PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Samuel Malson January 18, 2019 402-471-0051

LB 127

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)										
	FY 2019	9-20	FY 2020-21							
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE						
GENERAL FUNDS										
CASH FUNDS	\$815,090	(\$85,916)	\$815,090	(\$85,916)						
FEDERAL FUNDS										
OTHER FUNDS										
TOTAL FUNDS										

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

LB 127 expands the definition of "immediate family" with regard to the ability of the agency to issue limited permits for deer, antelope, wild turkey, and elk to qualifying landowners or leaseholders and their immediate family.

Currently, immediate family means "a husband and wife and their children or siblings sharing ownership in the property". The proposed changes will include the following individuals as immediate family:

- 1. Individual and spouse
- 2. Sibling or sibling's spouse of those listed under number one (1) if the sibling has ownership in the property
- 3. Any child or grandchild of number one (1)
- 4. Any spouse of those individuals listed under number three (3)

A fiscal impact is expected in the area of fee's collected for permits as well as staffing costs. The Commission has provided a detailed synopsis (see below) of the possible effects on revenue derived from <u>deer</u> permit fees and costs associated with carrying out the intent of the bill.

Included in the Commissions fiscal note are the following assumptions that ought to be noted:

- It is expected individuals who will be eligible for the less costly limited permit (\$17) under the proposed expanded definition of
 immediate family were individuals who previously purchased a regular non-limited permit (\$34) and will no longer do so.
- One (1) additional staff member will be necessary in each of the district offices/service centers (7) to verify eligibility and landownership and assist with registry updates as land ownership changes throughout the year.
- Costs for enforcement and a registration/verification system are included, LB 127 has no provision that addresses these
 matters.

If it is assumed that the seven (7) additional staff in the district offices/service centers will need to be full-time employees, and that additional enforcement efforts are required, then there is no basis to disagree with the Commission's fiscal impact determination.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSE								
LB: 127 AM:	AGENCY/POLT. SUB: Nebraska Game and Parks Commission							
REVIEWED BY: Claire Oglesby	DATE: 1/22/19 PHONE: (402) 471-4174							
COMMENTS: Nebraska Game and Parks Commission's statement appears reasonable based on the assumptions provided.								

(\$85,916)

\$815,090

FISCAL NOTE LB⁽¹⁾ 127 Definition of immediate family for Landowner permits Nebraska Game and Parks Commission State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2) Prepared by: (3) Patrick Cole Date Prepared: (4) 1/14/19 Phone: (5) 402-471-5523 ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION FY 2019-20 FY 2020-21 **EXPENDITURES REVENUE EXPENDITURES REVENUE GENERAL FUNDS CASH FUNDS** \$815,090 **(**\$85,916) \$617,298 **(**\$85,916) FEDERAL FUNDS

Explanation of Estimate:

OTHER FUNDS
TOTAL FUNDS

The proposed legislation would expand the definition of immediate family members eligible for limited landowner permits for deer, turkey, antelope and elk. Current definition limits to owner/lease holder, their spouse and children or siblings sharing ownership in the property. Additions include children's spouses, grandchildren and their spouses. This could be an exponential increase in eligible applicants depending upon size of family. Using average family with 2 children (current/proposed) 1 married owner (2/2) with 2 married sibling sharing ownership (2/4, and 2 married child (2/4) with 2 married grandchild (0/4). Under basic 'single child' example currently 6 qualifying applicants could exist. This could increase by 8 under the proposed expansion, or exponentially based on the actual number of children/grandchildren.

(\$85,916)

\$617,298

The number of permits authorized are limited by acres owned or leased 1 per 80 acres for deer, turkey and antelope, and 1 per 320 acres per elk (Nonresidents are higher). In the case of antelope and elk the number of landowner permits is restricted to a quota and require a draw application. Deer and turkey landowner permits have an unlimited quota thus the limiting factor is acres owned.

The potential of fiscal impact rests primarily of a shift from an individual buying a regular permit (2x cost of landowner) to a landowner permit due to new qualifications. Current analysis related to deer hunters indicates that the majority of all deer hunters only purchase a single permit (84% of landowner permit holders only buy a single landowner permit and 70% of regular permit buyers), This would be revenue negative for deer and turkey but high demand and low quota for elk and antelope make that less likely. CONTINUED....

