



**Cavanaugh Macdonald**  
CONSULTING, LLC

*The experience and dedication you deserve*

***NEBRASKA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES  
RETIREMENT SYSTEMS***

**2019**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**Actuarial Valuation Results  
as of January 1, 2019  
for State Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2021**







## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<u>Sections</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b>Actuarial Certification Letter</b>	
<b>Section 1 – Board Summary.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Section 2 – Scope of the Report.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Section 3 – Assets .....</b>	<b>14</b>
Table 1 – Market Value of Assets by Investment Category .....	15
Table 2 – Change in Market Value of Assets .....	16
Table 3 – Development of Actuarial Value of Assets .....	17
<b>Section 4 – System Liabilities.....</b>	<b>19</b>
Table 4 – Present Value of Future Benefits.....	20
Table 5 – Actuarial Accrued Liability.....	21
Table 6 – Actuarial Balance Sheet .....	22
Table 7 – Actuarial Gain/(Loss).....	23
Table 8 – Gain/(Loss) Analysis by Source.....	24
Table 9 – Projected Benefit Payments.....	25
<b>Section 5 – Employer Contributions .....</b>	<b>26</b>
Table 10 – Schedule of Amortization Bases .....	27
Table 11 – Actuarial Required Contribution Rate and Development of Additional State Contribution.....	28
Table 12 – Historical Contribution Rates.....	29
Table 13 – Funding Excess Available for Benefit Improvement .....	30
Table 14 – Dividend Determination .....	31
<b>Section 6 – Risk Considerations.....</b>	<b>32</b>
Table 15 – Historical Asset Volatility Ratios.....	37
Table 16 – Historical Cash Flows .....	38
Table 17 – Liability Maturity Measurements .....	39
Table 18 – Historical Member Counts .....	41
Table 19 – Comparison of Valuation Results under Alternate Investment Return Assumptions .....	42
<b>Section 7 – Other Information.....</b>	<b>43</b>
Table 20 – Schedule of Funding Progress .....	44
Table 21 – Schedule of Contributions from Employers and Other Contributing Entities .....	45
Appendix A – Membership Data .....	46
Appendix B – Summary of Plan Provisions .....	54
Appendix C – Summary of Actuarial Assumptions.....	58
Appendix D – Glossary of Terms .....	64





# Cavanaugh Macdonald

CONSULTING, LLC

*The experience and dedication you deserve*

May 10, 2019

Public Employees Retirement Board  
Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System  
Post Office Box 94816  
Lincoln, NE 68509

Dear Members of the Board:

At your request, we performed an actuarial valuation of the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund as of January 1, 2019 for the purpose of determining the actuarial required contribution rate for the 2019 plan year. It is our understanding that any additional required State contributions for this plan year will be made on July 1, 2020 (State fiscal year end 2021). The major findings of the valuation are contained in this report, which reflects the benefit provisions in place on January 1, 2019. There was no change to the actuarial assumptions, methods or plan provisions from the prior valuation.

In preparing our report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by the System's staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, member data and financial information. Active member data was provided to us by Ameritas, the record-keeper for the Plan. We found this information to be reasonably consistent and comparable with information used in the prior report. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete, our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

We further certify that all costs, liabilities, rates of interest and other factors for the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are individually reasonable (taking into account the experience of the Fund and reasonable expectations); and which, in combination, offer the best estimate of anticipated experience affecting the Fund. Nevertheless, the emerging costs will vary from those presented in this report to the extent actual experience differs from that projected by the actuarial assumptions. The Public Employees Retirement Board has the final decision regarding the appropriateness of the assumptions and adopted them as indicated in Appendix C.



Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements.

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of determining the actuarial contribution rates for funding the System. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the System's funding requirements and goals. Determinations for purposes other than meeting these requirements may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes. For example, actuarial computations for purposes of fulfilling financial accounting requirements for the System under Governmental Accounting Standards No. 67 and No. 68 are provided in separate reports.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are pension actuaries. CMC's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. We are available to answer any questions on the material contained in the report or to provide explanations or further details as may be appropriate.

We respectfully submit the following report and look forward to discussing it with you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Patrice Beckham'.

Patrice A. Beckham, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA  
Principal and Consulting Actuary

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Brent A. Banister'.

Brent A. Banister Ph.D., FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA  
Chief Actuary



## SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

---

This report presents the results of the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation of the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund (Plan). The primary purposes of performing the actuarial valuation are to:

- Determine if member contributions and matching employer contributions, as defined in statute, are sufficient to meet the funding policy defined under Nebraska state statutes for the plan year ending December 31, 2019 and, if not, the additional State contribution needed.
- Disclose asset and liability measurements as well as the current funded status of the County Cash Balance Benefit Fund on the valuation date.
- Compare actual and expected experience under the County Cash Balance Benefit Fund during the plan year beginning January 1, 2018 and ended December 31, 2018.
- Evaluate and disclose the key risks to funding the County Cash Balance Benefit Fund pursuant to Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51.
- Analyze and report on trends in the County Cash Balance Benefit Fund contributions, assets and liabilities over the past several years.
- Quantify the contribution rate available for benefit improvements, if any.

The Nebraska statutes require the State to make an additional contribution if the regular, payroll-related contributions by members (4.50% of pay for most members) and the County employers (150% of member contributions for most members) are insufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution for the plan year. Based on the results of the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation, the contributions defined by statute are more than sufficient to meet the actuarially required contribution. **Therefore, there is no additional State contribution for this plan year (due in the State fiscal year ending June 30, 2021).**

State statutes provide that the Board may grant a dividend if the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is less than zero and the dividend granted would not increase the actuarial contribution rate above ninety percent of the actual contribution rate. The PERB also has a policy that sets out additional criteria for granting a dividend which requires the Plan be at least 100% funded on both a Funded Basis and a Current Value Basis before and after the dividend is granted. **For the 2019 Plan year, the criteria have not been met and a dividend may not be granted.**

The actuarial valuation results provide a “snapshot” view of the County Cash Balance Benefit Fund's financial condition on January 1, 2019. The excess of actuarial assets over the actuarial accrued liability decreased from \$34.1 million last year to \$4.2 million this year and the funded ratio decreased from 107.45% to 100.81%. The actuarial required contribution rate increased from 8.97% of pay in last year's valuation to 9.91% of pay in the current valuation. Several factors impacted the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation results, including:

- Actual experience on Plan assets. The rate of return on the market value of assets was – 4.2%, as reported to the Nebraska Investment Council, but due to the impact of asset smoothing, the rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was +5.8%. This was lower than the assumed rate of return of 7.50% for 2018. As a result, there was an experience loss on the actuarial value of assets of \$8.5 million.
- Actual demographic experience on Plan liabilities. The net impact of all liability experience was an actuarial gain of \$2.2 million. The single largest source of liability experience was a gain due to a lower interest credit in 2018 than assumed (5.00% actual vs. 6.25% assumed).



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

Due to unfavorable investment experience in 2018, the net deferred (unrecognized) investment gain of \$20.0 million in last year’s valuation (difference between the market and actuarial values of assets) is now a net deferred loss of \$29.2 million in this year’s valuation. The deferred experience will be recognized in the asset smoothing method over the next four years. Unless there is favorable experience to offset the deferred investment loss, the Plan’s funded status is expected to decrease as the investment experience is recognized and the actuarial contribution rate is expected to increase.

A summary of the key results from the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation is shown in the following table. As the table indicates, the statutory contribution rates are sufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution rate and no additional State contribution is required. Further detail on the valuation results can be found in the following sections of this Board Summary.

	January 1, 2019 Valuation Results	January 1, 2018 Valuation Results
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability/(Surplus)	(\$4,189,044)	(\$34,058,428)
Funded Ratio using Actuarial Assets	100.81%	107.45%
Normal Cost Rate	10.05%	10.11%
UAAL Amortization Rate	(0.14%)	(1.14%)
Total Actuarial Required Contribution	9.91%	8.97%
Member Contribution Rate	(4.69%)	(4.69%)
Employer Contribution Rate	(6.94%)	(6.94%)
Total Contribution Rate	(11.63%)	(11.63%)
Contribution Shortfall/(Margin)	(1.72%)	(2.66%)
Additional State Contribution Amount	\$0	\$0

### ***EXPERIENCE FOR THE LAST PLAN YEAR***

Numerous factors contributed to the change in the Plan’s assets, liabilities, and the actuarial contribution rate between January 1, 2018 and January 1, 2019. The components are examined in the following discussion.

### **MEMBERSHIP**

In total, the number of members (both active and inactive) increased about 2%, from 10,256 to 10,498. The number of active members decreased about 1%, from 6,710 in the 2018 valuation to 6,646 in the 2019 valuation.

The number of members receiving benefit payments increased from 630 to 682. This increase of more than 8% reflects the election of 40 active members who retired during 2018 to receive at least part of their benefit as monthly income, along with 15 inactive vested members. In addition, there were 9 members in the Defined Contribution Plan who elected to receive part/all of their benefit as monthly income. The Cash Balance Plan is relatively young, having been implemented in 2003 for new hires and existing active members who elected to change coverage. As a result, the number of retirees is still fairly low in





## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

comparison to a mature retirement plan. Therefore, the number of new retirees is high, as a percentage, and is likely to continue in the foreseeable future until the size of the retiree group increases and stabilizes. The ability for active members who retire to elect to receive the full value of their benefit as a lump sum also creates variability in the number of new retirees each year.

### ASSETS

As of December 31, 2018, the County Employees’ Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund had net assets of \$490.4 million, when measured on a market value basis. This was a decrease of \$21.2 million from the prior year. The market value of assets is not used directly in the calculation of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial required contribution rate. An asset valuation method, which smoothes the effect of market fluctuations, is used to determine the value of assets used in the valuation. The resulting amount is called the actuarial value of assets. In this year’s valuation, the actuarial value of assets is \$519.6 million, an increase of \$28.1 million from the prior year. The components of change in the asset values are shown in the following table:

	Market Value (\$M)	Actuarial Value (\$M)
<b>Net Assets, December 31, 2017</b>	\$ 511.53	\$ 491.48
- Employer and Member Contributions	+ 30.66	+ 30.66
- Benefit Payments	- 32.81	- 32.81
- Administrative Expenses	- 0.73	- 0.73
- Transfers	+ 1.89	+ 1.89
- Net Investment Income	- 20.17	+ 29.12
<b>Net Assets, December 31, 2018</b>	\$ 490.37	\$ 519.61
Estimated Rate of Return*	(4.2%)	5.8%


\*Estimated rate of return for the Market Value basis is as reported by the Nebraska Investment Council.

The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 5.8%, which is lower than the assumed rate of return for 2018 of 7.50%. As a result, there was an experience loss on assets of \$8.5 million. The difference between the market and actuarial value of assets of \$29.2 million will be reflected over the next four years through the asset smoothing method if there are no offsetting gains from favorable investment experience.

Please see Section 3 of this report for more detailed information on the market and actuarial value of assets.



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY



*The rate of return of the actuarial value of assets has been less volatile than the market value return, illustrating the benefit of using an asset smoothing method.*

### LIABILITIES

The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is that portion of the present value of future benefits that will not be paid by future normal costs. The difference between this liability and the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date is called the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The dollar amount of the UAAL is reduced if the contributions to the State Cash Balance Benefit Fund exceed the normal cost for the year plus interest on the prior year's UAAL.

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is shown as of January 1, 2019 in the following table:

	Actuarial Value of Assets	Market Value of Assets
Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$515,425,772	\$515,425,772
Value of Assets	<u>519,614,816</u>	<u>490,374,327</u>
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability/(Surplus)	(\$4,189,044)	\$25,051,445
Funded Ratio	100.81%	95.14%

Note that the funded ratio does not indicate whether or not the Plan has sufficient funds to settle all current obligations, nor is it necessarily indicative of the need for future funding.

See Section 4 of the report for the detailed development of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.




## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

The net decrease in the actuarial surplus (actuarial assets over actuarial liability) from January 1, 2018 to January 1, 2019 was \$29.9 million. The components of this net change are shown in the following table (in millions):

	(\$ Millions)
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, January 1, 2018</b>	(\$34.1)
- Expected increase from amortization method	0.5
- Actual versus expected contributions	(7.2)
- Investment experience	8.5
- Liability experience	(1.8)
- Dividend granted in 2018	31.9
- Other experience	(2.0)
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, January 1, 2019</b>	(\$4.2)

As shown above, various components impacted the UAAL. Actuarial losses (gains), which result from actual experience that is less (more) favorable than anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions, are reflected in the UAAL and are measured as the difference between the expected UAAL and the actual UAAL, taking into account any changes due to actuarial assumptions and methods, or benefit changes, including dividends. As discussed earlier, the Plan experienced an actuarial loss on assets and a gain on liabilities. The largest single source of liability gain was from the actual interest credit of 5.00% for 2018 compared to the assumed rate of 6.25%. In total, the Plan experienced an actuarial loss of \$6.3 million.

As shown in the following graph, the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund liabilities have increased significantly along with the assets since the Plan began in 2003. The large increases observed in 2008 and 2013 reflect the transfer of members from the Defined Contribution Plan to the Cash Balance Plan due to new election periods provided by the legislature.






## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

An evaluation of the UAAL on a pure dollar basis may not provide a complete analysis since only the difference between the assets and liabilities (which are both very large numbers) is reflected. Another way to evaluate the UAAL and the progress made in its funding is to track the funded ratio, the ratio of the actuarial value of assets to the actuarial accrued liability. The funded status information is shown below (in millions).

	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	1/1/2018	1/1/2019
Funded Ratio using Actuarial Assets	105.4%	102.4%	105.4%	107.5%	100.8%
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$M)	(\$18.9)	(\$9.2)	(\$22.4)	(\$34.1)	(\$4.2)


The funded ratio over a longer period of years is shown in the following graph:



As a result of being 100% funded at the creation of the Plan in 2003 and contributing more than the actuarial required contribution in subsequent years (see the following graph), the funded ratio of the Plan has remained very strong during the entire period despite investment returns that were less than assumed in some years. Interest credits below the assumed rate during much of this period resulted in lower liabilities, thereby improving the funded ratio.



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY



### DIVIDEND DETERMINATION

Each year after the annual actuarial valuation results are received, the Board determines, based on the recommendation of the actuary, if a dividend can be paid. The amount of dividend, if any, is based on the criteria in the Board policy. **As the details on the following page disclose, the Board’s criteria is not met and, therefore, no dividend may be granted in 2019.**

One of the criteria for granting a dividend is based on the Accumulated Benefit Obligation, a liability measurement based on the account balances for those not in pay status and the present value of future benefits as of the valuation date for those receiving benefits. This measure is intended to provide information regarding the Cash Balance Plan’s funded status on an immediate, current-value basis and to provide comparability to individual account plans. This liability measure is not used in developing the funding numbers for the Plan, but it is used in determining the amount of dividend as well as whether a dividend can be granted. The Current Value funded ratio for the current and prior year is shown in the following table:



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

Funded Status	January 1, 2019	January 1, 2018
1. Cash Balance Accounts		
(a) Actives	\$ 381,715,796	\$ 341,253,378
(b) Inactives	75,142,341	62,962,423
(c) Total	\$ 456,858,137	\$ 404,215,801
2. Present value of benefits for retirees and beneficiaries	66,612,891	59,209,021
3. Total accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 523,471,028	\$ 463,424,822
4. Market Value of Assets	490,374,327	511,530,924
5. Deficit/(Reserve) [3 - 4]	\$ 33,096,701	\$ (48,106,102)
6. Funded percentage on Market Value of Assets [4 / 3]	93.7%	110.4%

The criteria used to determine the amount of any dividend that can be granted includes:

A. The plan must maintain the 90% Benefit Threshold Rate after granting any dividend.

1. Statutory Contribution Rate (Total)	11.63%
2. Required Threshold for Benefit Improvement (90% of (1))	10.46%
3. Actuarial Required Contribution	9.91%
4. Rate Sufficiency/(Deficiency) [2 - 3]	0.55%

B. There must be a minimum 100% Funded Ratio on both the Funded Basis and the Current Value Basis, both before and after the dividend is granted.

January 1, 2019 Valuation Results Before Dividend:

	<u>Funded Basis</u>	<u>Current Value Basis</u>
(a) Liability	\$515,425,772	\$523,471,028
(b) Assets	519,614,816	490,374,327
(c) (Deficit)/Reserve [(b) - (a)]	\$4,189,044	(\$33,096,701)
(d) Funded Ratio [(b) / (a)]	100.8%	93.7%

C. No dividend will be granted for a year where the annual interest credit rate exceeds the actuarial valuation interest rate.

D. The dividend plus the annual interest credit during the year cannot exceed 8.0% unless a majority of the PERB agrees.



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

State statutes provide that the Board may grant a dividend if the UAAL is less than zero (actuarial assets exceed actuarial liability) and the dividend granted would not increase the actuarial contribution rate above 90% of the statutory contribution rate. The actuarial required contribution rate of 9.91% of pay is less than 90% of the statutory contribution rate of 11.63% or 10.46%. This difference of 0.55% of pay is potentially available for benefit improvements under state statutes, if the Plan’s funded ratio exceeds 100%. In addition to the contribution rate requirement, the PERB’s dividend policy also requires the funded ratio to exceed 100% on both the Funded Basis (actuarial accrued liability less actuarial assets) and a Current Value Basis (total accumulated benefit obligation less market value of assets). The January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation indicates that the funded ratios are 100.8% and 93.7%, respectively. **Therefore, the Plan has not met all of the requirements in the current valuation and a dividend may not be granted in 2019.** See Table 14 for more detail on the criteria for granting a dividend.

### ACTUARIAL REQUIRED CONTRIBUTION RATE

The County Employees’ Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund is funded by statutory contribution rates for members (4.50% of pay for most members) and employers (150% of the member rate for most members). State statutes require the State to make an additional contribution if the regular, payroll-related contributions by employees and employers are insufficient to meet the actuarial required contribution for the plan year. The State contributions for the plan year, if any, are made on the July 1 following the plan year-end. Based on the results of the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation, no additional State contribution is necessary for the current plan year.

Under the Entry Age Normal cost method, the actuarial contribution rate consists of two components:

- A “normal cost” for the portion of projected liabilities allocated by the actuarial cost method to service of members during the year following the valuation date.
- An “unfunded actuarial accrued liability contribution” for the excess of the portion of projected liabilities allocated to service to date over the actuarial value of assets.

The actuarial required contribution is equal to the normal cost rate plus an amortization payment on the UAAL. The amortization payment is the sum of the payments for each amortization base with payments over a 25-year period beginning on the date the base was established. If the UAAL is below zero, as is the case on January 1, 2019, all prior bases are considered to be fully funded and, therefore, are eliminated. See Section 5 of the report for the detailed development of the actuarial contribution rate, which is summarized in the following table:

Contribution Rates	January 1, 2019	January 1, 2018
Normal Cost Rate	10.05%	10.11%
UAAL Amortization Rate	(0.14%)	(1.14%)
Total Actuarial Required Contribution	9.91%	8.97%
Member Contribution Rate	(4.69%)	(4.69%)
Employer Contribution Rate	(6.94%)	(6.94%)
Total Contribution Rate	(11.63%)	(11.63%)
Contribution Shortfall/(Margin)	(1.72%)	(2.66%)



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

The actuarial required contribution rate for the current plan year is 9.91%. The effective member contribution rate of 4.69% and employer contribution rate of 6.94% result in a total statutory contribution rate of 11.63% of pay. As a result, a contribution margin of 1.72% exists for the 2019 plan year.

A history of actuarial required contribution rates and any resulting additional required State contributions, whether or not actually contributed, is shown below.

<b>History of Expected County Contributions</b>			
<b>Plan Year</b>	<b>County Contribution</b>	<b>Additional Contributions</b>	<b>Total</b>
2004	\$ 4,092,294	\$ 0	\$ 4,092,294
2005	4,577,184	0	4,577,184
2006	5,949,740	0	5,949,740
2007	7,659,110	0	7,659,110
2008	9,524,951	0	9,524,951
2009	11,156,102	0	11,156,102
2010	12,316,843	0	12,316,843
2011	12,730,571	0	12,730,571
2012	13,393,553	0	13,393,553
2013	14,073,352	0	14,073,352
2014	14,331,841	0	14,331,841
2015	15,226,497	0	15,226,497
2016	16,564,360	0	16,564,360
2017	17,666,494	0	17,666,494
2018	18,003,148	0	18,003,148
2019	18,455,836	0	18,455,836

Note: Information prior to Plan Year 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.

The actuarial required contribution rate, which is determined based on the snapshot of the Plan taken on the valuation date, will change each year as the deferred investment experience is recognized and other experience (both investment and demographic) impacts the Plan. While there is a contribution margin for the current plan year, this should not be viewed as an unnecessary or excess contribution. In order for the financing of the Fund on a fixed contribution rate basis to succeed, contributions above the actuarial required contribution rate must be made to offset years where the fixed contribution rate may be below the actuarial required contribution rate.

A typical retirement plan faces many different risks. The term “risk” is most commonly associated with an outcome with undesirable results. However, in the actuarial world risk can be translated as uncertainty. The actuarial valuation process uses many actuarial assumptions to project how future contributions and investment returns will meet the cash flow needs for future benefit payments. Of course, we know that actual experience will not unfold exactly as anticipated by the assumptions and that





## **SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY**

---

uncertainty, whether favorable or unfavorable, creates risk. Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51 defines risk as the potential of actual future measurements to deviate from expected results due to actual experience that is different than the actuarial assumptions. Risk evaluation is an important part of managing a defined benefit plan. Please see Section 6 of this report for an in-depth discussion of the specific risks facing the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund.



## SECTION 1 – BOARD SUMMARY

### SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL RESULTS

	1/1/2019 Valuation	1/1/2018 Valuation	% Change
<b>1. PARTICIPANT DATA</b>			
Number of:			
Active Members	6,646	6,710	(0.95%)
Retired Members and Beneficiaries	682	630	8.25%
Disabled Members	0	0	N/A
Inactive Members	3,170	2,916	8.71%
Total Members	10,498	10,256	2.36%
Projected Annual Salaries of Active Members	\$ 265,934,234	\$ 259,411,357	2.51%
Annual Retirement Payments for Retired Members and Beneficiaries	\$ 7,620,814	\$ 6,808,117	11.94%
<b>2. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES</b>			
a. Market Value of Assets	\$ 490,374,327	\$ 511,530,924	(4.14%)
b. Actuarial Value of Assets	519,614,816	491,483,379	5.72%
c. Total Actuarial Accrued Liability	515,425,772	457,424,951	12.68%
d. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability/(Surplus) [c - b]	\$ (4,189,044)	\$ (34,058,428)	(87.70%)
e. Funded Ratio (Actuarial Value of Assets) [b / c]	100.81%	107.45%	(6.18%)
f. Funded Ratio (Market Value of Assets) [a / c]	95.14%	111.83%	(14.92%)
<b>3. CONTRIBUTION RATES AS A PERCENT OF PAYROLL</b>			
Normal Cost	10.05%	10.11%	(0.59%)
Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	(0.14%)	(1.14%)	(87.72%)
Actuarial Required Contribution Rate	9.91%	8.97%	10.48%
Member Contribution Rate*	(4.69%)	(4.69%)	0.00%
Employer Contribution Rate**	(6.94%)	(6.94%)	0.00%
Contribution Shortfall/(Margin)	(1.72%)	(2.66%)	(35.34%)
Additional State Contribution Amount	\$ 0	\$ 0	N/A

\* Includes additional member contribution rates of 1% or 2% of pay for commissioned law-enforcement officers.

\*\* 150% of member contribution rate plus additional rates of 1% or 2% of pay for commissioned law-enforcement officers.



