

FISCAL NOTE
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)				
	FY 2016-17		FY 2017-18	
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE
GENERAL FUNDS				
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FUNDS				

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

This bill would change provisions relating to problem solving court programs. It also states an intent that funds be appropriated to the Supreme Court for each of the problem solving court programs, and to provide evidence-based interventions, including medication-assisted treatment.

This bill could reduce the state prison inmate population because it creates other problem solving court programs. Problem solving courts can divert persons from prison, thus reducing the prison population. Problem Solving court types include adult, juvenile, and family drug courts, young adult court, and DUI court.

As of December 2015, the state inmate prison population was 157% of design capacity. In December 2014, the inmate prison population was 159% of design capacity. Additionally, the Department of Correctional Services (DCS) contracts with some counties to temporarily house prison inmates. If those inmates are included in the state prison population numbers, then the December 2015 inmate prison population would be 162% of design capacity.

For every inmate, the Department of Correctional Services (DCS) incurs per diem costs. The FY15 per diem cost for an individual inmate was \$8,712 per year. This is the cost for food, medical care, inmate wages, clothing, cleaning supplies, food service supplies, cell and dorm supplies, other supplies, laundry, inmate property, miscellaneous contractual services, and transportation.

The Supreme Court estimates the cost of one new problem-solving court of \$1.2 million to \$1.5 million. See their response following for additional details.

The Crime Commission estimates no fiscal impact from this bill.

This fiscal note may be updated to include additional agency responses.

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

2016

LB⁽¹⁾ 919

FISCAL NOTE

State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾

Supreme Court

Prepared by: ⁽³⁾ Eric Asboe

Date Prepared: ⁽⁴⁾ 2/3/16

Phone: ⁽⁵⁾ 1-4138

ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION

	<u>FY 2016-17</u>		<u>FY 2017-18</u>	
	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>
GENERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
CASH FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
FEDERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
OTHER FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL FUNDS	=====	=====	=====	=====

Explanation of Estimate:

LB919 establishes intent language regarding additional types of problem-solving courts and programs.

If additional problem-solving courts are established the estimated General Fund fiscal impact of one new problem-solving court could be \$1.2 million - \$1.5 million. The impact is dependent on the type of court, number of staff and programming provided. This estimate also includes additional judicial resources.

If additional problem-solving court programs, such as medication-assisted treatment, are established General Fund expenditures would also increase. At this time, further information is needed before the fiscal impact of such programs can be determined.

BREAKDOWN BY MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE

Personal Services:

<u>POSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER OF POSITIONS</u>		<u>2016-17 EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>2017-18 EXPENDITURES</u>
	<u>16-17</u>	<u>17-18</u>		
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Benefits.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Operating.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Travel.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital outlay.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Aid.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital improvements.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL.....	_____	_____	_____	_____

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

2016

LB⁽¹⁾ 919

FISCAL NOTE

State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾

NE Crime Commission

Prepared by: ⁽³⁾ Bruce Ayers

Date Prepared: ⁽⁴⁾ 1-19-2016

Phone: ⁽⁵⁾ 402-471-0359

ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION

	<u>FY 2016-17</u>		<u>FY 2017-18</u>	
	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>
GENERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
CASH FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
FEDERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
OTHER FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL FUNDS	=====	=====	=====	=====

Explanation of Estimate:

LB 919 has no fiscal impact on the Crime Commission.

BREAKDOWN BY MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE

Personal Services:

<u>POSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER OF POSITIONS</u>		<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>
	<u>16-17</u>	<u>17-18</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Benefits.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Operating.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Travel.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital outlay.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Aid.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital improvements.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL.....	_____	_____	_____	_____