# ONE HUNDRED FOURTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION - 2015 COMMITTEE STATEMENT (CORRECTED) LB459

Hearing Date: Wednesday March 04, 2015

**Committee On:** Judiciary **Introducer:** Crawford

One Liner: Change provisions relating to a deposition of a child victim or child witness

### **Roll Call Vote - Final Committee Action:**

Advanced to General File with amendment(s)

Vote Results:

Aye: 7 Senators Coash, Ebke, Krist, Morfeld, Pansing Brooks, Seiler, Williams

Nay:

Absent:

Present Not Voting: 1 Senator Chambers

**Verbal Testimony:** 

Proponents: Representing:

SEN. SUE CRAWFORD INTRODUCER

LEE POLIKOV NE COUNTY ATTORNEY ASSOCIATION
JEAN BRAZDA SARPY COUNTY VICTIM WITNESS

STEVE LAZORITZ MEDICAL EXPERT

LYNN AYERS NE ALLIANCE OF CHILD ADVOCACY

SASHA REICHENBERG SELF

TOM BRANTLEY SARPY COUNTY ATTORNEY

AMANDA GUROCK RELIABLE ROCK COUNSELING CONSULTING P.C.

Opponents: Representing:

TOM STRIGENZ NE CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY ASSOCIATION

Neutral: Representing:

# Summary of purpose and/or changes:

LB459 would amend 29-1917 to change provisions relating to a deposition of a child victim or child witness.

In general, at any time after the filing of an indictment or information in a felony prosecution, the prosecuting attorney or the defendant may request the court to allow the taking of a deposition of any person who may be a witness in the trial of the offense, other than the defendant.

As amended, 29-1917 would provide that no request for a deposition shall be granted for a child under the age of 16 who has been interviewed at a child advocacy center or police department if the interview was video recorded, except by agreement of the parties or by approval of the court.

The court shall not approve a deposition unless:

- the court finds that the testimony of the child is essential to assist the defendant in preparing for trial,
- that the evidence sought is not reasonably available by any other means, and
- that the probative value of the testimony outweighs the potential detriment to the child being deposed.

In determining whether to approve the taking of a deposition, the court shall consider the availability of the recorded statements of the child and the complexity of the issues involved.

Upon granting a request to depose a child, the court shall make any protective order that justice requires to protect the child from emotional harm or distress, harassment, undue influence, or intimidation.

### Such order may include:

- (i) That the deposition may be taken only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time, place, and manner of taking the deposition;
- (ii) that the scope of the deposition may be limited to certain matters as designated by the court;
- (iii) that a victim advocate or other support person not a witness to the proceedings may be present;
- (iv) that the defendant may be excluded from the deposition; or
- (v) any other provision the court determines is justified and appropriate.

In ruling on a request for a protective order, the court may consider:

- the age, health, level of intellectual functioning, and emotional condition of the child;
- whether the child has knowledge material to the proof of or defense to any essential element of the crime;
- whether the child has provided a full written, taped, or transcribed account of his or her proposed testimony for trial; or
- whether the child's testimony will relate only to a peripheral issue in the case.

# **Explanation of amendments:**

AM364 to LB459 would strike the words "or police department" from page 2 of the bill.

As amended, the bill would provide that no request for a deposition shall be granted for a child under the age of 16 who has been interviewed at a child advocacy center if the interview was video recorded, except by agreement of the parties or by approval of the court.