## SECTION 2 – SCOPE OF THE REPORT

---

This report presents the actuarial valuation results of the County Employees’ Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund as of January 1, 2019. This valuation was prepared at the request of the Public Employees Retirement Board of the Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System.

Please pay particular attention to our actuarial certification letter, where the guidelines employed in the preparation of this report are outlined. We also comment on the sources and reliability of both the data and the actuarial assumptions upon which our findings are based. Those comments are the basis for our certification that this report is complete and accurate to the best of our knowledge and belief.

A summary of the findings which result from this valuation is presented in the previous section. Section 3 describes the assets and investment experience of the County Employees’ Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund. Sections 4 and 5 describe how the obligations of the Plan are to be met under the actuarial cost method in use. Section 6 includes risk considerations related to the County Employees’ Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund. Section 7 includes other information related to the historical funding of the System.

This report includes several appendices:

- Appendix A Schedules of valuation data classified by various categories of members.
- Appendix B A summary of the current benefit structure, as determined by the provisions of governing law on January 1, 2018.
- Appendix C A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used to estimate liabilities and determine contribution rates.
- Appendix D A glossary of actuarial terms.



## SECTION 3 – ASSETS

---

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be thought of as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date, which for this valuation is January 1, 2019. On that date, the assets available for the payment of benefits are appraised. The assets are compared with the liabilities of the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund, which are generally in excess of assets. The actuarial process then leads to a method of determining the contributions needed by members and employers in the future to balance the Plan assets and liabilities.

### **Market Value of Assets**

The current market value represents the "snapshot" or "cash-out" value of the Plan assets as of the valuation date. In addition, the market value of assets provides a basis for measuring investment performance from time to time. Table 1 is a comparison of Plan assets at market value as of December 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, in total and by investment category. Table 2 summarizes the change in the market value of assets from December 31, 2017 to December 31, 2018.

### **Actuarial Value of Assets**

Neither the market value of assets, representing a "cash-out" value of County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund assets, nor the book values of assets, representing the cost of investments, may be the best measure of the Plan's ongoing ability to meet its obligations.

To arrive at a suitable value of assets for the actuarial valuation, a technique for determining the actuarial value of assets is used which dampens swings in the market value while still indirectly recognizing market values. Under the asset smoothing methodology, the difference between the actual and assumed investment return on the market value of assets is recognized evenly over a five-year period.

Table 3 shows the development of the actuarial value of assets (AVA) as of the valuation date.



**SECTION 3 – ASSETS**

---

**TABLE 1**  
**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**  
**MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS**  
**by Investment Category**

	<u>December 31, 2018</u>	<u>December 31, 2017</u>
1. Cash and Equivalents	\$ 175,925	\$ 52,939
2. Investments	506,381,250	524,493,902
3. Receivables and Prepays	38,973,877	49,067,645
4. Accounts Payable	<u>(55,156,725)</u>	<u>(62,083,562)</u>
5. Net Assets Available for Pension Benefits [1 + 2 + 3 + 4]	\$ 490,374,327	\$ 511,530,924



**SECTION 3 – ASSETS**

**TABLE 2**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**CHANGE IN MARKET VALUE OF ASSETS**

	<u>December 31, 2018</u>	<u>December 31, 2017</u>
1. Beginning Market Value of Assets	\$ 511,530,924	\$ 431,768,012
2. Contributions		
(a) Member (includes purchased service)	\$ 12,368,734	\$ 12,000,061
(b) Employer	18,289,442	17,752,388
(c) State appropriations	0	0
(d) Total	\$ 30,658,176	\$ 29,752,449
3. Transfers Between Plans		
(a) From Defined Contribution Plans	\$ 1,885,618	\$ 619,284
(b) Between Cash Balance Plans	0	0
(c) Net Transfers	\$ 1,885,618	\$ 619,284
4. Receivable Transfer from Defined Contribution Benefit Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0
5. Expenditures		
(a) Benefit payments and refunds	\$ 32,810,743	\$ 21,934,437
(b) Administrative Expenses	728,112	750,056
(c) Total	\$ 33,538,855	\$ 22,684,493
6. Net Investment Income	\$ (20,161,536)	\$ 72,075,672
7. Ending Market Value of Assets [1 + 2(d) + 3(c) + 4 - 5(c) + 6]	\$ 490,374,327	\$ 511,530,924
8. Rate of Return on Market Value of Assets*	(4.2%)	16.4%

\*Estimated rate of return for December 31, 2018 is as reported to the Nebraska Investment Council.

**SECTION 3 – ASSETS****TABLE 3****COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND****DEVELOPMENT OF ACTUARIAL VALUE OF ASSETS**

	Year End			
	12/31/2015	12/31/2016	12/31/2017	12/31/2018
1. Actuarial Value of Assets, Beginning of Year	\$ 366,266,592	\$ 400,003,569	\$ 441,187,867	\$ 491,483,379
2. Unrecognized Return Beginning of Year	\$ 16,079,486	\$ (8,575,560)	\$ (9,419,855)	\$ 20,047,545
3. Contributions During Year				
(a) Member	\$ 10,966,403	\$ 11,352,667	\$ 12,000,061	\$ 12,368,734
(b) Employer	16,068,670	16,935,811	17,752,388	18,289,442
(c) State appropriations	0	0	0	0
(d) Total	\$ 27,035,073	\$ 28,288,478	\$ 29,752,449	\$ 30,658,176
4. Net Transfers	\$ 826,843	\$ 1,678,510	\$ 619,284	\$ 1,885,618
5. Receivable Transfer from Defined Contribution Benefit Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
6. Benefit Payments During Year	\$ 23,080,849	\$ 22,092,412	\$ 21,934,437	\$ 32,810,743
7. Expected Investment Income on (1), (2), (3), (4) and (6) at 7.50%	\$ 29,813,631	\$ 30,635,117	\$ 33,782,866	\$ 38,354,990
8. Actual Return on Market Value, Net of All Expenses	\$ 4,300,864	\$ 32,465,427	\$ 71,325,616	\$ (20,889,648)
9. Return to be Spread, End of Year [8 - 7]	\$ (25,512,767)	\$ 1,830,310	\$ 37,542,750	\$ (59,244,638)

\*7.75% for Year End 12/31/2017 and prior.



**SECTION 3 – ASSETS**

---

**TABLE 3  
(continued)**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

8. Return to be Spread

<u>Year</u>	<u>Return to be Spread</u>	<u>Unrecognized Percent</u>	<u>Unrecognized Return</u>
2018	(59,244,638)	80%	(\$47,395,710)
2017	37,542,750	60%	22,525,650
2016	1,830,310	40%	732,124
2015	(25,512,767)	20%	(5,102,553)
			<u>(\$29,240,489)</u>

9. Total Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2019 \$490,374,327

10. Total Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2019 \$519,614,816  
[9 - 8]

11. Asset Ratios

(a) Actuarial Value to Market Value [10 / 9]	105.96%
(b) Market Value to Actuarial Value [9 / 10]	94.37%





## SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES

---

In the previous section, an actuarial valuation was compared with an inventory process, and an analysis was given of the inventory of assets of the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund as of the valuation date, January 1, 2019. In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments (future benefit payments) of the Plan, which are referred to as its liabilities.

Table 4 contains an analysis of the actuarial present value of all future benefits (PVFB) for contributing members, inactive members, retirees and their beneficiaries.

The liabilities summarized in Table 4 include the actuarial present value of all future benefits expected to be paid with respect to each member. For an active member, this value includes the measurement of both benefits already earned and future benefits to be earned. For all members, active and retired, the value extends over benefits earnable and payable for the rest of their lives and for the lives of the surviving beneficiaries.

All liabilities reflect the benefit provisions in place as of January 1, 2019.

### **Actuarial Accrued Liability**

A fundamental principle in financing the liabilities of a retirement program is that the cost of its benefits should be related to the period in which benefits are earned, rather than to the period of benefit distribution. An actuarial cost method is a mathematical technique that allocates the present value of future benefits into annual costs. In order to do this allocation, it is necessary for the funding method to "breakdown" the present value of future benefits into two components:

- (1) that which is attributable to the past and
- (2) that which is attributable to the future.

Actuarial terminology calls the part attributable to the past the "past service liability" or the "actuarial accrued liability." The portion allocated to the future is known as the present value of future normal costs, with the specific piece of it allocated to the current year being called the "normal cost." Table 5 contains the calculation of actuarial accrued liability for the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund. By statute, the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method is used to develop the actuarial accrued liability.



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

---

**TABLE 4**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE BENEFITS (PVFB)  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

1. Active Employees		
(a) Retirement	\$	466,800,181
(b) Withdrawal		92,378,061
(c) Death		14,526,352
(d) Total	\$	<u>573,704,594</u>
2. Inactive Vested Members		72,156,168
3. Inactive Nonvested Members		2,986,173
4. Disabled Members		0
5. Retirees		63,745,459
6. Beneficiaries		<u>2,867,432</u>
7. Total Present Value of Future Benefits [1(d) + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6]	\$	715,459,826



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

---

**TABLE 5**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**ACTUARIAL ACCRUED LIABILITY  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

1. Present Value of Future Benefits for Active Members	\$	573,704,594
2. Present Value of Future Normal Costs for Active Members	\$	200,034,054
3. Actuarial Accrued Liability for Active Members [1 - 2]	\$	373,670,540
4. Actuarial Accrued Liability for Inactive Members		141,755,232
5. Total Actuarial Accrued Liability [3 + 4]		515,425,772
6. Actuarial Value of Assets		519,614,816
7. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability/(Surplus) [5- 6]	\$	(4,189,044)



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

---

**TABLE 6**  
**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**  
**ACTUARIAL BALANCE SHEET**

<u>ASSETS</u>	
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$ 519,614,816
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability/(Surplus)	(4,189,044)
Present Value of Future Normal Costs	\$ <u>200,034,054</u>
Total Assets	\$ 715,459,826
<u>LIABILITIES</u>	
Present Value of Future Benefits	
Active members	
Retirement	\$ 466,800,181
Withdrawal	92,378,061
Death	<u>14,526,352</u>
Total	\$ 573,704,594
Inactive members	75,142,341
Retirees, disabilities and beneficiaries	<u>66,612,891</u>
Total Liabilities	\$ 715,459,826



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

**TABLE 7**  
**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**  
**ACTUARIAL GAIN/(LOSS)**

**Liabilities**

1. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2018	\$ 457,424,951
2. Normal Cost During 2018	23,837,629
3. Benefit Payments During Plan Year Ending December 31, 2018	(32,810,743)
4. Transfers from Defined Contribution Plan	1,885,618
5. Interest on Items 1 - 4 at 7.50%	34,955,966
6. Dividend Granted in 2018	<u>32,324,341</u>
7. Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2019	\$ 517,617,762
8. Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2019	\$ 515,425,772

**Assets**

9. Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2018	\$ 491,483,379
10. Contributions During Plan Year Ending December 31, 2018	30,658,176
11. Benefit Payments During Plan Year Ending December 31, 2018	(32,810,743)
12. Transfers from Defined Contribution Plan	1,885,618
13. Interest at 7.50%	<u>36,851,424</u>
14. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2019	\$ 528,067,854
15. Actuarial Value of Assets as of January 1, 2019	\$ 519,614,816

**Gain / (Loss)**

16. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Liabilities [7 - 8]	\$ 2,191,990
17. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) on Assets [15 - 14]	\$ (8,453,038)
18. Total Actuarial Gain / (Loss) for Plan Year Ending December 31, 2018 [16 + 17]	\$ (6,261,048)



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

---

**TABLE 8**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**GAIN/(LOSS) ANALYSIS BY SOURCE**

<b>Liability Sources</b>	<b>Gain/(Loss)</b>
Retirement	\$ 83,000
Termination	634,000
Disability	0
Mortality	(121,000)
Salary	(67,000)
New Entrants/Rehires	(2,663,000)
Interest Credit	4,578,000
DC Transfers Upon Retirement	565,000
Miscellaneous	(817,000)
Total Liability Gain/(Loss)	\$ 2,192,000
Asset Gain/(Loss)	\$ (8,453,000)
Net Actuarial Gain/(Loss)	\$ (6,261,000)



