ONE HUNDRED FOURTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION - 2016 COMMITTEE STATEMENT (CORRECTED) LB975

Hearing Date: Wednesday February 17, 2016

Committee On: Judiciary Introducer: Kolterman

One Liner: Adopt the Child Welfare Services Preservation Act

Roll Call Vote - Final Committee Action:

Advanced to General File with amendment(s)

Vote Results:

Aye: 5 Senators Coash, Ebke, Krist, Seiler, Williams
Nay: 3 Senators Chambers, Morfeld, Pansing Brooks

Absent:

Present Not Voting:

Verbal Testimony:

Proponents: Representing: SEN. MARK KOLTERMAN INTRODUCER

DOUG WEINBERG DHHS

BRAD BROWN CHRISTIAN HERITAGE

BRIAN BRADLEY SELF
BILL WILLIAMS COMPASS

SCOTT MURRISH ROYAL FAMILY KIDS, INC.

KATHY ALONS BETHANY CHRISTIAN SERVICES

ANNE HOBBS SELF

SUE MALLOY CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF HTE ARCHIOCESE OF

OMAAH

JEFF DOWNING SELF GAIL STEEN SELF

Opponents: Representing:

GWENDOLYN HINES UNITARIAN CHURCH OF LINCOLN

MARVIN BINNICK SELF

ROBERT MCEWEN NEBRASKA APPLESEED

NICKOLAS KRAMER

BARBARA BAEIER

LINDA QUENZER

TYLER RICHARD

JOEL BUSCH

AMY MILLER

SELF

OUTLINC

ACLU

SUSAN ANN KOENIG KOENIG/DUNNE LAW FIRM

ELLEN HANSEN SELF

Neutral: Representing:

TRACEY LATTURE SELF

Summary of purpose and/or changes:

LB975 would prohibit the State of Nebraska from taking any action that would materially alter the terms or conditions of a child placing agency's funding, contract or license as a result of the agency's refusal to provide or facilitate child welfare services based on the agency's sincerely held religious beliefs.

Section 2 includes legislative findings.

Section 3 defines adverse action, child welfare service and child-placing agency. Adverse action includes any action that materially alters the terms or conditions of an agency's funding, contract or license. Child welfare service includes any social service provided to or on behalf of children. Child-placing agency is defined by reference to section 71-1926 as any person other than the parent or legal guardian of a child that receives the child for placement and places or arranges for the placement of a child in a foster family home, adoptive home, residential child caring agency or independent living.

Section 4 would prohibit the state from taking adverse action against a child-placing agency for declining to act in conflict with the agency's sincerely held religious beliefs.

Section 5 would authorize an agency to allege a violation of Section 4 as a claim or defense in any administrative or judicial proceeding and seek equitable relief, declaratory relief and money damages in state or federal court.

Section 6 states that LB975 shall be construed liberally so as to effectuate its purposes.

Section 7 is a severability clause.

Explanation of amendments:

AM2667 replaces the original bill.

Section 1 changes the name of the Act to the Child Placement Services Preservation Act. Section 2 includes four legislative findings.

Declion 2 includes four legislative infairigs.

Section 3 replaces the definition of the term child welfare service with a definition of the term child placement service. The new term is narrower and focuses on foster care and adoption. This section also adds a definition of department as the Department of Health and Human Services.

Section 4 is a new section. Under this section, a child-placing agency could not be required, to the fullest extent permitted by law, to provide or facilitate any child placement service that conflicts with the agency's sincerely held religious beliefs. An agency that declines to provide or facilitate a service would be required provide information to the person seeking the service with contact information for other child-placing agencies capable of providing the service.

Section 5 is similar to Section 4 of the original bill, but adds that the restriction on the state taking adverse action against a child-placing agency is only to the fullest extent permitted by state and federal law.

Section 6 would provide a defense for an agency in an administrative or judicial proceeding based on a violation of Section 5. The original bill would have also allowed an agency to bring a claim to assert a violation and obtain relief, including money damages.

Les Seiler, Chairperson