BREAKD	OWN BY MA.	JOR OBJECTS O	F EXPENDITURE		
Personal Services:					
	NUMBER OF	F POSITIONS	2019-20	2020-21	
POSITION TITLE	<u>19-20</u>	<u>20-21</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	EXPENDITURES	
Accounting clerk II	7	7	\$201,772	\$201,772	
Conservation Officer	2	2	\$90,854	\$90,854	
Benefits			\$264,148	\$264,148	
Operating			\$60,524	\$60,524	
Travel					
Capital outlay			\$172,792		
Aid	. Compute	prog	25,000		
Capital improvements					
TOTAL	•		\$815,090	\$617,298	

LB⁽¹⁾ 127 Definition of immediate family for Landowner permits

Of Nebraska's 49.1 million acres, 45.2 are said to be comprised of farms and ranches (nda.nebraska.gov/facts). Of the 30.1 million acres identified in the agency's landowner permit descriptions (does not account for duplicates) 12.9 million were applied to 2018 Landowner deer permits. Using 80 acres for residents and 320 for nonresidents (assuming no duplicates), as applied for, approximately 1,874 nonresident permits could have been issued and 154,531 resident permits. Actual landowner deer permits issued were 486 nonresidents and 13,430 residents (through end of December). While adequate data details are not available to fully assess, it appears that there would be room to expand the issuance of landowner deer permits, so expanding the definition of immediate family would increase landowner permit sales, but presumably at some expense to regular permits.

For purposes of this fiscal note the following scenario will be used related to Deer permits: Owner/Spouse + 2child/spouse + 2grandchild/spouse + 2sibling/spouse and enough land that all could get permits. The underlined individuals would be added to the current eligible members so eligibility increases 2.3X from 6 to 14.

The assumption is the current number of permits issued (13,916) is reflective of all of the now qualifying individuals. So increasing the number of qualifying individuals by 2.3 would increase the permit sales by 2.3 or 32,007. Assuming the newly eligible would normally purchase a regular permit the net revenue loss would be \$85,916.

				2.3 x LO now								
			2.3x	Est New						new-current		
	2018	Q	ualifiers	Permits Pe			rmit Fee		QTY x LO fee		QTY x reg\$	
	QTY LO's	Now	New	NEW QTY	Landowner REGULAR		\$ Now	under New	New shift	NET		
Resident	13,430	6	14	30,889	\$	17.00	\$	34.00	\$228,310	\$525,113	(\$593,606)	(\$68,493)
Nonresident	486	6	14	1,118	\$ 1	19.50	\$	239.00	\$58,077	\$133,577	(\$151,000)	(\$17,423)
TOTAL	13,916			32,007					\$ 286,387	\$ 658,690	\$ (744,606)	\$ (85,916)

The expanded definition would also likely necessitate the implementation of a more sophisticated registration/verification system not currently employed to assist in detecting false reporting/qualifying. Development programming fees and testing time are estimated at \$25,000.

It is estimated that at least one additional staff member would be necessary in each of the district offices/service centers (7) to verify eligibility and landownership and assist with registry updates as land changes hands throughout the year. For purposes of this note we will classify them as Accounting Clerk II's ($$13.858 \times 2080$ hours $\times 7 = $201,772$ for wages plus benefits (Retirement+FICA+Family Health) would be $201,639. Office equipment (computer, desk, chair, phone etc) estimated at $3,000 each for a total of $21,000 one time, plus ongoing office expenses of supplies and phones, travel, $3,500/yr ($500 x 7). Total estimated cost $406,911 in each year plus an additional $21,000 in year one.$

Historic law enforcement investigations of landowner permits has revealed a violation (false reporting of qualifications and/or transfer of permits) rate that ranges between 19% to 24%. The introduction of free permits in the mix would expand the scope of these types of violations. Two additional officer positions would be needed to handle the increased workload. Wages for the two officers is estimated at \$90,854 annually plus benefits (Retirement+FICA+Family Health) would be \$62,509. Operating expenses/supplies (vehicle fuel, phone and service, computer, office supplies, etc is estimated at \$57,024 annually and 1 time equipment/supply expenses (truck, radio, law enforcement supplies) would be \$151,792.

Expenses summarized below:

				2080 hrs	7.50%	7.25%		24553.9					
Position	QTY	FTE/each	Wage	Annual	Retiremen	FICA	Life Insur	Health Insu	Operating/s	1 time	Equipment	TOTAL	
Accounting Clerk II	7	1	\$ 13.858	\$201,772	\$ 15,133	\$ 14,629		\$171,877	\$ 3,500	\$ 21,000		\$ 406,93	11
Conservation Officer	2	1	\$ 21.840	\$ 90,854	\$ 6,814	\$ 6,587		\$ 49,108	\$ 57,024	\$ 151,792		\$ 210,38	37
										\$ 172,792		\$ 617,29	98