**SECTION 4 – SYSTEM LIABILITIES**

**TABLE 9**  
**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**  
**PROJECTED BENEFIT PAYMENTS**  
**AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

<b>Plan Year Ending December 31,</b>	<b>Active Employees</b>	<b>Retired and Disabled Members and Beneficiaries</b>	<b>Total</b>
2019	\$ 28,177,000	\$ 7,843,000	\$ 36,020,000
2020	30,297,000	7,537,000	37,834,000
2021	32,543,000	7,204,000	39,747,000
2022	34,288,000	6,987,000	41,275,000
2023	35,897,000	6,784,000	42,681,000
2024	37,345,000	6,526,000	43,871,000
2025	38,699,000	6,218,000	44,917,000
2026	40,000,000	6,022,000	46,022,000
2027	41,740,000	5,846,000	47,586,000
2028	42,552,000	5,524,000	48,076,000
2029	43,646,000	5,397,000	49,043,000
2030	44,804,000	5,132,000	49,936,000
2031	45,910,000	4,850,000	50,760,000
2032	46,683,000	4,592,000	51,275,000
2033	47,032,000	4,263,000	51,295,000
2034	47,836,000	4,030,000	51,866,000
2035	49,119,000	3,775,000	52,894,000
2036	49,886,000	3,560,000	53,446,000
2037	50,582,000	3,302,000	53,884,000
2038	50,664,000	3,010,000	53,674,000
2039	51,182,000	2,748,000	53,930,000
2040	52,525,000	2,523,000	55,048,000
2041	52,594,000	2,296,000	54,890,000
2042	53,778,000	2,073,000	55,851,000
2043	54,318,000	1,853,000	56,171,000
2044	55,191,000	1,643,000	56,834,000
2045	56,630,000	1,441,000	58,071,000
2046	56,893,000	1,251,000	58,144,000
2047	57,375,000	1,076,000	58,451,000
2048	58,036,000	916,000	58,952,000

Note: Cash flows are the expected future non-discounted payments to current members. These amounts assume members terminating before reaching retirement eligibility will elect a lump sum distribution of their cash balance account. 40% of members eligible for retirement will elect a monthly annuity, payable for life with 5 years certain, and 60% will elect a lump sum distribution of their cash balance account. These payments exclude refund payouts to any current vested or nonvested inactives.



## SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

---

The previous two sections were devoted to a discussion of the assets and liabilities of the State Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund. A comparison of Tables 3 and 4 indicates that current assets fall short of meeting the present value of future benefits (total liability). This is expected in all but a completely closed fund, where no further contributions are anticipated. In an active system, there will almost always be a difference between the actuarial value of assets and total liabilities. This deficiency has to be made up by future contributions and investment returns. An actuarial valuation sets out a schedule of future contributions that will deal with this deficiency in an orderly fashion.

The method used to determine the incidence of the contributions in various years is called the actuarial cost method. Under an actuarial cost method, the contributions required to meet the difference between current assets and current liabilities are allocated each year between two elements: (1) the normal cost rate and (2) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability contribution rate.

The term "fully funded" is often applied to a system in which contributions at the normal cost rate are sufficient to pay for the benefits of existing employees as well as for those of new employees. More often than not, systems are not fully funded, either because of past benefit improvements that have not been completely funded or because of actuarial deficiencies that have occurred because experience has not been as favorable as anticipated by the actuarial assumptions. Under these circumstances, an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) exists. Likewise, when the actuarial value of assets is greater than the actuarial accrued liability, a surplus exists.

### **Description of Contribution Rate Components**

The Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method is used for the valuation. Under that method, the normal cost for each year from entry age to assumed exit age is a constant percentage of the member's year by year projected compensation. The portion of the present value of future benefits not provided by the present value of future normal costs is the actuarial accrued liability. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability/(surplus) represents the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is calculated each year and reflects experience gains and losses.

In general, contributions are computed in accordance with a level percent-of-payroll funding objective. The contribution rate based on the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation will be used to determine the actuarial required employer contribution rate to the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund for the plan year ending December 31, 2019. Any additional State contributions, if required, are expected to be deposited on July 1, 2020 (State fiscal year 2021). In this context, the term "contribution rate" means the percentage, which is applied to a particular active member payroll to determine the actual employer contribution amount (i.e., in dollars) for the group.

### **Contribution Rate Summary**

In Table 10 the amortization payment related to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability/(surplus), as of January 1, 2019, is developed. Table 11 develops the actuarial required contribution rate for the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund and the amount of any additional required State contributions.

The contribution rates shown in this report are based on the actuarial assumptions and cost methods described in Appendix C.





**SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS**

**TABLE 10**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**SCHEDULE OF AMORTIZATION BASES**

<b>Amortization Bases</b>	<b>Original Amount</b>	<b>January 1, 2019 Remaining Payments</b>	<b>Date of Last Payment</b>	<b>Outstanding Balance as of January 1, 2019</b>	<b>Annual Contribution*</b>
2019 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability Base	(4,189,044)	25	1/1/2044	(4,189,044)	(362,456)
<b>Total</b>				<b>\$ (4,189,044)</b>	<b>\$ (362,456)</b>

\* Contribution amount reflects mid-year timing.

- 1. Total UAAL Amortization Payments \$ (362,456)
- 2. Projected Payroll for 2019 Plan Year \$ 265,934,234
- 3. UAAL Amortization Payment Rate (0.14%)

Per State Statute Sect. 84-1319 (4)(b), because the UAAL as of January 1, 2019 is zero or less than zero, all prior amortization bases are considered fully funded and the UAAL is reinitialized.



**SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS**

**TABLE 11**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**ACTUARIAL REQUIRED CONTRIBUTION RATE  
and  
DEVELOPMENT OF ADDITIONAL STATE CONTRIBUTION**

1. Normal Cost		
(a) Amount	\$	24,301,948
(b) Expected pay for current actives		241,727,674
(c) Normal Cost Rate as % of pay		10.05%
2. Amortization Cost		
(a) Amount		(362,456)
(b) Expected pay for all actives		265,934,234
(c) Amortization Rate as % of pay		(0.14%)
3. Total Actuarial Required Contribution Rate		9.91%
[1(c) + 2(c)]		
4. Statutory Contribution Rates		
(a) Member*		4.69%
(b) Employer**		6.94%
(c) Total		<u>11.63%</u>
5. Additional Required State Contribution		0.00%
[3 - 4(c), not less than 0.00%]		
6. Expected pay for all actives during 2019		265,934,234
7. Additional Required State Contribution payable July 1, 2020		
[5 * 6 * 1.075 <sup>-5</sup> , but not less than \$0]	\$	0

\* Includes additional member contribution rates of 1% or 2% of pay for commissioned law-enforcement officers.

\*\* 150% of employee contribution rate plus additional rates of 1% or 2% of pay for commissioned law-enforcement officers.




**SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS**

**TABLE 12**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**HISTORICAL CONTRIBUTION RATES**

Plan Year	Statutory Contribution Rate			Actuarial Rate	Margin/ (Shortfall)
	Employee	Employer	Total		
2003	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	9.56%	1.69%
2004	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	8.91%	2.34%
2005	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	8.67%	2.58%
2006	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	8.21%	3.04%
2007	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	9.19%	2.06%
2008	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	8.74%	2.51%
2009	4.50%	6.75%	11.25%	9.47%	1.78%
2010	4.68%	6.93%	11.61%	10.25%	1.36%
2011	4.67%	6.92%	11.59%	10.47%	1.12%
2012	4.68%	6.93%	11.61%	10.65%	0.96%
2013	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	10.36%	1.27%
2014	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	9.66%	1.97%
2015	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	9.19%	2.44%
2016	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	9.95%	1.68%
2017	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	9.50%	2.13%
2018	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	8.97%	2.66%
2019	4.69%	6.94%	11.63%	9.91%	1.72%





**SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS**

---

**TABLE 13**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**FUNDING EXCESS AVAILABLE FOR  
BENEFIT IMPROVEMENT**

1. Total Statutory Contribution Rate	11.63%
2. Benefit Improvement Threshold Rate (90% of (1))	10.46%
3. Actuarially Required Contribution Rate	9.91%
4. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ (4,189,044)
5. Requirements for Using Excess for Benefit Improvement	
a. Rate Sufficiency: (3) < (2)	Yes
b. No UAAL: (4) < 0	Yes
6. Funding Excess Available for Benefit Improvement	
As a rate of pay: (2) - (3), not less than 0%	0.55%



SECTION 5 – EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

TABLE 14

COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND

DIVIDEND DETERMINATION

Each year after the annual actuarial valuation results are received, the Board determines, based on the recommendation of the actuary, if a benefit improvement can be made. If it is determined that the benefit improvement should be a dividend payment to individual member Cash Balance accounts and that sufficient reserves exist, the dividend granted must meet the following criteria:

- A. The plan must maintain the 90% Benefit Threshold Rate after granting any dividend.
B. There must be a minimum 100% Funded Ratio on both the Funded Basis and the Current Value Basis, both before and after the dividend is granted.
C. No dividend will be granted for a year where the annual interest credit rate exceeds the actuarial valuation interest rate.
D. The dividend plus the annual interest credit during the year cannot exceed 8.0% unless a majority of the PERB agrees.

1. January 1, 2019 Valuation Results Before Dividend:

Table with 3 columns: Description, Funded Basis, Current Value Basis. Rows include (a) Liability, (b) Assets, and (c) (Deficit)/Reserve [(b) - (a)].

2. Amount Available for Dividend (Lesser of 1(c) on Funded Basis or Current Value Basis) \$0

3. Account Balances as of December 31, 2018 \$456,858,137

4. Maximum Dividend [2 / 3] 0.00%

5. Annual Interest Credit for 2018 5.00%

6. 2018 Interest Credit Plus Maximum Dividend [4 + 5] 5.00%

7. January 1, 2019 Valuation Results After Maximum Dividend:

Table with 2 columns: Description, Value. Rows include (a) Actuarial Contribution Rate, (b) Benefit Improvement Threshold Rate, (c) Is (a) < (b)? [Criteria A], (d) Funded Ratio on a Funded Basis, (e) Funded Ratio on a Current Value Basis, (f) Are (d) and (e) both at least 100%? [Criteria B].

8. Is (5) < actuarial assumed interest rate (7.50%)? [Criteria C] Yes

9. Is (6) greater than 8.00%? [Criteria D] No

- Any dividend over 3% can only be granted if the majority of the PERB agrees.



## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

---

Actuarial Standards of Practice are issued by the Actuarial Standards Board and are binding on credentialed actuaries practicing in the United States. These standards generally identify what the actuary should consider, document and disclose when performing an actuarial assignment. In September, 2017, Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51, *Assessment and Disclosure of Risk in Measuring Pension Obligations*, (ASOP 51) was issued as final with application to measurement dates on or after November 1, 2018. This ASOP, which applies to funding valuations, actuarial projections, and actuarial cost studies of proposed plan changes, is first applicable for the January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation for the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund (System).

A typical retirement plan faces many different risks. The term “risk” is most commonly associated with an outcome with undesirable results. However, in the actuarial world, risk can be translated as uncertainty. The actuarial valuation process uses many actuarial assumptions to project how future contributions and investment returns will meet the cash flow needs for future benefit payments. Of course, we know that actual experience will not unfold exactly as anticipated by the assumptions and that uncertainty, whether favorable or unfavorable, creates risk. ASOP 51 defines risk as the potential of actual future measurements to deviate from expected results due to actual experience that is different than the actuarial assumptions.

The various risk factors for a given plan can have a significant impact – positive or negative – on the actuarial projection of liability and contribution rates.

There are a number of risks inherent in the funding of a defined benefit plan. These include:

- economic risks, such as investment return and price inflation;
- demographic risks such as mortality, payroll growth, aging population including impact of baby boomers, and retirement ages;
- contribution risk, i.e., the potential for contribution rates to be too high for the plan sponsor/employer to pay and
- external risks such as the regulatory and political environment.

