply for a draw permit to get either a permit (successful draw=permit and LOSS of all points) or a preference point (unsuccessful draw=no permit but 1 add on preference point). The number of accumulated preference points improves your odds of getting a particular permit in a given year. If an individual has enough preference points and thinks they are likely to draw a permit, but have a reason they can't hunt/fish that year, they don't want to risk drawing and losing all accumulated preference points AND not be able to use the permit. They also don't want to lose an opportunity to gain another preference point; thus, the reason for this requested change. Since this is a new opportunity it is uncertain how many individuals, for any given species, would take advantage of it. It would be revenue positive due to the add-on fee above the application fee. However since participation rate is unknown and would vary from year to year and species by species, no estimate is given. Implementation process specifics would work with current programming to minimize expense.

Sections 7, 8 and 9 include language that would essentially allow for the reduction or elimination of fees charged for replacing lost or damaged permits. Currently the permit replacement fee is \$5 for most permits. With the development and implementation of electronic and mobile device delivery system, the need for replacement paper permits has diminished for most permits. Lowering or eliminating the fee while revenue negative is expected to have a minimal effect on total revenues.

Section 10, in addition to providing the discount/bundling options identified earlier for park permits, would raise the maximum fee while eliminating the minimum for nonresident annual motor vehicle permits. Currently the maximum fee for a nonresident motor vehicle permit is \$45. The proposed new maximum would be \$55. Duplicate annual permits are half price of a regular annual permit thus new maximum fee of \$27.50 for nonresident duplicate annual would result. Daily permits are currently maximized at \$7 and \$8, resident/nonresident. Only the nonresident would increase. The new max would be \$10. As amended, the minimum and maximum for the resident annual permits would not change. Without eliminating the minimum amount language, the ability to discount/bundle resident annual/duplicate annual permits would be limited.

The following chart shows the estimated increased revenue these changes could have over-time. The estimates are based on 2018 sales (through December). Increases would be subject to 37-327 and thus limited by percent from last increase (6%/year 18% max for 3/years). Increases to the max level would take several years and certainly would not be achievable in current biennium.

Permit Name	20	19 fee	cui	rrent ma	LB	287MAX	2018 QTY	Cui	rrent \$	NE	W MAX\$	MAX GA	IN
Nonresident Annual Park	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	55.00	9,801	\$	441,045	\$	539,055	\$	98,010
Nonresident Daily Park	\$	8.00	\$	8.00	\$	10.00	121,872	\$	974,976	\$	1,218,720	\$	243,744
Nonresident Duplicate Park	\$	22.50	\$	22.50	\$	27.50	3,412	\$	76,770	\$	93,830	\$	17,060
								\$	1,492,791	\$:	1,851,605	\$	358,814

Section 15 allows the commission to require hunter orange to be worn during additional authorized hunting season. This would not have a fiscal impact on the Commission

LB⁽¹⁾

287 AM386 changes to Game Law re permit/stamp fees

Section 16 and 17 eliminates the Aquatic Invasive Species add on fee at the time of resident boat registration fees and instead increases the boat registration fees by a like amount (\$5 each). This is expected to bring the State into compliance with Federal interpretation of acceptable fee structure in order to receive Coast Guard funds for boating law enforcement and boating education. Since this only changes the structural/naming process for resident boat registration, no fiscal impact is expected. The FY 2018 fees collected for add on AIS fees of \$147,360 would be shifted to the increase in boat registration fees for no net gain/loss.