The Nebraska County Cash Balance Benefit Fund is somewhat unique in the public pension arena as there are very few standalone Cash Balance Plans that are sponsored by governmental employers. Most public defined benefit plans are traditional final average pay plans. The County Cash Balance Plan was created in 2003. Participants in the County Defined Contribution Plan at that time were allowed to elect coverage in the Cash Balance Plan and all new members became participants in the Cash Balance Plan. If members of the Defined Contribution Plan elected coverage in the Cash Balance Plan, their account balance in the Defined Contribution Plan was transferred to the Cash Balance Plan. As a result, the Cash Balance Plan was fully funded at inception, i.e., no unfunded actuarial accrued liability existed. In addition, the fixed employee and employer contribution rates at that time were higher than the actuarial contribution rate. As a result, the funded status of the Cash Balance Plan has remained very strong even with investment returns that have, at times, been lower than the actuarial assumption.

The following discussion addresses the qualitative analysis of key risks to funding the Plan.


### ***Actual vs Actuarial Contributions***

There is a direct correlation between healthy, well-funded retirement plans and consistent contributions at least equal to the full actuarial contribution rate each year. The employee and employer contribute a fixed contribution rate, set by statute. If those contribution rates are insufficient to fund the full actuarial contribution rate, the State is required by statute to make an additional contribution. Since the Plan was




## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

created, no additional State contributions have been necessary, but the statutory requirement to fund the full actuarial contribution rate is a very strong positive factor in evaluating the risk associated with the Plan's future funding. As the following graph shows, the Plan has consistently contributed more than the actuarial contribution rate since inception in 2003.



### Investment Return Risk

The most significant risk factor for the County Employees' Retirement System Cash Balance Benefit Fund is investment return because of the volatility of returns and the size of plan assets compared to payroll (see Table 15). A perusal of historical returns reveals that the actual return each year is rarely close to the average return for the same period and often varies significantly from the expected return.





## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

---

This volatility is to be expected, given the underlying capital market assumptions and the Plan’s asset allocation. However, that volatility in investment returns can lead to volatility in the actuarial contribution rate. The Plan uses an asset smoothing method that recognizes the difference between the actual and expected return on the market value of assets equally over five years. As that experience is recognized, the resulting actuarial gain/loss is amortized over 25 years. These actuarial methodologies help to mitigate the impact of the investment volatility, but movement in the actuarial contribution rate can still be significant if there is a large difference between the actual and expected return (such as occurred in 2008) or lower/higher than expected returns over a long, sustained period. However, one important consideration that has an offsetting impact on the impact of investment volatility is the dividend policy for the Nebraska County Cash Balance Plan. If returns are significantly below the expected return (in one year or over a period of years), the funded ratio of the Plan will decline. To the extent the funded ratio drops below 100%, no dividend will be granted by the Board (see discussion below for more details). This will tend to reduce the liabilities and have a positive impact on the Plan’s funding and the actuarial contribution rate.

### *Interest Credits*

As a cash balance plan design, the plan provisions include the basis for the interest crediting rate that is paid on members’ account balances each year. The interest crediting rate for the County Cash Balance Plan is variable since it is defined as the greater of (1) federal mid-term rate plus 1.5% or (2) 5.0%. The interest crediting rate will impact each member’s account balance and, therefore, the benefit actually paid from the system.


Often when investment returns are low, interest rates may also be low (as has been the case over the last ten years). As a result, the actual interest credits made to participant account balances have been lower than the long-term assumption used in the actuarial valuation. When this occurs, an actuarial gain is generated which tends to partially offset the actuarial loss due to investment returns.

In addition to the statutory interest crediting rate, the law provides that additional interest credits (called “dividends”) may be credited to participant accounts under certain circumstances. The Nebraska County Cash Balance Plan has several guardrails in place to protect the funded status of the County Cash Balance Plan while providing benefit improvements to participants when appropriate. First, statutorily no benefit improvement can be granted unless the Plan is more than 100% funded and any improvement cannot result in an actuarial contribution rate that is more than 90% of the statutory contribution rate (employee plus employer). This requirement ensures that a contribution margin will still exist after the benefit improvement is granted. The Board’s Dividend Policy sets additional criteria that must be met before a dividend may be granted, with the intent of protecting the Plan’s funding. The key requirement is that the Plan must be at least 100% funded, after the dividend is granted, on both a funded basis (actuarial assets/actuarial liability) and on a current value basis (market value of assets/market value of liabilities). These policies have served the County Cash Balance Plan well as dividends have been granted in nine of the last 15 years, but the Plan remains fully funded on an actuarial basis.





## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS



### *Demographic Risks*

#### *Mortality*

A key demographic risk for all retirement systems, including the County Employees’ Retirement System, is improvements in mortality (longevity) greater than anticipated. While the actuarial assumptions reflect small, continuous improvements in mortality experience over time and these assumptions are refined every experience study, the risk arises because there is a possibility of some sudden shift, perhaps from a significant medical breakthrough that could quickly increase liabilities. Likewise, there is some possibility of a significant public health crisis that could result in a significant number of additional deaths in a short time period, which would also be significant, although more easily absorbed. While either of these events could happen, it represents a small probability and thus represents much less risk than the volatility associated with investment returns. The fact that a portion of Plan liability is paid as a lump sum minimizes the mortality risk for this Plan compared to more traditional plans with a final average pay plan design.

#### *Retirement Age and Election of Form of Payment*

For traditional final average pay defined benefit plans, the age at which members elect to retire can create significant actuarial gains/losses especially when there is subsidized early retirement benefits. For a cash balance plan, retirement at an earlier age automatically results in a lower benefit. The account value is smaller and the annuity factor is large so the resulting benefit amount (account value divided by the annuity factor) is smaller. Essentially, the value of benefit is about the amount in the member’s cash balance account. As a result, retirement age is not a significant risk for most cash balance plans.

The plan provisions of the County Cash Balance Plan provide that a member may elect to receive a full lump sum at retirement, an annuity (monthly benefit) based on the full account balance, or a combination of the two (partial lump sum and a reduced monthly benefit). If a member elects to receive a lump sum at retirement, the liability at that time is the lump sum payable and all future risk is removed from the Plan. However, if part/all of the member’s benefit is paid as monthly income for life the amount of liability may be different than the account balance. In addition, there is both investment return and mortality risk



## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

---

associated with the member’s election of an annuity option. To the extent members are given the option to select the form of payment, some degree of anti-selection against the Plan may exist as generally healthier members will elect to receive benefits payable over their lifetime. If less healthy members elect to receive the lump sum and healthy members elect to receive benefits for life, it could result in higher liabilities.

Whether or not the Plan experiences anti-selection, the use of an assumption regarding the percentage of account balances at retirement that will be paid as lump sums versus monthly income introduces the potential for a difference in the actual versus expected behavior of members, i.e., it creates risk. Although actuarial gains or losses are to be expected, the magnitude of these amounts are not expected to be significant when compared to other experience (investment return and interest credits). Nonetheless, these election assumptions are included in the experience studies that are performed every four years so the existing assumptions can be changed when appropriate.

### *Maturity Measurements*

The following exhibits summarize some historical information that helps indicate how certain key risk metrics have changed over time. This Plan is relatively “young”, having been created in 2003. Most public retirement systems have been in existence at least 50 years. The three windows that permitted members of the Defined Contribution Plan to elect coverage in the Cash Balance Plan did have an impact on the maturity measures as illustrated on the next few pages.



**SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS**

**TABLE 15**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**HISTORICAL ASSET VOLATILITY RATIOS**

As a retirement system matures, the size of the market value of assets increases relative to the covered payroll of active members, on which the System is funded. The size of the plan assets relative to covered payroll, sometimes referred to as the asset volatility ratio, is an important indicator of the contribution risk for the System. The higher this ratio, the more sensitive a plan’s contribution rate is to investment return volatility. In other words, it will be harder to recover from investment losses with increased contributions.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Market Value of Assets	Covered Payroll	Asset Volatility Ratio	Increase in ACR with a Return 10% Lower than Assumed*
January 1, 2003	\$57,399,994	\$47,102,255	1.22	1.1%
January 1, 2004	76,249,464	60,626,584	1.26	1.1%
January 1, 2005	90,762,473	67,810,140	1.34	1.2%
January 1, 2006	103,253,940	88,144,293	1.17	1.0%
January 1, 2007	124,669,976	113,468,303	1.10	1.0%
January 1, 2008	168,651,246	141,110,390	1.20	1.0%
January 1, 2009	129,754,220	165,275,589	0.79	0.7%
January 1, 2010	166,189,946	177,732,220	0.94	0.8%
January 1, 2011	200,042,882	183,967,790	1.09	0.9%
January 1, 2012	208,729,170	193,269,158	1.08	0.9%
January 1, 2013	287,665,289	202,786,048	1.42	1.2%
January 1, 2014	350,564,778	206,510,678	1.70	1.5%
January 1, 2015	382,346,078	219,401,973	1.74	1.5%
January 1, 2016	391,428,009	238,679,544	1.64	1.4%
January 1, 2017	431,768,012	254,560,438	1.70	1.5%
January 1, 2018	511,530,924	259,411,357	1.97	1.7%
January 1, 2019	490,374,327	265,934,234	1.84	1.6%

Note: Information before Plan Year 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.

\* The impact of asset smoothing is not reflected in the impact on the Actuarial Contribution Rate (ACR). Current year assumptions are used for all years shown.

The assets at January 1, 2019 are 184% of payroll, so underperforming the investment return assumption by 10.00% (i.e., earn -2.50% for one year) creates an actuarial loss of \$49 million, 18.4% of payroll. While the actual impact of the return would be mitigated in the first year by the asset smoothing method and amortization of the UAL, the actuarial contribution rate would increase by 1.6% over five years. This illustrates the significant risk associated with volatile investment returns.




**SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS**

**TABLE 16**  
**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**  
**HISTORICAL CASH FLOWS**

Plans with negative cash flows will experience increased sensitivity to investment return volatility. Cash flows, for this purpose, are measured as contributions less benefit payments. If the System has negative cash flows and then experiences returns below the assumed rate, there are fewer assets to be reinvested to earn the higher returns that typically follow. This Plan is relatively “young” so negative cash flows are not a concern at this point in time.

<b>Year End</b>	<b>Market Value of Assets (MVA)</b>	<b>Contributions</b>	<b>Benefit Payments</b>	<b>Net Cash Flow</b>	<b>Net Cash Flow as a Percent of MVA</b>
December 31, 2003	\$76,249,464	\$6,857,596	\$1,731,868	\$5,125,728	6.72%
December 31, 2004	90,762,473	8,137,085	2,738,343	5,398,742	5.95%
December 31, 2005	103,253,940	9,236,947	3,863,998	5,372,949	5.20%
December 31, 2006	124,669,976	10,476,047	6,360,290	4,115,757	3.30%
December 31, 2007	168,651,246	13,725,184	9,100,697	4,624,487	2.74%
December 31, 2008	129,754,220	16,498,465	8,010,593	8,487,872	6.54%
December 31, 2009	166,189,946	17,686,498	9,731,335	7,955,163	4.79%
December 31, 2010	200,042,882	19,069,094	8,914,802	10,154,292	5.08%
December 31, 2011	208,729,170	19,954,228	13,057,416	6,896,812	3.30%
December 31, 2012	287,665,289	21,333,936	14,483,630	6,850,306	2.38%
December 31, 2013	350,564,778	24,056,413	15,695,676	8,360,737	2.38%
December 31, 2014	382,346,078	25,595,814	17,750,010	7,845,804	2.05%
December 31, 2015	391,428,009	27,035,073	23,080,849	3,954,224	1.01%
December 31, 2016	431,768,012	28,288,478	22,092,412	6,196,066	1.44%
December 31, 2017	511,530,924	29,752,449	21,934,437	7,818,012	1.53%
December 31, 2018	490,374,327	30,658,176	32,810,743	(2,152,567)	(0.44%)

Note: Information before Plan Year 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.



**SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS****TABLE 17****COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND****LIABILITY MATURITY MEASURES**

Most public sector retirement systems have been in operation for many years. As a result, they have aging plan populations, and in some cases declining active populations, resulting in an increasing ratio of retirees to active members and a growing percentage of retiree liability. As has been discussed earlier, the Nebraska County Plan was just created in 2003 so a much small portion of the total liability is due to retirees. In addition, the Plan offers members the option to elect payment of their retirement benefit as a lump sum which also reduces the amount of ongoing retiree liability.

<b>Actuarial Valuation Date</b>	<b>Retiree Liability (a)</b>	<b>Total Actuarial Actuarial Liability (b)</b>	<b>Retiree Percentage (a) / (b)</b>	<b>Covered Payroll (c)</b>	<b>Ratio (b) / (c)</b>
January 1, 2003	\$0	\$55,406,423	0.0%	\$47,102,255	1.18
January 1, 2004	1,820,375	63,270,991	2.9%	60,626,584	1.04
January 1, 2005	2,333,463	73,913,434	3.2%	67,810,140	1.09
January 1, 2006	4,570,245	84,817,488	5.4%	88,144,293	0.96
January 1, 2007	5,804,793	110,630,278	5.2%	113,468,303	0.97
January 1, 2008	7,374,536	151,557,186	4.9%	141,110,390	1.07
January 1, 2009	9,151,358	175,293,953	5.2%	165,275,589	1.06
January 1, 2010	11,669,553	196,773,040	5.9%	177,732,220	1.11
January 1, 2011	13,907,236	221,080,026	6.3%	183,967,790	1.20
January 1, 2012	19,552,811	240,195,114	8.1%	193,269,158	1.24
January 1, 2013	23,554,406	297,572,626	7.9%	202,786,048	1.47
January 1, 2014	25,927,337	322,994,373	8.0%	206,510,678	1.56
January 1, 2015	30,630,118	347,369,862	8.8%	219,401,973	1.58
January 1, 2016	40,429,619	390,785,123	10.3%	238,679,544	1.64
January 1, 2017	49,881,528	418,778,262	11.9%	254,560,438	1.65
January 1, 2018	59,209,021	457,424,951	12.9%	259,411,357	1.76
January 1, 2019	66,612,891	515,425,772	12.9%	265,934,234	1.94


Note: Information before Plan Year 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.

See graph on following page.



## SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

---





**SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS**


**TABLE 18**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**HISTORICAL MEMBER COUNTS**

Valuation Date January 1,	Number of Active Members	Number of Retired Members	Active/Retired
2003	1,959	0	
2004	2,492	35	71.20
2005	2,922	40	73.05
2006	3,382	99	34.16
2007	4,156	122	34.07
2008	5,104	160	31.90
2009	5,446	190	28.66
2010	5,645	223	25.31
2011	5,639	251	22.47
2012	5,796	315	18.40
2013	6,034	350	17.24
2014	6,228	384	16.22
2015	6,272	426	14.72
2016	6,432	513	12.54
2017	6,683	569	11.75
2018	6,710	630	10.65
2019	6,646	682	9.74

Note: Information before Plan Year 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.





SECTION 6 – RISK CONSIDERATIONS

TABLE 19

COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND

COMPARISON OF VALUATION RESULTS UNDER ALTERNATE  
INVESTMENT RETURN ASSUMPTIONS

This exhibit compares the key January 1, 2019 valuation results under five (5) different investment return assumptions to illustrate the impact of different assumptions on the funding of the System. Note that only the investment return assumption is changed, as identified in the heading below. All other assumptions are unchanged for purposes of this analysis.

<b>Investment Return Assumption</b>	<u>7.00%</u>	<u>7.25%</u>	<u>7.50%</u>	<u>7.75%</u>	<u>8.00%</u>
<b>Contributions</b>					
Normal Cost Rate	10.68%	10.36%	10.05%	9.77%	9.50%
UAAL Amortization Rate	<u>0.60%</u>	<u>0.23%</u>	<u>(0.14%)</u>	<u>(0.50%)</u>	<u>(0.87%)</u>
Total Actuarial Required Contribution	11.28%	10.59%	9.91%	9.27%	8.63%
Member Contribution Rate	(4.69%)	(4.69%)	(4.69%)	(4.69%)	(4.69%)
Employer Contribution Rate	<u>(6.94%)</u>	<u>(6.94%)</u>	<u>(6.94%)</u>	<u>(6.94%)</u>	<u>(6.94%)</u>
<b>Total Contribution Rate</b>	(0.35%)	(1.04%)	(1.72%)	(2.36%)	(3.00%)
<b>Actuarial Value of Assets (\$ in thousands)</b>	\$519,615	\$519,615	\$519,615	\$519,615	\$519,615
<b>Actuarial Accrued Liability</b>	\$538,785	\$526,856	\$515,426	\$504,471	\$493,967
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</b>	\$19,170	\$7,241	(\$4,189)	(\$15,144)	(\$25,648)
<b>Funded Ratio</b>	96.44%	98.63%	100.81%	103.00%	105.19%





## **SECTION 7 – OTHER INFORMATION**

---

The actuarial accrued liability is a measure intended to help the reader assess (i) a retirement system's funded status on a going concern basis and (ii) progress being made toward accumulating the assets needed to pay benefits as due. Allocation of the actuarial present value of projected benefits between past and future service was based on service using the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method. Entry age was established by subtracting credited service from current age on the valuation date. The Entry Age Normal actuarial accrued liability was determined as part of an actuarial valuation of the plan as of January 1, 2019. The actuarial assumptions used in determining the actuarial accrued liability can be found in Appendix C.

The Schedule of Funding Progress provides information about whether the financial strength of the Plan is improving or deteriorating over time.

The Schedule of Contributions from Employers and Other Contributing Entities provides historical information about the actuarial required contribution and the percentage of the actuarial required contribution that was actually contributed.



SECTION 7 – OTHER INFORMATION

TABLE 20
COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Table with 7 columns: Actuarial Valuation Date, Actuarial Value of Assets (a), Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b), Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) (b - a), Funded Ratio (a / b), Covered Payroll (c), and UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll [(b - a) / c]. Rows list data from January 1, 2003 to January 1, 2019.

Note: Information before January 1, 2014 was produced by the prior actuary.



**SECTION 7 – OTHER INFORMATION**

**TABLE 21**

**COUNTY EMPLOYEES’ RETIREMENT SYSTEM  
CASH BALANCE BENEFIT FUND**

**SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYERS  
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES**

Plan Year Ending	Actuarial Required Contributions			Percent Contributed
	Counties	State Additional	Total	
December 31, 2002	\$2,823,005	\$0	\$2,823,005	100%
December 31, 2003	4,083,840	0	4,083,840	100%
December 31, 2004	4,845,003	0	4,845,003	100%
December 31, 2005	5,513,254	0	5,513,254	100%
December 31, 2006	6,251,727	0	6,251,727	100%
December 31, 2007	8,194,607	0	8,194,607	100%
December 31, 2008	9,839,409	0	9,839,409	100%
December 31, 2009	10,555,174	0	10,555,174	100%
December 31, 2010	11,370,059	0	11,370,059	100%
December 31, 2011	11,908,346	0	11,908,346	100%
December 31, 2012	12,696,338	0	12,696,338	100%
December 31, 2013	11,497,969	0	11,497,969	124%
December 31, 2014	10,263,581	0	10,263,581	149%
December 31, 2015	9,873,089	0	9,873,089	163%
December 31, 2016	12,554,544	0	12,554,544	135%
December 31, 2017	12,244,357	0	12,244,357	145%
December 31, 2018	11,102,806	0	11,102,806	165%

Note: Information prior to December 31, 2013 was produced by the prior actuary.



**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**RECORD RECONCILIATION**

	<b>Active Members*</b>	<b>Inactive Members*</b>	<b>Retirees, Beneficiaries, and Disableds</b>	<b>Total</b>
Total Number of Data Records Submitted by NPERS	7,590	4,155	2,726	14,471
Number of State records removed	0	0	(2,042)	(2,042)
a) DC Participant	(898)	(622)	0	(1,520)
b) Death	0	0	0	0
c) Assumed Inactive				
- Benefits due	(77)	77	0	0
- Cashed out				
- Employer Disaffiliated	(63)	63	0	0
d) Null Balance	0	(356)	0	(356)
e) Termination Date after Valuation Date	94	(94)	0	0
f) Also Listed as Retired	0	(53)	0	(53)
g) Benefits Expired	0	0	(3)	(3)
h) QDRO spouse	0	0	0	0
i) Beneficiaries Due a Refund	0	0	1	1
j) Member Death - Certain Period Not Expired	0	0	0	0
k) Date of Death after Valuation Date	0	0	0	0
Net Change	(944)	(985)	(2,044)	(3,973)
Number of Members Included in the Valuation as of January 1, 2019	6,646	3,170	682	10,498

\* Based on data file received from Ameritas.



**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**MEMBER DATA RECONCILIATION**

	<b>Active Members</b>	<b>Inactive Vested</b>	<b>Inactive Non-Vested</b>	<b>Retirees and Beneficiaries</b>	<b>Total</b>
As of January 1, 2018	6,710	1,289	1,627	630	10,256
Changes in status					
a) Retirement	(40)	(15)	0	55	0
b) Death	(4)	0	0	(14)	(18)
c) Non-vested terminations	(212)	0	212	0	0
d) Vested terminations	(326)	326	0	0	0
e) Employer Disaffiliated	(49)	12	37	0	0
f) Contribution refund	(329)	(226)	(220)	0	(775)
g) Beneficiaries in receipt	0	0	0	15	15
h) Disability retirements	0	0	0	0	0
i) Return to active service	38	(18)	(20)	0	0
j) Expired benefits	0	0	0	(14)	(14)
k) Data adjustments	<u>(27)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>(26)</u>
Total changes in status	(949)	79	9	43	(818)
Transferred from DC Plan	0	0	0	9	9
New entrants	885	25	141	0	1,051
Net Change	(64)	104	150	52	242
As of January 1, 2019	6,646	1,393	1,777	682	10,498

**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA****SUMMARY OF MEMBERSHIP DATA**



<b>A. ACTIVE MEMBERS</b>	<b>January 1, 2019</b>	<b>January 1, 2018</b>	<b>% Change</b>
1. Number of Active Members	6,646	6,710	(1.0%)
2. Reported Salary	\$ 242,855,504	\$ 236,365,694	2.7%
3. Accumulated Contributions			
(a) Employee Cash Balance Account	\$ 152,954,478	\$ 136,733,083	11.9%
(b) Employer Cash Balance Account	228,761,318	204,520,295	11.9%
(c) Total Cash Balance Account	\$ 381,715,796	\$ 341,253,378	11.9%
4. Active Member Averages			
(a) Age	48.3	48.2	0.2%
(b) Service	8.8	8.6	2.3%
(c) Compensation	\$ 36,542	\$ 35,226	3.7%
(d) Cash Balance Account	\$ 57,435	\$ 50,857	12.9%
<b>B. INACTIVE MEMBERS</b>			
1. Number of Inactive Members			
(a) Vested	1,393	1,289	8.1%
(b) Nonvested (refund only)	1,777	1,627	9.2%
(c) Total	3,170	2,916	8.7%
2. Total Vested Cash Balance Account	\$ 72,156,168	\$ 60,621,982	19.0%
3. Inactive Members Averages			
(a) Age (vesteds only)	53.5	53.4	0.2%
(b) Vested Cash Balance Account	\$ 51,799	47,030	10.1%
<b>C. RETIREES, DISABLEDS, AND BENEFICIARIES</b>			
1. Number of Members			
(a) Retired	608	569	6.9%
(b) Disabled	0	0	0.0%
(c) Beneficiaries	74	61	21.3%
(d) Total	682	630	8.3%
2. Total Annual Benefit Payments			
(a) Retired	\$ 7,191,140	\$ 6,469,058	11.2%
(b) Disabled	0	0	0.0%
(c) Beneficiaries	429,674	339,059	26.7%
(d) Total	\$ 7,620,814	\$ 6,808,117	11.9%



**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**ACTIVE MEMBERS  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

Age	Count of Members			Prior Year Reported Salary		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
24 & Under	112	106	218	\$ 2,867,844	\$ 2,229,819	\$ 5,097,663
25-29	292	260	552	9,807,477	7,733,707	17,541,184
30-34	346	327	673	14,004,399	11,349,824	25,354,223
35-39	356	337	693	15,256,087	12,013,971	27,270,058
40-44	298	272	570	12,894,956	9,629,417	22,524,373
45-49	343	309	652	13,800,320	11,107,909	24,908,229
50-54	329	357	686	13,360,263	11,927,391	25,287,654
55-59	411	460	871	16,789,875	17,202,927	33,992,802
60-64	473	411	884	19,039,943	15,150,392	34,190,335
65 & Up	<u>529</u>	<u>318</u>	<u>847</u>	<u>15,916,015</u>	<u>10,772,968</u>	<u>26,688,983</u>
Total	3,489	3,157	6,646	\$ 133,737,179	\$ 109,118,325	\$ 242,855,504





**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**AGE AND SERVICE DISTRIBUTION  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

Age		0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	Over 34	Total
<b>24 &amp; Under</b>	Number	218	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	218
	Reported Salary	\$ 5,097,663	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5,097,663
	Average Sal.	\$ 23,384	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 23,384
<b>25-29</b>	Number	473	78	1	0	0	0	0	0	552
	Reported Salary	\$ 14,153,529	\$ 3,361,790	\$ 25,865	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 17,541,184
	Average Sal.	\$ 29,923	\$ 43,100	\$ 25,865	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 31,778
<b>30-34</b>	Number	414	209	50	0	0	0	0	0	673
	Reported Salary	\$ 13,629,402	\$ 9,349,162	\$ 2,375,659	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 25,354,223
	Average Sal.	\$ 32,921	\$ 44,733	\$ 47,513	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 37,673
<b>35-39</b>	Number	327	193	154	19	0	0	0	0	693
	Reported Salary	\$ 9,873,288	\$ 8,504,949	\$ 8,044,483	\$ 847,338	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 27,270,058
	Average Sal.	\$ 30,194	\$ 44,067	\$ 52,237	\$ 44,597	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 39,351
<b>40-44</b>	Number	255	135	132	42	6	0	0	0	570
	Reported Salary	\$ 7,618,878	\$ 5,599,750	\$ 6,681,211	\$ 2,273,003	\$ 351,531	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 22,524,373
	Average Sal.	\$ 29,878	\$ 41,480	\$ 50,615	\$ 54,119	\$ 58,588	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 39,516
<b>45-49</b>	Number	278	154	141	51	24	4	0	0	652
	Reported Salary	\$ 7,757,011	\$ 6,262,609	\$ 6,600,987	\$ 2,625,720	\$ 1,489,738	\$ 172,164	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 24,908,229
	Average Sal.	\$ 27,903	\$ 40,666	\$ 46,816	\$ 51,485	\$ 62,072	\$ 43,041	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 38,203
<b>50-54</b>	Number	266	155	141	64	25	30	5	0	686
	Reported Salary	\$ 7,424,809	\$ 5,463,485	\$ 6,069,211	\$ 3,047,839	\$ 1,300,056	\$ 1,599,824	\$ 382,430	\$ 0	\$ 25,287,654
	Average Sal.	\$ 27,913	\$ 35,248	\$ 43,044	\$ 47,622	\$ 52,002	\$ 53,327	\$ 76,486	\$ 0	\$ 36,862
<b>55-59</b>	Number	274	183	176	86	27	68	57	0	871
	Reported Salary	\$ 8,005,241	\$ 6,570,474	\$ 7,451,302	\$ 3,965,830	\$ 1,377,239	\$ 3,541,997	\$ 3,080,719	\$ 0	\$ 33,992,802
	Average Sal.	\$ 29,216	\$ 35,904	\$ 42,337	\$ 46,114	\$ 51,009	\$ 52,088	\$ 54,048	\$ 0	\$ 39,027
<b>60-64</b>	Number	233	167	166	90	53	53	84	38	884
	Reported Salary	\$ 6,480,163	\$ 6,173,887	\$ 6,436,177	\$ 3,966,342	\$ 2,352,378	\$ 2,406,851	\$ 4,093,175	\$ 2,281,362	\$ 34,190,335
	Average Sal.	\$ 27,812	\$ 36,969	\$ 38,772	\$ 44,070	\$ 44,384	\$ 45,412	\$ 48,728	\$ 60,036	\$ 38,677
<b>65 &amp; Up</b>	Number	176	180	186	80	46	52	93	34	847
	Reported Salary	\$ 3,868,803	\$ 4,577,920	\$ 5,753,632	\$ 2,757,543	\$ 1,648,377	\$ 2,154,223	\$ 4,002,419	\$ 1,926,066	\$ 26,688,983
	Average Sal.	\$ 21,982	\$ 25,433	\$ 30,934	\$ 34,469	\$ 35,834	\$ 41,427	\$ 43,037	\$ 56,649	\$ 31,510
<b>Total</b>	Number	2,914	1,454	1,147	432	181	207	239	72	6,646
	Reported Salary	\$ 83,908,787	\$ 55,864,026	\$ 49,438,527	\$ 19,483,615	\$ 8,519,319	\$ 9,875,059	\$ 11,558,743	\$ 4,207,428	\$ 242,855,504
	Average Sal.	\$ 28,795	\$ 38,421	\$ 43,102	\$ 45,101	\$ 47,068	\$ 47,706	\$ 48,363	\$ 58,437	\$ 36,542







**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**INACTIVE VESTED MEMBERS  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

<u>Age</u>	<u>Count of Members</u>			<u>Account Balances</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
24 & Under	7	5	12	\$ 48,911	\$ 27,503	\$ 76,414
25-29	25	29	54	452,685	388,135	840,820
30-34	39	61	100	1,019,278	1,451,279	2,470,557
35-39	55	61	116	1,997,516	1,805,045	3,802,561
40-44	41	60	101	2,278,715	2,437,750	4,716,465
45-49	58	74	132	3,839,923	3,252,488	7,092,411
50-54	55	72	127	2,217,897	2,714,633	4,932,530
55-59	72	100	172	3,864,414	3,597,387	7,461,801
60-64	113	111	224	7,338,454	4,751,705	12,090,159
65 & Up	<u>180</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>355</u>	<u>14,274,819</u>	<u>14,397,631</u>	<u>28,672,450</u>
Total	645	748	1,393	\$ 37,332,612	\$ 34,823,556	\$ 72,156,168







**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**RETIRED MEMBERS  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

<u>Age</u>	<u>Count of Members</u>			<u>Annual Benefits</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
59 & Under	5	5	10	\$ 135,733	\$ 101,480	\$ 237,213
60-64	18	15	33	383,329	243,706	627,035
65-69	71	62	133	1,309,506	664,773	1,974,279
70-74	108	86	194	1,302,989	934,376	2,237,365
75-79	77	74	151	775,880	728,281	1,504,161
80-84	35	19	54	269,534	139,861	409,395
85-89	15	8	23	113,039	33,159	146,198
90 & Over	<u>9</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>45,543</u>	<u>9,951</u>	<u>55,494</u>
Total	338	270	608	\$ 4,335,553	\$ 2,855,587	\$ 7,191,140







**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP DATA**

**BENEFICIARIES RECEIVING BENEFITS  
AS OF JANUARY 1, 2019**

<u>Age</u>	<u>Count of Members</u>			<u>Annual Benefits</u>		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Total</u>
59 & Under	14	13	27	\$ 58,657	\$ 54,850	\$ 113,507
60-64	1	8	9	4,682	56,754	61,436
65-69	1	5	6	9,449	33,842	43,291
70-74	2	9	11	4,747	50,777	55,524
75-79	4	8	12	34,206	70,235	104,441
80-84	1	6	7	12,777	27,325	40,102
85-89	0	1	1	0	10,760	10,760
90 & Over	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>613</u>	<u>613</u>
Total	23	51	74	\$ 124,518	\$ 305,156	\$ 429,674





## **APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

---

### **Membership**

All permanent full-time employees of a participating County who work one-half or more of the regularly scheduled hours during each pay period shall begin immediate participation in the County Employees' Retirement System as of January 1, 2007 or date of hire, if later. Participation is voluntary for permanent, part-time employees who are age 18 or older and permanent part-time seasonable employees age 18 or older. Full-time elected officials shall begin participation upon taking office.

Existing members of the County Employees' Retirement System could have elected, during the period beginning September 1, 2012 and ending October 31, 2012 to participate in the Cash Balance Benefit Fund. If no election was made by October 31, 2012, the member was treated as though he or she elected to continue participating in the Defined Contribution plan as provided in the County Employees' Retirement Act.

Existing members of the County Employees' Retirement System could have elected, during the period beginning November 1, 2007 and ending December 31, 2007 to participate in the Cash Balance Benefit Fund. If no election was made by December 31, 2007, the member was treated as though he or she elected to continue participating in the Defined Contribution plan as provided in the County Employees' Retirement Act.

Existing members of the County Employees' Retirement System could have elected, during the period beginning October 1, 2002, and ending December 31, 2002, to participate in the Cash Balance Benefit Fund. If no election was made by January 1, 2003, the member was treated as though he or she elected to continue participating in the Defined Contribution plan as provided in the County Employees' Retirement Act. For a member who first participates in the retirement system on or after January 1, 2003, he or she shall automatically participate in the Cash Balance Benefit Fund subject to plan eligibility requirements.

### **Compensation Considered**

Compensation means gross wages or salaries payable to the member for personal services performed during the plan year, overtime pay, member retirement contributions, and amounts contributed by the member to plans under sections 125, 403(b) and 457 of the Internal Revenue Code or any other section of the code which defers or excludes such amounts from income.

### **Member Contributions**

Members of the County Employees' Retirement System shall contribute an amount equal to four and one-half percent (4.5%) of annual compensation to the fund. The member contribution shall be credited to the employee cash balance account. In addition, commissioned law enforcement personnel shall contribute an extra amount equal to one percent (1%) of annual compensation if their county's population is less than 85,000 and an extra two percent (2%) of annual compensation if their county's population is more than 85,000.

### **Employer Contributions**

The County shall contribute at a rate of 150% of the members' contributions to the fund. The County contribution shall be credited to the employer cash balance account. The participating counties will also match the additional contribution made by commissioned law enforcement personnel at a rate of 100%.



## **APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

---

### **Interest Credit Rate**

Interest credit rate means the greater of (a) five percent or (b) the applicable federal mid-term rate as published by the Internal Revenue Service as of the first day of the calendar quarter for which interest credits are credited, plus one and one-half percent, such rate to be compounded annually.

### **Interest Credits**

Interest credits means the amount credited to the employee cash balance account and the employer cash balance account daily. Such interest credit for each account shall be determined by applying the daily portion of the interest credit rate to the account balance at the end of the previous day.

### **Retirement Age**

A member is eligible for retirement after attaining age 55.

### **Service**

Service is defined to mean the actual total length of employment with a participating County and is not interrupted by a) temporary or seasonal suspension of service that does not terminate the member's employment, b) leave of absence authorized by the County for no longer than twelve months, c) leave of absence due to disability or d) leave due to military service.

### **Retirement Allowance**

Upon attainment of age 55, regardless of service, the retirement allowance shall be equal to the accumulated employee and employer cash balance accounts including interest credits, annuitized for payment in the normal form. Also available are additional forms of payment allowed under the plan which are actuarially equivalent to the normal form including the option of a full lump sum or partial lump sum.

### **Normal Form of Payment**

The normal form of payment under the Plan is a single life annuity with five-year certain, payable monthly. Members will have the option to convert their cash balance account to a monthly annuity with built in cost-of-living adjustments of 2.5% annually. This monthly benefit and all other options allowed under the Plan will be of actuarial equivalence to the accumulated employee and employer cash balance accounts including interest credits.

### **Optional Form of Payment**

Optional forms of payment include a lump sum and the following annuities (with or without a 2.5% COLA): life annuity, modified cash refund, certain and life annuity (5, 10 or 15 years), certain only annuity (5, 10, 15 or 20 years) and joint and survivor annuity (50%, 75% or 100%).

### **Deferred Vested Allowance**

A member who terminates with at least 3 years of participation in the system, including eligibility and vesting credit, may choose to leave his employee and employer cash balance accounts in the Plan and be eligible to receive a vested monthly allowance at retirement age or request a distribution of his employee and employer cash balance accounts plus interest credits, with no future benefit payable from the Plan.



## **APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

---

### **Severance Benefits**

A member who terminates with less than 3 years of participation in the system, including eligibility and vesting credit, may elect to receive a distribution of his/her employee cash balance account including interest credits, with no future benefit payable from the plan.

### **Disability Allowance**

If a member becomes disabled prior to retirement, the member shall receive the total amount of his/her accumulated employee and employer cash balance accounts including interest credits, as a lump sum or converted into a monthly annuity, as defined under the retirement allowance.

### **Pre-retirement Death Allowance**

If a member dies prior to retirement, the surviving spouse, designated beneficiary (if different), or estate shall receive the total amount of his/her accumulated employee and employer cash balance accounts including interest credits, as a lump sum or converted into a monthly annuity, as defined under the retirement allowance.

### **Defined Contribution Transfers at Retirement**

Upon retirement, members participating in the Defined Contribution Plan may elect to annuitize their accumulated account balance and receive a monthly benefit payment. This benefit is paid from the Cash Balance Benefit Fund so the member's DC account balance amount is transferred to the Cash Balance Benefit Fund upon the retirement of a Defined Contribution member electing an annuity. The actuarial assumptions used to convert the accumulated account balance are (i) the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table with a 50% male / 50% female mix, and (ii) the interest rate in accordance with Nebraska State Statute 84-1319.

### **Benefit Improvements**

In accordance with Section 23-2317 of the Nebraska State Statutes, the Public Employees' Retirement Board may grant benefit improvements if the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is less than zero, but in no event will such improvement result in an actuarially required contribution rate in excess of 90% of the total statutory contribution rate.



## APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

---

### Dividend Policy

Under Nebraska Statutes, the Board may grant a dividend in addition to the regular interest credit if the UAAL is less than \$0 (i.e. a surplus exists) and the actuarial contribution after the extra dividend is no more than 90% of the scheduled contribution rate. Additionally, the Board has adopted a policy that also requires that the Accumulated Obligation be completely funded.

Year Issued	Dividend %	For Time Period
2018	8.420%	1/1/2017 – 12/31/2017
2017	0.510%	1/1/2016 – 12/31/2016
2016	0.000%	1/1/2015 – 12/31/2015
2015	5.810%	1/1/2014 – 12/31/2014
2014	0.290%	1/1/2013 – 12/31/2013
2013	0.000%	1/1/2012 – 12/31/2012
2012	0.000%	1/1/2011 – 12/31/2011
2011	0.000%	1/1/2010 – 12/31/2010
2010	0.000%	1/1/2009 – 12/31/2009
2009	0.000%	1/1/2008 – 12/31/2008
2008	5.340%	1/1/2007 – 12/31/2007
2007	2.730%	1/1/2006 – 12/31/2006
2006	16.400%	1/1/2005 – 12/31/2005
2005	2.800%	1/1/2004 – 12/31/2004
2004	3.088%	1/1/2003 – 12/31/2003

### Changes in Plan Provisions Since the Prior Year

There have been no changes in plan provisions since the prior actuarial valuation.



## APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

---

### A. ACTUARIAL METHODS

- 1. Calculation of Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability:** The method used to determine the normal cost and actuarial accrued liability was the Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method described below.

#### **Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method**

Projected pension benefits were determined for all active members under age 80. Cost factors designed to produce annual costs as a constant percentage of each member's expected compensation in each year from the assumed entry age to the assumed retirement age were applied to the projected benefits to determine the normal cost (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to the current year under the method). The normal cost is determined by summing intermediate results for active members under age 80 and determining an average normal cost rate which is related to the total payroll of active members under age 80. The actuarial assumptions shown in this section were used in determining the projected benefits and cost factors. The actuarial accrued liability for active members (the portion of the total cost of the plan allocated to prior years under the method) was determined as the excess of the actuarial present value of projected benefits over the actuarial present value of future normal costs.

The actuarial accrued liability for retired members and their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits, active members age 80 and over, terminated vested members and disabled members not yet receiving benefits was determined as the actuarial present value of the benefits expected to be paid. No normal costs are now payable for these members.

The actuarial accrued liability under this method at any point in time is the theoretical amount of the fund that would have been accumulated had annual contributions equal to the normal cost been made in prior years (it does not represent the liability for benefit accrued to the valuation date). The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of plan assets measured on the valuation date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is funded with a level dollar payment amount over 25 years from January 1, 2010 and subsequent changes in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability are funded with a closed level dollar payment over 25 years from the date established. If the unfunded actuarial accrued liability becomes negative, prior changes to the unfunded liability are eliminated and the current unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized with a closed level dollar payment over 25 years.

Under this method, experience gains or losses, i.e., decreases or increases in accrued liabilities attributable to deviations in experience from the actuarial assumptions, adjust the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.





## APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

---

- 2. Calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets:** Effective January 1, 2003, the actuarial value of assets was initiated at Market Value and equals the sum of the employee and employer cash balance accounts. In future years, the actuarial value of assets will be based on a five-year smoothing method with phase-in and is determined by spreading the effect of each year's investment return in excess of or below the expected return. The Market Value of assets at the valuation date is reduced by the sum of the following, each determined after January 1, 2003:
- (i) 80% of the return to be spread during the first year preceding the valuation date.
  - (ii) 60% of the return to be spread during the second year preceding the valuation date.
  - (iii) 40% of the return to be spread during the third year preceding the valuation date.
  - (iv) 20% of the return to be spread during the fourth year preceding the valuation date.

The return to be spread is the difference between (1) the actual investment return on Market Value and (2) the expected return on Actuarial Value. The expected return on Actuarial Value includes interest on the previous year's unrecognized return.

### **B. VALUATION PROCEDURES**

No actuarial liability is included for participants who terminated without being vested prior to the valuation date, except those due a refund of the employee cash balance account.

The compensation amounts used in the projection of benefits and liabilities for active members were prior plan year compensations.

Projected benefits were limited by the dollar limitation required by the Internal Revenue Code Section 415 as it applies to governmental plans and compensation limited by Section 401(a)(17).

### **Changes in Methods and Procedures Since the Prior Year**

There have been no changes in the actuarial methods or procedures since the prior actuarial valuation.



## APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

### ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

1. Investment Return 7.50% per annum, compounded annually, net of expenses.
2. Inflation 2.75% per annum, compounded annually.
3. Interest Crediting Rate on Cash Balance Accounts 6.25% per annum, compounded annually.
4. Annuitization Rate of Member & Employer Accumulated Balances 7.75% per annum, compounded annually, for members hired before January 1, 2018 (set statutorily).
5. Salary Scale Graduated rates by service.

Service	Annual Increase
0	8.00%
1	6.70
2	5.50
3	4.70
4	4.20
5	4.00
6	3.85
7	3.80
8	3.80
9	3.80
10+	3.80

### DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS

1. Mortality
  - a. Healthy lives - Active members RP-2014 White Collar Table for Employees (100% of male rates for males, 55% of female rates for females), projected generationally with MP-2015.
  - b. Healthy lives – Retired members and beneficiaries RP-2014 White Collar Table for Employees, set back two years, scaled (males: under 80, 1.008; over 80, 1.449; females: under 85, .924; over 85, 1.5855; geometrically blended), projected generationally from 2013 with a SOA projection scale tool using a 0.5% ultimate 2035 rate in 2035.
  - c. Disabled lives Not applicable



**APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS**

d. Healthy mortality rates and projection scale are shown below at sample ages:

<b>Pre-retirement Mortality</b>		
<b>Mortality Rate</b>		
<b>Sample Age</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
20	0.03%	0.01%
30	0.03	0.01
40	0.04	0.02
50	0.12	0.05
60	0.33	0.11

<b>Post-retirement Mortality</b>		
<b>Mortality Rate</b>		
<b>Sample Age</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
50	0.23%	0.17%
60	0.47	0.31
70	1.03	0.82
80	3.65	2.28
90	14.57	12.63

<b>Projection Scale – Post-retirement Mortality</b>						
<b>Sample Age</b>	<b>Scale (2020)</b>		<b>Scale (2030)</b>		<b>Scale (2040)</b>	
	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>	<b>Males</b>	<b>Females</b>
50	0.0252	0.0144	0.0080	0.0052	0.0050	0.0050
60	0.0083	0.0051	0.0066	0.0059	0.0050	0.0050
70	0.0088	0.0121	0.0061	0.0057	0.0050	0.0050
80	0.0114	0.0104	0.0057	0.0058	0.0050	0.0050
90	0.0109	0.0104	0.0057	0.0057	0.0046	0.0046

e. Mortality for Annuitization of Employee and Employer Cash Balance Accounts

1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table, with 50% Male, 50% Female blending, for members hired before January 1, 2018 (set statutorily).

<b>Sample Age</b>	<b>Mortality Rate</b>	<b>Life Expectancy (Years)</b>
55	0.34%	28.0
60	0.62%	23.5
65	1.16%	19.4
70	1.87%	15.7
75	2.99%	12.2
80	5.07%	9.3



## APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

### 2. Retirement

Graduated rates by retirement age.

Age	Annual Rates
55-60	4.5%
61	5.0%
62-64	10.0%
65-66	20.0%
67-69	15.0%
70-79	20.0%
80	100.0%

### 3. Termination

Graduated rates by age and service.

Service	Rate
<1	25.00%
1	20.00
5	11.50
10	6.00
15	4.75
20	3.50
25	2.25
26+	2.00

### 4. Disability

None.

## OTHER ASSUMPTIONS

### 1. Payment Assumptions

As shown in the table below, 40% of all members eligible for retirement are assumed to be paid in the form of an annuity and the other 60% in the form of a lump sum, and 100% of members eligible for all other types of benefits are assumed to be paid in the form of a lump sum. Deferred vested and non-vested members are assumed to take a refund of their account balance as of the valuation date.

Benefit	Assumed Form of Payment
Retirement	60% Lump Sum / 40% Annuity*
Vested	Lump Sum
Non-vested	Lump Sum
Disability	Lump Sum
Death	Lump Sum

\*Five-year certain and life annuity.



## APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

---

2. Cost of Living Adjustment                      None assumed, except 2.5% per year is used for retirees electing annuity payments with a COLA feature.

### **Changes in Assumptions Since the Prior Year**

There have been no changes in the assumptions since the prior actuarial valuation.



## APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

---

<b>Actuarial Accrued Liability</b>	The difference between the actuarial present value of system benefits and the actuarial value of future normal costs. Also referred to as “accrued liability” or “actuarial liability”.
<b>Actuarial Assumptions</b>	Estimates of future experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turnover and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.
<b>Accrued Service</b>	Service credited under the system which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.
<b>Actuarial Equivalent</b>	A single amount or series of amounts of equal actuarial value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of appropriate assumptions.
<b>Actuarial Cost Method</b>	A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the actuarial present value of retirement system benefit between future normal cost and actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the “actuarial funding method”.
<b>Experience Gain (Loss)</b>	The difference between actual experience and actuarial assumptions anticipated experience during the period between two actuarial valuation dates.
<b>Actuarial Present Value</b>	The amount of funds currently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting future payments at predetermined rates of interest and by probabilities of payment.
<b>Amortization</b>	Paying off an interest-discounted amount with periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying off with lump sum payment.
<b>Normal Cost</b>	The actuarial present value of retirement system benefits allocated to the current year by the actuarial cost method.
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</b>	The difference between actuarial accrued liability and the valuation assets. Sometimes referred to as “unfunded actuarial liability” or “unfunded accrued liability”.